

SUMMATIVE (FORMAL) ASSESSMENT: MODULE 2B

THE EUROPEAN INSOLVENCY REGULATION

This is the **summative (formal) assessment** for **Module 2B** of this course and is compulsory for all candidates who **selected this module as one of their compulsory modules from Module 2**. Please read instruction 6.1 on the next page very carefully.

If you selected this module as **one of your elective modules**, please read instruction 6.2 on the next page very carefully.

The mark awarded for this assessment will determine your final mark for Module 2B. In order to pass this module, you need to obtain a mark of 50% or more for this assessment.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETION AND SUBMISSION OF ASSESSMENT

Please read the following instructions very carefully before submitting / uploading your assessment on the Foundation Certificate web pages.

- 1. You must use this document for the answering of the assessment for this module. The answers to each question must be completed using this document with the answers populated under each question.
- 2. All assessments must be submitted electronically in MS Word format, using a standard A4 size page and a 11-point Arial font. This document has been set up with these parameters please do not change the document settings in any way. DO NOT submit your assessment in PDF format as it will be returned to you unmarked.
- 3. No limit has been set for the length of your answers to the questions. However, please be guided by the mark allocation for each question. More often than not, one fact / statement will earn one mark (unless it is obvious from the question that this is not the case).
- 4. You must save this document using the following format: [studentID.assessment2B]. An example would be something along the following lines: 2021122-336.assessment2B. Please also include the filename as a footer to each page of the assessment (this has been prepopulated for you, merely replace the word "studentID" with the student number allocated to you). Do not include your name or any other identifying words in your file name. Assessments that do not comply with this instruction will be returned to candidates unmarked.
- 5. Before you will be allowed to upload / submit your assessment via the portal on the Foundation Certificate web pages, you will be required to confirm / certify that you are the person who completed the assessment and that the work submitted is your own, original work. Please see the part of the Course Handbook that deals with plagiarism and dishonesty in the submission of assessments. Please note that copying and pasting from the Guidance Text into your answer is prohibited and constitutes plagiarism. You must write the answers to the questions in your own words.
- 6.1 If you selected Module 2B as one of your **compulsory modules** (see the e-mail that was sent to you when your place on the course was confirmed), the final time and date for the submission of this assessment is **23:00 (11 pm) GMT on 1 March 2024**. The assessment submission portal will close at 23:00 (11 pm) GMT on 1 March 2024. No submissions can be made after the portal has closed and no further uploading of documents will be allowed, no matter the circumstances.
- If you selected Module 2B as one of your **elective modules** (see the e-mail that was sent to you when your place on the course was confirmed), you have a **choice** as to when you may submit this assessment. You may either submit the assessment by **23:00 (11 pm) GMT on 1**March 2024 or by 23:00 (11 pm) BST (GMT +1) on 31 July 2024. If you elect to submit by 1 March 2024, you may not submit the assessment again by 31 July 2024 (for example, in order to achieve a higher mark).
- 7. Prior to being populated with your answers, this assessment consists of **10 pages**.

ANSWER ALL THE QUESTIONS

QUESTION 1 (multiple-choice questions) [10 marks in total]

Questions 1.1. - 1.10. are multiple-choice questions designed to assess your ability to think critically about the subject. Please read each question carefully before reading the answer options. Be aware that some questions may seem to have more than one right answer, but you are to look for the one that makes the most sense and is the most correct. When you have a clear idea of the question, find your answer and mark your selection on the answer sheet by highlighting the relevant paragraph in

yellow. Select only **ONE** answer. Candidates who select more than one answer will receive no mark for that specific question.

Question 1.1

The EIR 2000 was the first European initiative to ever attempt to harmonise the insolvency laws of EU Member States.

Select the correct answer from the options below:

- (a) True, before the EIR 2000, the EU has not sought to harmonise the insolvency laws of EU Member States.
- (b) False, there was another EU Regulation regulating insolvency law at EU level before the EIR 2000.
- (c) False, an EU Directive regulating insolvency law at EU level existed before the EIR 2000.
- (d) False, the EU sought to draft Conventions with a view to harmonising the insolvency laws of EU Member States as early as the 1960s, but these initiatives failed.

The answer was D.

Question 1.2

Article 1(1) of the EIR 2015 relates to the <u>scope</u> of the Regulation. Choose the <u>correct</u> statement from the options below:

- (a) Proceedings will fall under the scope of the EIR 2015 if they are based on laws relating to insolvency for the purpose of rescue, adjustment of debt, reorganisation, or liquidation; are public; and are collective.
- (b) Proceedings will fall under the scope of the EIR 2015 if they are based on laws relating to insolvency for the purpose of rescue, adjustment of debt, reorganisation, or liquidation; are public; and are collective.
- (c) Proceedings will fall under the scope of the EIR 2015 if they are based on laws relating to insolvency for the purpose of rescue, adjustment of debt, reorganisation, or liquidation; and are public.
- (d) Proceedings will fall under the scope of the EIR 2015 if they are based on laws relating to insolvency for the purpose of rescue, adjustment of debt, reorganisation, or liquidation; and are collective.

Question 1.3

In 2017, the EIR Recast replaced the EIR 2000. Recasting the EIR 2000 was deemed necessary by various stakeholders. Why?

(a) Through its case law, the CJEU had gone against the literal meaning of several provisions of the EIR 2000. A new Regulation was needed to codify the new rules created by the CJEU.

- (b) The EIR 2000 was generally regarded as an unsuccessful instrument in the area of European insolvency law by the EU institutions, practitioners and academics.
- (c) The fundamental choices and underlying policies of the EIR 2000 lacked support from the major stakeholders (businesses, public authorities, insolvency practitioners, etcetera). A new Regulation was therefore needed to meet their expectations.
- (d) The EIR 2000 was generally considered a successful instrument, but areas of improvement had been identified over the years by practitioners and academics.

Question 1.4

Why can it be said that the EIR Recast did not overhaul the status quo?

- (a) The EIR Recast is a copy of the EIR 2000. Its structure and the wording of all articles are similar.
- (b) Although the EIR Recast includes relevant and useful innovations, it has stuck with the framework of the EIR 2000 and mostly codified the jurisprudence of the CJEU.
- (c) The EIR Recast has not added any new concept to the text of the EIR 2000.
- (d) It is incorrect to say that the EIR Recast has not overhauled the status quo at all. On the contrary, the EIR Recast has departed from the text of its predecessor and is a completely new instrument which has rejected all existing concepts and rules.

Question 1.5

Article 3 of the EIR 2015 deals with jurisdictional matters. Which statement below is <u>accurate</u> in relation to Article 3?

- (a) Article 3 states that the courts of the Member State within the territory of which the debtor has an establishment shall have jurisdiction to open main insolvency proceedings.
- (b) Article 3 states that the courts of the Member State within the territory of which the debtor has its centre of main interest (COMI) shall have jurisdiction to open main insolvency proceedings.
- (c) Article 3 states that the courts of the Member State within the territory of which the debtor has its centre of main interest shall have jurisdiction to open secondary insolvency proceedings.
- (d) Article 3 states that the courts of the Member State within the territory of which the debtor has an establishment shall have jurisdiction to open territorial insolvency proceedings.

Question 1.6

The EIR 2015 does not provide a definition of "insolvency" or "likelihood of insolvency". What are the consequences hereof?

- (a) The ECJ has provided a definition of "insolvency" in recent case law.
- (b) The European Commission has provided a definition of "insolvency" in its Recommendation on a "New Approach to Business Failure" published in 2014.

- (c) Each Member State will define "insolvency" in national legislation.
- (d) Deciding whether a debtor is "insolvent" or not is a matter for the ECJ to determine.

Question 1.7

The EIR Recast is an instrument of a predominantly procedural nature (including private international law issues). Nevertheless, it contains a number of substantive provisions. Which one of the following provisions constitutes a harmonised (stand-alone) rule of substantive law?

- (a) Article 18 EIR Recast (entitled "Effects of insolvency proceedings on pending lawsuits or arbitral proceedings").
- (b) Article 40 EIR Recast (entitled "Advance payment of costs and expenses").
- (c) Article 7 EIR Recast (entitled "Applicable law").
- (d) Article 31 EIR Recast (entitled "Honouring of an obligation to a debtor").

Question 1.8

What are some of the <u>main criticisms</u> which have been voiced against the concept of the "centre of main interest"?

- (a) The concept makes it impossible for companies to move jurisdiction, which ultimately, may jeopardise their chances of rescue.
- (b) The concept does not have any equivalent in international instruments, which makes it difficult for international creditors to understand.
- (c) The concept is too similar to that of an "establishment" which makes it difficult for a court to know whether to open main or secondary proceedings.
- (d) The concept is too vague; it may result in higher capital costs; it may lead to manipulation; and it is difficult to assess by creditors.

Question 1.9

The EIR Recast introduced the concept of "synthetic proceedings". What are they?

- (a) "Synthetic proceedings" means that when an insolvency practitioner in the main insolvency proceedings has given an undertaking in accordance with Article 36, the court asked to open secondary proceedings should not, at the request of the insolvency practitioner, open them if they are satisfied that the undertaking adequately protects the general interests of local creditors.
- (b) "Synthetic proceedings" means that for the case at hand, several main proceedings can be opened, in addition to several secondary proceedings.

- (c) "Synthetic proceedings" means that when secondary proceedings are opened, these are automatically rescue proceedings, as opposed to liquidation proceedings.
- (d) "Synthetic proceedings" means that insolvency practitioners in all secondary proceedings should treat the proceedings they are dealing with as main proceedings for the purpose of protecting the interests of local creditors.

The answer was A.

Question 1.10

Carala SARL is a French-registered company selling jam jars made out of glass. The company had opened its first store in Strasbourg, France in 2018. It has since opened another 10 stores in France. Its main warehouse is located in Cork, Ireland. 95% of its employees are located in France and 5% are located in Ireland. Most of its customers are located in France, yet some online purchases are coming mainly from the Netherlands.

In 2020, Bella SARL entered into a loan agreement with a Spanish bank because it was hoping to expand its reach onto the Spanish jam market. It opened a bank account with the bank while also negotiating prices with local suppliers. It signed some (non-binding) memoranda of understanding with three Madrid-based suppliers.

Unfortunately for Bella SARL, the timing of this initiative coincided with the Covid-19 pandemic. By the end of 2021, the company was in financial difficulty, yet managed to keep afloat for another few years. On 10 January 2022, it wants to file for insolvency. In which country is Carala's <u>centre of main</u> interest presumed to be located?

- (a) Its centre of main interest is located in Spain because the loan agreement will lead to a presumption of COMI.
- (b) Its centre of main interest is located in Ireland because the warehouse will lead to a presumption of COMI.
- (c) Its centre of main interest is located in France because its registration, stores, customer-base and majority of employees lead to a presumption of COMI.
- (d) Its centre of main interest is located in the Netherlands because online customers lead to a presumption of COMI.

Total: 8/10

QUESTION 2 (direct questions) [10 marks]

Question 2.1 [maximum 2 marks] 2/2

The following <u>two (2) statements</u> relate to particular provisions / concepts to be found in the EIR Recast. Indicate the name of the provision / concept (as well as the relevant EIR Recast article), addressed in each statement.

<u>Statement 1</u>. Proceedings covered by the scope of the EIR 2015 should include proceedings promoting the rescue of economically viable debtors, especially at a stage where there is a mere likelihood of insolvency.

General Provisions / Article 1 – Scope. The concept is to avoid liquidation of the debtor, if there is scope to rescue the debtor by reorganisation, financing or adjustment of debt.

<u>Statement 2</u>. Pending lawsuits are not covered by the effects of the *lex concursus* in insolvency proceedings.

Lex concursus means law of the place of insolvency proceedings. Article 7– Applicable Law, part 2(f) states that with the exception of pending lawsuits, the law of the State of the opening of proceedings shall determine the conditions for the opening of those proceedings, their conduct and their closure.

Question 2.2 [maximum 3 marks] 1/3

The EIR Recast's objective remains, as much as possible, the universality of proceedings. However, several exceptions to this universal vision exist throughout the Regulation. Provide <u>three (3) examples</u> of provisions from the EIR Recast which depart from a universal approach to cross-border insolvency.

- 1. Provision of starting secondary insolvency proceedings (also known as territorial proceedings) under Article 13 International Jurisdiction. The courts of a member state other than the member state in which the debtor has its COMI is located can start insolvency proceedings, provided the member state has an establishment of the debtor. These proceedings will be secondary insolvency proceedings and their effect will be restricted to the territory of the member state initiating the secondary insolvency proceedings.
- 2. Definition of insolvency Every country can use its own definition of insolvency. [Reference?]
- 3. Powers of a liquidator Every country has their own powers of a liquidator. [Reference?]

Question 2.3 [maximum 3 marks] 0/3

The EIR Recast regulates the material scope of the Regulation in relation to national insolvency proceedings in Member States. List **three (3) elements** of the EIR Recast that deal with this matter and explain how they relate to this.

- 1. National insolvency register each member state shall establish and maintain a register. These registers should be integrable with the European e-Justice Portal.
- 2. Power of insolvency practitioners the powers of the insolvency practitioner are governed by the law of the State of the opening of proceedings, in another Member State.

[No, you were meant to discuss Article 1, Article 2, Recital 9, Annex A]

Question 2.4 [maximum 2 marks] 0/2

It is widely accepted that the opening of secondary proceedings can hamper the efficient administration of the debtor's estate. For this reason, the EIR Recast has introduced a number of legal instruments to avoid or otherwise control the opening, conduct and closure of secondary proceedings. Provide two (2) examples of such instruments and briefly (in one to three sentences) explain how they operate.

- 1. One of the instruments is COMI. It enabled the commencement of main insolvency proceedings at the jurisdiction in which the debtor as COMI and these proceedings has universal scope over other member states. [no, you were meant to discuss Articles 36, 38, 46]
- 2. EU Directive on Preventive Restructuring Framework The framework has been set up to harmonise preventive restructuring framework among member states. This includes determining key commonalities in the processes of various jurisdictions. [No, this has nothing to do with the Regulation].

Total: 3/10

QUESTION 3 (essay-type questions) [15 marks in total]

In addition to the correctness, completeness

(including references to case law, if applicable) and originality of your answers to the questions below, marks may be awarded or deducted on the basis of your presentation, expression and writing skills.

Question 3.1 [maximum 5 marks] 5/5

During the reform process of the EIR 2000, what main elements were identified by the European Commission as needing revision within the framework of the Regulation (whether adopted or not)?

The following main elements are identified by the European Commission in EIR 2000, which need revision within the framework of the Regulation.

- 1. The regulation needed to broaden the scope of the insolvency matters to include restructuring proceedings.
- 2. Stronger rules for co-operation between insolvency practitioners and courts.
- 3. Possibility of proceedings with regards to members of the same group of companies.
- 4. Improvement of pooling of creditor information by improving interconnectivity of insolvency registers.
- 5. General modernization of legal rules such as data protection etc

Question 3.2 [maximum 5 marks] 5/5

The concept of the "centre of main interest" has been both praised and criticised by EU institutions, academics, and practitioners. List <u>two (2) praises and / or shortcomings</u> and explain why they are considered praises / shortcomings.

The benefits of Centre of main interest, or COMI in short, are as below:

- 1. COMI enforces the principal of modified universalism.
- 2. COMI helps to reduce the ambiguity about the place to commence proceedings.

On the other hand, the shortcoming are:

- 1. There are issues pertaining to determination of COMI. Though COMI is supposed to be the place of economic interest, it may be interpreted to be place of the registered address of the debtor. As the basis to chose the jurisdiction to commence insolvency proceedings is the place of the debtors' main business, the creditors may resort to forum shopping if the centre of main business is not very clear. This means that the creditor may chose the jurisdiction which is most beneficial to them.
- 2. Another legal predicament which surfaced due to the concept of COMI, was referred to ECJ in the case of Interedil in relation to whether the interpretation of the COMI ought to be in accordance with the national law or under EU Law.

Question 3.3 [maximum 5 marks] 0/5

The European Insolvency Regulation is a choice-of-forum instrument, which although aiming at procedural harmonisation, did not harmonise the substantive insolvency laws of the Member States. Because of lingering disparities among the national insolvency regimes across the EU, the European institutions introduced the Directive on Preventive Restructuring Frameworks in 2019, which is meant to dovetail the European Insolvency Regulation. List **two (2)** ways in which the Regulation and the Directive differ.

The most significant difference under the Directive is the increased optionality for restructuring frameworks. This additional flexibility may be perceived as detracting from the original purpose of having a harmonised approach across Europe but in practice it may be a useful way of balancing minimum standards with local law requirements. These differences may result in arbitrage between the Member States, as the Directive allows Member States to pick and choose from the smorgasbord of restructuring options and debtors may seek the friendliest jurisdiction when it comes to a restructuring.

This is an incorrect answer. You should have discussed the following differences:

- A Directive needs to be implemented by Member States whereas a Regulation is directly applicable
- The Directive is a minimum standards harmonization (i.e. substantive harmonization) instrument whereas the Regulation is a conflict-of-law/choice-of-forum instrument (i.e. procedural harmonization)
- The Directive promoted the introduction of pre-insolvency rescue mechanisms into the national regimes of Member States whereas the Regulation attempts to regulate the effects of cross-border insolvencies.

Total: 10/15

QUESTION 4 (fact-based application-type question) [15 marks in total]

Scenario

Dinosaurus SARL is a company selling children stuffed animals. It is incorporated in France and has opened its first store in La Flèche in 2015 and another 10 stores across France since. 80% of its employees work in France. It also has an office in Cork, Ireland, as well as three stores around Ireland. 20% of its employees are located in Ireland. Its main warehouse is in Spain. Most of its customers come from France, and some online purchases are coming mainly from the United Kingdom.

In 2020, Dinosaurus SARL entered into a loan agreement with a Spanish bank because it was hoping to expand its reach onto the Spanish children toys market. It opened a bank account with the bank while also negotiating prices with local suppliers. It signed some (non-binding) memoranda of understanding with three Madrid-based suppliers.

Unfortunately for Dinosaurus SARL, the timing of this initiative coincided with the Covid-19 pandemic which hit the world in 2020. By 2021, the company was in financial difficulty, yet managed to keep afloat for another two years. On 20 June 2023, it filed a petition to open safeguard proceedings in the Commercial Court in Le Mans, France.

Question 4.1 [maximum 5 marks] 5/5

Assume that the timeline is slightly different and, therefore, assume that it is not the EIR 2015 that applies but the EIR 2000.

Does the EIR 2000 apply to this case and to the opening of safeguard proceedings?

You must justify your answer when explaining why it does or does not have jurisdiction. Your answer should contain references to the applicable law and the relevant CJEU jurisprudence.

EIR 2000 does not apply to this case for opening safeguard proceedings in Commercial Court, as safeguarding proceedings are not covered under EIR 2000.

Question 4.2 [maximum 5 marks] 0/5

Assume that the timeline is as explained in the original scenario above and that the French High Court opens safeguard proceedings on 23 June 2023.

Will the EIR Recast be applicable to the proceedings?

Your answer should address the EIR Recast's scope and contain all steps taken to answer the question.

EIR Recast can be apply to this case by proposing restructuring options in Commercial Court.

This is an insufficient answer. The EIR Recast will be applicable. The logical order of the steps to be taken is the following:

- Article 3(1) EIR Recast. COMI of Dinosaurus SARL is in the EU (and not in Denmark), i.e. in France (as stated in the answer to Question 4.1.). YES
- Article 1(2) EIR Recast. Dinosaurus SARL is not a credit institution, insurance undertaking or any other 'excluded' entity. YES
- Article 2(4), Recital 9, Annex A EIR Recast. The opened proceeding 'Safeguard' is listed in Annex A to the EIR Recast. YES
- Article 2(7), 84(1), 92 EIR Recast. The proceedings in question were opened on 23 June 2023, i.e. after the EIR Recast has entered into force. The filing date is not determinative for the temporal scope. YES

Question 4.3 [maximum 5 marks] 1/5

A Spanish bank files a petition to open secondary insolvency proceedings in Spain with the purpose of securing a Spanish insolvency distribution ranking.

Given the facts of the case, can such proceedings be opened in Italy under the EIR Recast?

Your answer should contain references to the applicable law and the relevant CJEU jurisprudence.

No, secondary insolvency proceedings cannot be opened in Italy as Dinosaurus SARL does not have any establishment in Italy.

You were supposed to provide references to the Regulation's provisions and jurisprudence.

- According to Article 3(2) EIR Recast, where the debtor's COMI is situated within the territory of a
 Member State, the courts of another Member State shall have jurisdiction to open insolvency
 proceedings against that debtor only if it possesses an establishment within the territory of that
 other Member State.
- Under Article 2(10) EIR Recast, 'establishment' means any place of operations where a debtor carries out or has carried out in the 3-month period prior to the request to open main insolvency proceedings a non-transitory economic activity with human means and assets.
- Relevant case law: Interedil Srl, in liquidation v Fallimento Interedil Srl, Case C-396/09, ECLI:EU:C:2011:671 (Oct. 20, 2011), Burgo Group SpA v Illochroma SA, Case C-327/13, ECLI:EU:C:2014:2158 (Sep. 4, 2014).
- The facts of the case do not support the finding of an establishment of Dinosaurus SARL in Italy. The requisite minimum level of organisation and a degree of stability (see para. 64 in *Interedil*) is evidently missing.
- Therefore, under the EIR Recast, secondary insolvency proceedings cannot be opened in Italy.

Total: 6/15

*** END OF ASSESSMENT ***

Total: 27/50