



PROGRAMME IN SOUTH AFRICAN BUSINESS RESCUE 2023

Summative Assessment (Examination)

Date: 16 - 17 November 2023

Time limit: 24 hours (from 13:00 SAST on 16 November 2023 to 13:00 SAST on 17 November 2023)

Mr M Mpolokeng Dr E Levenstein Professor A Loubser Mr T Jordaan Ms R Webster Mr B Duma Mr D van der Merwe Mr C Rey Ms L Kahn Mr J Evans Ms J de Hutton Ms N Mabaso Mr P van den Steen Ms A Cohen Mr D Lake Ms J Mitchell-Marais Ms A Timme Mr S Smyth Mr C Strime

MODERATORS

Ms R Bekker Ms B Bennett Dr D Burdette Mr Z Cassim

It is imperative that all candidates read and take cognisance of the examination instructions on the next page.

All candidates are expected to comply with ALL the instructions.

Commented [BB1]: TOTAL = 67/100 (mark adjusted upwards)

INSTRUCTIONS

- This assessment paper will be made available at 13:00 (1 pm) SAST on Thursday 16 November 2023 and must be returned / submitted by 13:00 (1 pm) SAST on Friday 17 November 2023. Please note that assessments returned late will not be accepted.
- All assessments must be submitted electronically in Microsoft Word format, using a standard A4 size page and an 11-point Avenir Next font (if the Avenir Next font is not available on your PC, please select the Arial font). This document has been set up with these parameters please do not change the document settings in any way. DO NOT submit your assessment in PDF format as it will be returned to you unmarked.
- 3. No limit has been set for the length of your answers to the questions. Please be guided by the mark allocation for each question. More often than not, one fact / statement will earn one mark (unless it is obvious from the question that this is not the case). Candidates who include very long answers in the hope it will cover the answer the examiners are looking for, will be appropriately penalised.
- 4. You this must save document using the following format: studentID.SummativeAssessment. An example would be something along the following lines: 202223-336.SummativeAssessment. Please also include the filename as a footer to each page of the assessment (this has been pre-populated for you, merely replace the words "studentID" with the student number allocated to you). Do not include your name or any other identifying words in your file name. Assessments that do not comply with this instruction will be returned to candidates unmarked.
- 5. The assessment can be downloaded from your student portal on the INSOL International website. The assessment must likewise be returned via your student portal as per the instructions in the Course Handbook for this course. If for any reason candidates are unable to access their student portal, the answer script must be returned by e-mail to david.burdette@insol.org.
- 6. Due to the high incidence of load shedding currently taking place across South Africa, candidates are required to determine whether any load shedding is scheduled during the examination period and, if so, to make alternative arrangements to write elsewhere if at all possible.
- 7. Enquiries during the time that the assessment is written must be directed to David Burdette at david.burdette@insol.org or by WhatsApp on +44 7545 773890 or to Brenda Bennett at brenda.bennett@insol.org or by WhatsApp on +27 66 228 2010. Please note that enquiries will only be responded to during UK office hours (which are 9 am to 5 pm GMT, or 11 am to 7 pm SAST).

- 8. While the assessments are open-book assessments, it is important to note that candidates may not receive any assistance from any person during the 24 hours that the assessment is written. Answers must be written in the candidate's own words; answers that are copied and pasted from the text of the course notes (or any other source) will be treated as plagiarism and persons who make themselves guilty of this will forfeit the assessment and disciplinary charges will follow. When submitting their answers, candidates will be asked to confirm that the work is their own, that they have worked independently and that all external sources used have been properly cited. If you submit your assessment by e-mail, a statement to this effect should be included in the e-mail.
- 9. Once a candidate's assessment has been uploaded to their student portal (in line with the instructions in the Course Handbook), a confirmatory e-mail will be autogenerated confirming that the assessment has been uploaded. If the confirmatory e-mail is not received within five minutes after uploading the assessment, candidates are requested to first check their junk / spam folders before e-mailing the Course Leader to inform him that the auto-generated e-mail was not received.
- 10. If a candidate is unable to complete this summative assessment (examination), please note that a re-sit assessment will only be given if there are exceptional circumstances that prevent the candidate from completing or submitting it (such as illness). Feedback on the final assessment will be provided within four weeks of the paper having been written please do not enquire about your marks before four weeks have elapsed. Please note that the model answers to this assessment will NOT be provided to candidates on the course after the assessment has been written.
- 11. You are required to answer this paper by typing the answers directly into the spaces provided (indicated by text that states [Type your answer here]). For multiple-choice questions, please highlight your answer in yellow, as per the instructions included under the first question.
- 12. Since you have 24 hours within which to answer the assessment, it is suggested that you take the time to read through the assessment in its entirety before attempting to answer the questions.

ANSWER ALL THE QUESTIONS

QUESTION 1

Questions 1.1 - 1.20 are multiple-choice questions designed to assess your ability to think critically about the subject. Please read each question carefully before reading the answer options. Be aware that some questions may seem to have more than one right answer, but you are to look for the one that makes the most sense and is the most correct. When you have a clear idea of the question, find your answer and mark your selection on the answer sheet by highlighting the relevant paragraph **in yellow**. Select only **ONE** answer. Candidates who select more than one answer will receive no mark for that specific question. Each of the 20 questions count 1 mark.

Question 1.1

Choose the **correct** statement:

Sensational Cycles Proprietary Limited rents bicycles to tourists at the Cape Town promenade. Due to a decrease in tourism and cold, wet winter months, business is slow and the loans taken out by the Sensation Cycles from its bankers are now to falling due. You have been approached for advice to determine whether the company is a candidate for business rescue. Which of the following statements correctly describes the test for financial distress?

- (a) It appears to be reasonably unlikely that the company will be able to pay all of its debts as they become due within the immediately ensuing six months.
- (b) It appears to be reasonably unlikely that the company will be able to pay the overwhelming majority of its debts as they become due within the immediately ensuing six months.
- (c) It appears to be reasonably likely that the company will become insolvent within the immediately ensuing six months.

(d) Both (a) and (c) are correct.

 Question 1.2
 Comment

 Choose the correct statement:
 Unlike in some other jurisdictions which have debtor-in-possession regimes, in South Africa an independent person is appointed as the business rescue practitioner who supervises the company during its business rescue proceedings. Which of the following statements is

202223-1024.SummativeAssessment

correct?

Commented [M2]: Total for Q1: 14 marks.

Commented [M3]: 1 mark

Commented [M4]: 0 marks

Page 4

(a)	During a company's business rescue proceedings, the business rescue pra consults with the board of directors and external advisors in prepar implementing a business rescue plan to return the company to profitability	ing and	
(b)	During a company's business rescue proceedings, the business rescue pra has full management control of the company in substitution for its board a existing management.		
(c)	During a company's business rescue proceedings, the business rescue pra is statutorily obliged to supervise the company together with the pre- management and the board of directors.		
(d)	During a company's business rescue proceedings, the business rescue pra is not empowered to remove any of the company's pre-existing manageme		
Ques	stion 1.3	C	ommented [M5]: 1 mark
Choc	ose the correct statement:		
	oplication to court for the commencement of business rescue in respect of a c s already in liquidation:	ompany	
(a)	is not allowed by the Companies Act 2008.		
(b)	may only be made before a final liquidation order has been issued.		
(c)	may only be made before a provisional liquidation order has been issued.		
(d)	may be made before the company is dissolved.		
Ques	stion 1.4	C	ommented [M6]: 1 mark
	ose the correct statement:		
The c	general moratorium is one of the critical components of business rescue beca	use:	
(a)	it affords the company in business rescue sufficient time to avoid paying its of for the benefit of its shareholders who own the company in business re provided for in section 133 of the Companies Act of 2008.		
(b)	it gives the company in business rescue sufficient breathing space to restru affairs by staying or prohibiting all legal proceedings against the com business rescue in terms of section 130 of the Companies Act of 2008.		
(c)	it gives the company in business rescue a period of respite to allow the con business rescue to restructure its affairs by staying or prohibiting legal proc		
2022	23-1024.SummativeAssessment F	Page 5	

		_
	against the company in question in terms of section 133(1) of the Companies Ac 1973.	of
(d)	it gives the company in financial distress a period of respite to restructure its aff by suspending or precluding legal proceedings against the company while business rescue as stipulated in section 133(1) of the Companies Act of 2008.	
(e)	All of the above.	
Ques	stion 1.5	
Choo	ose the correct statement:	
its cl	pany X files for business rescue. Its only source of revenue is the proceeds of sales ients on credit. These debtors are ceded to X Bank as security for its loan to pany.	
paym	company simply cannot survive if it does not have access to the proceeds of nents by these clients from time to time. Under these circumstances, the busin a practitioner may:	
(a)	continue to utilise the proceeds of the debtors to operate the company as the debtors are not "property" as defined in the Companies Act.	е
(b)	approach the Court for an order to compel X Bank to consent to the compa utilising the proceeds of these debtors in order to save the Company.	ıУ
(c)	ensure that the total debtors' book does not decrease, by replacing every deb receipt with at least an equal new sale to ensure that X Bank is not prejudiced by continued use of the proceeds of the debtors to fund the ongoing operations of company in business rescue.	ie
(d)	approach X Bank for their consent to utilise the proceeds of these debtors for ongoing operations of the company.	e
Ques	stion 1.6	(
Choo	ose the correct statement:	
	t the commencement of the business rescue process, X Bank holds security by way gistered general notarial bond over of all of the assets of a company in business resc	
X Bai	nk may:	
(a)	take possession of the assets subject to its security and sell it in order to reduce company's indebtedness to X Bank.	е
2022	223-1024.SummativeAssessment Page	

(b)	insist that the business rescue practitioner obtain their consent before selling any of the assets subject to the general notarial bond, as provided for in section 134 of the Companies Act.		
<mark>(c)</mark>	not prevent the business rescue practitioner from disposing of the assets subject to the general notarial bond in the normal course of business by the company during business rescue proceedings		
(d)	seek an order of Court to perfect their security, without the consent of the business rescue practitioner, in order to protect their rights.		
Ques	tion 1.7	Commented [M9]: () marks
Choo	ose the correct statement:		
place landlo	mpany is leasing the property from which it is conducting its business. The company is ed in business rescue and continues to conduct its business from the property. The ord has a claim for arrear rentals that have been incurred whilst the Company is in ness rescue. This claim ought to be classified as:		
(a)	a business rescue cost.		
<mark>(b)</mark>	post-commencement finance.		
(c)	a preferent claim.		
(d)	a secured claim.		
(e)	an unsecured claim.		
(f)	a damages claim.		
Ques	tion 1.8	Commented [M10]:	1 mark
Choo	ose the correct statement:		
withir you th from taken non-p the b	are appointed as business rescue practitioner in a large manufacturing business and in the first few weeks of your appointment an employee approaches you and advises hat they have been unsuccessful in obtaining authorisation for certain medical costs the group medical scheme of the company since the filing for business rescue has in place. The employee informs you that the medical scheme has indicated that due to bayment of the deductions relating to the medical scheme by the company, that all of benefits to employees under the scheme have been suspended. What would your the to the employee be in relation to this issue?		
2022:	23-1024.SummativeAssessment Page 7		

(a)	Unfortunately, the employee would need to make payment of the outstanding amounts due to the medical scheme in order for the employee to enjoy further benefits from the group medical scheme.	
(b)	As the benefits under the group medical scheme have been suspended, an alternative medical scheme would need to be sought by each employee, for the period of business rescue.	
(c)	The group medical scheme, which exists for the benefit of both past or present employees of the company, would have an unsecured claim in the business rescue proceedings for the amounts that were not paid to the group medical scheme immediately prior to the commencement of business rescue proceedings and as such the medical scheme would not be entitled to suspend the benefits to such employees as the group medical scheme, as it is a creditor of the company in business rescue.	
(d)	The group medical scheme would have a secured claim in the business rescue proceedings.	
(e)	None of the above.	
Ques	stion 1.9	Commented [M11]: 0 marks
Choc	ose the correct statement:	
	ousiness rescue practitioner has an obligation to consult with creditors, other affected ons and the management of the company:	
(a)	during the process of preparing a business rescue plan for consideration and adoption.	
(b)	after preparing a business rescue plan for consideration and adoption.	
(c)	before preparing a business rescue plan for consideration and adoption.	
<mark>(d)</mark>	Both (a) and (c) are correct.	
Ques	stion 1.10	Commented [M12]: 1 mark
Choc	ose the correct statement:	
pract Progr as the	are a member of SARIPA and were certified by CIPC for the first-time last year to tice as a junior business rescue practitioner after you completed the INSOL SARIPA ramme in South African Business Rescue. Since then, you have accepted appointment e business rescue practitioner of one small company and are busy implementing the ness rescue plan that was adopted by creditors in that matter. You have been	
2022	23-1024.SummativeAssessment Page 8	

approached by your brother-in-law to accept appoint as the business rescue practitioner of a large company that he is a director and shareholder of. Which of the below are appropriate?

- (i) You should not accept appointment as you have a conflict of interest.
- (ii) You can accept appointment.
- (iii) You should not accept the appointment as the company's business rescue practitioner as you are not independent.
- (iv) You should not accept appointment as you lack the necessary skills and do not meet the legislated criteria.

Your answer is:

- (a) (i).
- (b) (ii).
- (c) (iii).
- (d) Both (i) and (iii).
- (e) Both (iii) and (iv).

Question 1.11

Choose the **incorrect** statement:

- (a) The board of directors of the company can commence business rescue voluntarily by passing a board resolution, provided that it has reasonable grounds to believe that the company is financially distressed and there is a reasonable prospect of rescuing the company.
- (b) A creditor of a company can approach the High Court to place the company in business rescue, as long as the board of the company has not already adopted a resolution to begin business rescue proceedings.
- (c) As an affected person, an employee, an employee representative, a registered trade union, a shareholder or a director of a company can approach the High Court to place the company in business rescue, as long as the board of the company has not already adopted a resolution to begin business rescue proceedings.
- (d) Notwithstanding any financial distress, an affected person of a company may approach the High Court to place the company into business rescue provided that it is just and equitable to do so for financial reasons and there remains a reasonable prospect of rescuing the company.

202223-1024.SummativeAssessment

Page 9

Commented [M13]: 1 mark

Question 1.12 Commented [M14]: 1 mark Choose the **correct** statement: A foreign-domiciled unsecured creditor is owed money by a company in business rescue for services that it supplied to the company outside of South Africa before the company entered business rescue. The creditor is refusing to recognise the approved business rescue plan, refused to vote on the plan when called to do so, and is arguing that their claim is not compromised by the moratorium because their debt was established and is owed outside of South Africa. How should the business rescue practitioner treat this creditor and their claim? (a) Because the creditor is a foreign business, it is not bound by the approved business rescue plan and its claim is not affected by the moratorium. The business rescue practitioner must settle the creditor's claim in full in the normal course. The creditor's claim is preferent to the claims of other South African unsecured (b) creditors and will rank ahead of them in terms of the payment waterfall. (c) The creditor's claim is treated the same as all other unsecured creditors, whether the creditor is foreign or South African, and whether it chose to vote on the business rescue plan or not. Business rescue is a South African legal process aimed at trying to save financially (d) distressed South African businesses and, as such, the claims of any foreign creditors are automatically fully expunged upon the commencement of business rescue proceedings. (e) If there are foreign-domiciled creditors, the business rescue practitioner must produce two business rescue plans - one to deal with local South African creditors and the other to deal with foreign creditors. Question 1.13 Commented [M15]: 0 marks Choose the **correct** statement: The company in business rescue's body of creditors includes the following claims (which have been accepted): Bank A: owed R60m and a fully secured creditor; 20 separate trade creditors: collectively owed R5m and unsecured; SARS: owed R5m in relation to income tax owing pre-business rescue and unsecured; Related / Inter-company X: owed R15m and unsecured; Party Y: owed R15m and which claim is subordinated in favour of all other creditors (an independent liquidation calculation valued this claim at R0); 202223-1024.SummativeAssessment Page 10

How credi	ne above creditors attend the section 151 meeting to vote on the business rescue plan. ever, only Bank A and Party Y vote in favour of the plan, with all other creditors (trade itors, SARS and company X) voting against the plan. Has the plan been validly voted in proved?		
(a)	No: SARS's claim should be considered to be preferent and hence any vote is incorrect because of this obvious classification error.		
(b)	Yes: The plan is voted in by virtue of 75% of all creditors voting in favour thereof (of which at least 50% of the independent creditors' voting interests were voted).		
(c)	No: The plan is not voted in due to less than 75% of all creditors voting voted in favour thereof (despite the fact that more than 50% of the independent creditors' voting interests were voted).		
(d)	No: 24 individual creditors in number (not value) voted and there were only 2 parties who voted in favour, therefore those voting against the plan far outweigh those voting in favour.		
Que	stion 1.14	C	ommented [M16]: 0 marks
Choo	ose the correct statement:		
While best	ose the correct statement: st section 150(c)(iv) does not require a cash flow statement or cash flow projections, practice suggests that a cash flow should be presented. If presented, such a cash flow ement could explain to the reader:		
While best state	st section 150(c)(iv) does not require a cash flow statement or cash flow projections, practice suggests that a cash flow should be presented. If presented, such a cash flow		
While best state	st section 150(c)(iv) does not require a cash flow statement or cash flow projections, practice suggests that a cash flow should be presented. If presented, such a cash flow ement could explain to the reader: The expected revenue (income) and expenses of the company, including		
While best state (a)	st section 150(c)(iv) does not require a cash flow statement or cash flow projections, practice suggests that a cash flow should be presented. If presented, such a cash flow ement could explain to the reader: The expected revenue (income) and expenses of the company, including depreciation and amortisation. How expected cash receipts and payments are forecast to be received and paid		
While best state (a) (b) (c)	st section 150(c)(iv) does not require a cash flow statement or cash flow projections, practice suggests that a cash flow should be presented. If presented, such a cash flow ement could explain to the reader: The expected revenue (income) and expenses of the company, including depreciation and amortisation. How expected cash receipts and payments are forecast to be received and paid respectively, that is, the liquidity of the company.		
While best state (a) (b) (c)	st section 150(c)(iv) does not require a cash flow statement or cash flow projections, practice suggests that a cash flow should be presented. If presented, such a cash flow ement could explain to the reader: The expected revenue (income) and expenses of the company, including depreciation and amortisation. How expected cash receipts and payments are forecast to be received and paid respectively, that is, the liquidity of the company. The financial position of the company as at the date of publication of the rescue plan.		
While best state (a) (b) (c) (d) (e)	st section 150(c)(iv) does not require a cash flow statement or cash flow projections, practice suggests that a cash flow should be presented. If presented, such a cash flow ement could explain to the reader: The expected revenue (income) and expenses of the company, including depreciation and amortisation. How expected cash receipts and payments are forecast to be received and paid respectively, that is, the liquidity of the company. The financial position of the company as at the date of publication of the rescue plan. All of the above.	Cu	o mmented [M17]: 1 mark
While best state (a) (c) (d) (e) Ques	 st section 150(c)(iv) does not require a cash flow statement or cash flow projections, practice suggests that a cash flow should be presented. If presented, such a cash flow sment could explain to the reader: The expected revenue (income) and expenses of the company, including depreciation and amortisation. How expected cash receipts and payments are forecast to be received and paid respectively, that is, the liquidity of the company. The financial position of the company as at the date of publication of the rescue plan. All of the above. Both (a) and (b) are correct. 	Сс	o mmented [M17]: 1 mark
Whilisbest state (a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (e) Quee Choo Perti	 st section 150(c)(iv) does not require a cash flow statement or cash flow projections, practice suggests that a cash flow should be presented. If presented, such a cash flow ement could explain to the reader: The expected revenue (income) and expenses of the company, including depreciation and amortisation. How expected cash receipts and payments are forecast to be received and paid respectively, that is, the liquidity of the company. The financial position of the company as at the date of publication of the rescue plan. All of the above. Both (a) and (b) are correct. 	Сс	ommented [M17]: 1 mark

(a)	Three years from the commencement of business rescue proceedings.	
(b)	One year from around the date of publication of the business rescue plan.	
(c)	Three years from around the date of publication of the business rescue plan.	
(d)	Any amount of time - this is at the discretion of the business rescue practitioner.	
(e)	Only for the duration of the proceedings until substantial implementation has been	
. ,	achieved.	
Ques	stion 1.16	C
Choo	ose the correct statement:	
The b	business rescue plan can, once adopted, be "crammed down" on:	
(a)	The secured and unsecured creditors.	
(b)	Only those creditors and shareholders who voted in favour of its adoption.	
(c)	The creditors and shareholders who were present at the meeting in which the plan was adopted.	
(d)	The creditors and shareholders who were not present at the meeting in which the plan was adopted.	
<mark>(e)</mark>	The company, its shareholders, and the secured and unsecured creditors, regardless of whether or not they were present, or voted in favour of adopting the	
	plan.	
Ques	stion 1.17	C
Choo	ose the correct statement:	
vehic	otor-vehicle of a company in business rescue is valued at R100,000.00. The same cle is the subject of the security of X Bank, who are still owed R50,000.00 for financing rehicle.	
as it i	business rescue practitioner wishes to sell the vehicle in the normal course of business is no longer required for the operation of the business. What is the correct course of n for the business rescue practitioner?	
(a)	Always obtain the consent of X bank before selling any asset.	
2022	223-1024.SummativeAssessment Page 12	

(b)	If the business rescue practitioner is sure that the proceeds of the sale will be sufficient to settle the claim of X Bank, then he can sell the vehicle without their consent and simply pay what is owed to X Bank when he receives the sale proceeds for the vehicle.
(c)	Simply sell the vehicle at the best possible price to his brother.
(d)	All of the above.
Que	stion 1.18
Cho	ose the correct statement:
has t With	ng the business rescue proceedings of any company the business rescue practitioner o consider a vast number of statutory obligations that the company must comply with. regard to employees' statutory rights as contained in the Labour Relations Act, which e following statements is correct:
(a)	The Companies Act 2008 supersedes the Labour Relations Act and therefore the only rights of employees during business rescue proceedings are contained in the Companies Act 2008.
(b)	The business rescue practitioner must have regard to section 5 of the Companies Act 2008 in the general interpretation of the Companies Act 2008. The provisions of the Companies Act 2008 and the Labour Relations Act apply concurrently, to the extent that it is possible to apply and comply with one of the inconsistent provisions of the two Acts, without contravening the second. However, to the extent that it is impossible to apply with one of the inconsistent provisions of the two Acts, without contravening the second. However, to the extent that it is impossible to apply or comply with one of the inconsistent provisions of the two Acts, without contravening the second, then the Labour Relations Act will prevail in the case of any inconsistencies.
(c)	The business rescue practitioner must discount the provisions of the Companies Act 2008 and only rely on the provisions of the Labour Relations Act.
(d)	The business rescue practitioner may elect to consider either the Labour Relations Act or the Companies Act 2008, however both cannot be interpreted concurrently.
(e)	none of the above.
Que	stion 1.19
Cho	ose the correct statement:
with	termined necessary, commencing a section 189 retrenchment process (in accordance the provisions set forth in the Labour Relations Act), would be of significant benefit to a companies that have commenced business rescue, as this process is one of the
2022	223-1024.SummativeAssessment Page 13

primary ways in which a financially distressed company can reduce overhead costs and operating expenditure. In this regard, when should a business rescue practitioner commence a section 189 process?

- (a) As soon as possible after the commencement of business rescue and the business rescue practitioner's appointment as practitioner. It is often a vital process in business rescue and should thus be prioritised as a critical procedure to be undertaken as soon after the commencement of business rescue as possible.
- (b) The business rescue practitioner is required to call for a vote on their intention to commence a section 189 process and this vote should be called at the first meeting of creditors convened in terms of section 147 of the Companies Act 2008. If the vote is passed by the requisite majority of creditors of the company, the business rescue practitioner should commence a section 189 process immediately after the vote has been passed in the section 147 first meeting of creditors.
- (c) The business rescue practitioner is required to include provisions regarding their intention to commence a section 189 process in the business rescue plan that they publish. The business rescue practitioner can only commence a section 189 retrenchment process if the business rescue plan contemplates the company commencing the process and only if it is duly approved and adopted by the requisite majority of creditors. Thus, the business rescue practitioner should only commence a section 189 process after publication of the plan and subsequent to the business rescue plan being voted on, approved and adopted by creditors.
- (d) The business rescue practitioner is legally permitted to commence a section 189 process at any time from the date of commencement of business rescue, but it must be initiated, and the requisite section 189 consulting period must be concluded, prior to the substantial implementation of the business rescue plan.

Question 1.20

Choose the **incorrect** statement:

If a business rescue practitioner is not appointed within five (5) business days after commencement of a company's voluntary business rescue:

(a) The business rescue proceedings immediately end.

- (b) The business rescue resolution lapses and is a nullity.
- (c) The business rescue proceedings are not affected unless a court sets aside the resolution.
- (d) Approval of the business rescue plan will automatically cure this procedural error.

202223-1024.SummativeAssessment

Commented [M22]: 0 marks

Where appropriate, refer to the case study below when answering the questions that follow.

CASE STUDY

Khusela Entertainment Proprietary Limited

Khusela Entertainment Proprietary Limited (Khusela) is a private company duly incorporated and registered as such under the applicable company laws of the Republic of South Africa (South Africa). Khusela has been operating as one of the largest record companies in South Africa for almost 30 years and has enjoyed great success and profitability through innovative branding, creative marketing and its management's ability to identify the latest trends in South African music and sign the greatest local talent. Leveraging off the influence and popularity of distinctively South African genres such as "Kwaito", "Gqom" and "Amapiano", Khusela has amassed a valuable catalogue comprising a multitude of well-known hits. Whilst Khusela's head office is located in Johannesburg, it operates recording studios in all major South African cities, including Polokwane, Durban, Pretoria, Mbombela, Bloemfontein, Gqeberha and Cape Town. For this purpose, Khusela has entered into various commercial lease agreements with Universal Properties Limited (Universal Properties), in terms of which studio space and recording equipment are leased from Universal Properties on a long-term basis. In order to facilitate artists' travel between the various recording studios, Khusela acquired a fleet of brand-new luxury mini-buses from Fast Cars Proprietary Limited under instalment sale agreements.

Over the past five years, Khusela has expanded rapidly in order to provide a complete service offering to its artists, music producers and promoters and consequently established a publishing division, an events management division as well as a record label called Soweto Music. As a result of this rapid expansion, Khusela incurred large amounts of high-interest debt by way of various financing arrangements with local banks and private equity firms. In addition to this, Khusela hired large amounts of employees in anticipation of increased demand resulting from its new service offerings. From having approximately 500 employees in 2015, Khusela's workforce (and its associated wage bill) quadrupled and comprised approximately 2,000 employees by the end of 2021. Khusela's employees are represented by the South African Entertainers Union (SAEU), a South African registered trade union that aims to safeguard the interests of musicians and entertainers, by advocating for fair labour practices and favourable working conditions for artists.

During the 2022 financial year, Khusela began to experience a substantial decrease in its operating revenue as a result of the following factors: (i) increased competition from new players in the South African music industry, (ii) the introduction of online platforms that allow artists to publish and distribute their music without the need to sign with a record label, and (iii) the increased tendency for up and coming artists to promote their music via social media platforms, as opposed to traditional means of marketing and promotion.

Due to the poor financial performance of Khusela during the 2022 financial year, Khusela's management went into panic mode and their immediate reaction was to pump additional capital into the business, in order to expand its service offering even further. As part of this capital-raising strategy, Khusela (i) entered into a revolving credit facility agreement with Crypto Bank Limited, in terms of which Khusela acquired a revolving credit facility in an aggregate amount equal to R100,000,000 against security in the form of a cession of book debts and a cession of all of Khusela's rights under its material distribution agreements, (ii) refinanced its existing debt (on more onerous and somewhat prejudicial terms) with Old Money Investment Corporation, a South African private equity firm, against the provision of additional security in the form of a mortgage bond registered over Khusela's head office and a deed of hypothecation over Khusela's registered trademarks, and (iii) initiated a rights offer in terms of which Khusela, and pursuant to which approximately R30,000,000 in additional equity was raised.

After acquiring additional capital to fund its business, Khusela's outlook in the short term seemed positive. However, it quickly became apparent to Khusela's Chief Financial Officer, Mr Kabelo Mogale and its Chief Executive Officer, Mr Themba Sithole, that whilst there was a noticeable increase in profits (as reflected in the latest management accounts), the likelihood of Khusela becoming overindebted in the long-term remained. For this reason, Kabelo and Themba set out to obtain legal advice from Best Law Inc on the options available to companies experiencing financial distress, as a precautionary measure. In particular, they wished to understand the entry routes into the South African business rescue process and the prescribed statutory requirements for each route.

In the midst of their financial uncertainty, and just as Khusela began to recover from its financial decline, a group of Khusela's biggest artists (and largest contributors of revenue), announced that they wished to leave Khusela's record label, reclaim their master rights, and go independent. This decision resulted in significant cash shortfalls given that Khusela experienced a substantial and unexpected reduction in its revenue streams. This "liquidity crisis" culminated in Khusela being unable to service its debt obligations and pay its overheads at the beginning of the year 2023. It then became clear to Khusela's board of directors that it appeared to be reasonably unlikely that the company would be able to pay its debts as they became due and payable in the ordinary course, and at this point, Khusela's draft financial statements indicated that the company's liabilities exceeded its assets.

Whilst Khusela's board of directors were contemplating the options available to them, the company was not able to pay its critical suppliers, landlords and its employees' salaries. As a result, certain creditors began taking legal action to recover the amounts owing to them, and in this regard:

- Opera Sound Engineering Services Proprietary Limited (Opera Sound Engineering) issued a money judgment application in the High Court of South Africa KwaZulu-Natal Division, Durban against Khusela, in terms of which it claimed certain amounts owing by Khusela pursuant to repairs carried out by it at one of Khusela's studios;
- World of Music Proprietary Limited had begun preparing a liquidation application, on the basis that Khusela ought to be deemed to be unable to pay its debts;
- (iii) Fast Cars Proprietary Limited threatened to cancel the instalment sale agreements entered into with Khusela, as a result of Khusela's failure to pay instalments under the relevant instalment sale agreements; and
- (iv) In addition to the abovementioned legal steps, Universal Properties, one of Khusela's landlords and a creditor that was owed in excess of R20,000,000 in arrear rentals, sought legal advice and subsequently brought an application in the High Court of South Africa Gauteng Local Division, Johannesburg as an "affected person" to place the company under supervision and commence business rescue proceedings. In its business rescue application, Universal Properties nominated Ms Sarah van Zyl (a senior practitioner) for appointment as the business rescue practitioner of Khusela. After considering the business rescue application brought by Universal Properties, the High Court granted an order placing Khusela into business rescue and made a further order appointing Ms Sarah van Zyl as interim business rescue practitioner.

In light of the fact that salaries remained unpaid for a substantial period of time, and given that Khusela was subsequently placed into business rescue, the employees of Khusela were uncertain about what they could expect and wished to obtain the following legal advice:

- whether their position in business rescue was more advantageous than if Khusela was put into liquidation;
- (ii) whether they (as employees) have any statutory rights to participate in the business rescue proceedings;
- a breakdown of the status of their claims in respect of unpaid salaries (both prebusiness rescue and post-business rescue), in terms of the provisions of the Companies Act 2008 (Companies Act 2008);
- (iv) whether the business rescue practitioner may unilaterally amend and vary their employment terms and conditions; and
- (v) whether they may be validly retrenched in terms of the applicable labour laws of South Africa read with the Companies Act 2008.

The employees of Khusela obtained a detailed legal opinion from insolvency and restructuring law experts on the aforementioned issues.

Following her appointment, Ms Sarah van Zyl immediately assumed full management control of Khusela and scheduled a first meeting of creditors. At the first meeting of creditors, Ms Sarah van Zyl's appointment was ratified in the manner prescribed by the Companies Act 2008 and thereafter she began to investigate the affairs of Khusela, with the view of developing a business rescue plan.

During the course of Sarah's investigations, she was approached by Themba Sithole (the CEO of Khusela) who informed her that he had previously bound himself as surety for the debts of Khusela under the initial funding transaction entered into with Old Money Investment Corporation in the year 2019. Themba was curious to know whether his obligations under the deed of suretyship had been extinguished by virtue of the fact that Khusela was placed into business rescue proceedings. Sarah addressed a letter to Themba setting out the status of Themba's obligations under the deed of suretyship in light of relevant case law.

In relation to the various contracts concluded by Khusela with its various suppliers and landlords (prior to the commencement of business rescue proceedings), Sarah was uncertain as to whether she was able to suspend and / or cancel prejudicial contracts. She recalls from legal advice that she obtained previously that the Companies Act 2008 gives business rescue practitioners the ability to suspend or cancel prejudicial contracts, but she is uncertain as to how this may be done practically. Consequently, Sarah reached out to Best Law Inc and requested them to prepare a brief legal opinion dealing with the suspension or cancellation of prejudicial contracts in the business rescue context.

Following her investigations into the business and affairs of Khusela, Sarah was of the view that Khusela was capable of being rescued, particularly in view of Khusela's established brand and goodwill that it has in the South African music industry. She immediately sets out to secure post-commencement financing to keep the company afloat, whilst Khusela's business rescue plan was being prepared and drafted for consideration by creditors.

The business rescue plan of Khusela was eventually published a year after Sarah was appointed as the business rescue practitioner. The business rescue plan was subsequently put to a vote at a meeting of creditors held in terms of section 151 of the Companies Act. The business rescue plan of Khusela was supported by the requisite majority of creditors and was finally adopted.

Opera Sound Engineering, a minority creditor, voted against the adoption of the business rescue plan, as its board of directors was of the view that there were no reasonable prospects of Khusela being rescued. The board of Opera Sound Engineering was further of the view that the approved business rescue plan was not binding on Opera Sound Engineering at all, given that it had voted against the adoption of the business rescue plan.

Sarah proceeded to implement Khusela's approved business rescue plan. The business rescue proceedings of Khusela continued over a prolonged period of time and eventually it became clear that the business rescue plan was not capable of being implemented in its initial form. Sarah consequently amended Khusela's business rescue plan unilaterally and circulated a notice to creditors informing them of such amendments. The provisions of the amended business rescue plan were prejudicial to the interests of Crypto Bank Limited and Old Money Investment Corporation. Accordingly, both Crypto Bank Limited and Old Money Investment Corporation initiated joint legal proceedings to have Sarah removed as the business rescue practitioner. The application to remove Sarah as the business rescue practitioner was unsuccessful. Ultimately, despite the best efforts of Ms Sarah van Zyl and Khusela's board of directors, it was determined that Khusela was not capable of being rescued. Accordingly, Ms Sarah van Zyl proceeded to take the necessary steps to place Khusela into liquidation. Question 2 Commented [M23]: 2 marks out of 2. It is recorded in the case study that "certain creditors began taking legal action to recover the amounts owing to them". Briefly discuss the enforceability of legal proceedings in light of Khusela's ongoing business rescue proceedings. (2)[Section 133(1) of the Companies Act 71 of 2008 provides companies in business rescue with a moratorium on legal proceedings or enforcement action for the duration of the business rescue proceedings, the purpose of which is to provide the company in business rescue with the necessary breathing space to restructure its affairs and is critical to achieving the objectives of business rescue. The moratorium prohibits all legal proceedings against the company whilst it is under business rescue save with the approval of the High Court or the consent of the Business Rescue Practitioner in terms of Section 133(1)(a) and (b). The effect of which is that all current legal and enforcement proceedings pending against the company are suspended if not approved by the High Court or consented to by the Business Rescue Practitioner. Question 3 Commented [M24]: 2 out of 3 marks. No reference made to voting by the holders of What is the requisite majority of creditors' support that is required for a business rescue security to the extent that their interests are plan to be adopted? (3)affected. [Section 152(2) of the Companies Act 71 of 2008 stipulates the majority of creditors support for a business rescue plan to be adopted as 75% of the creditors voting interest who voted which must include at least 50% of the independent creditors voting interest who voted.] 202223-1024.SummativeAssessment Page 19

Question 4

It is mentioned that Opera Sound Engineering voted against the business rescue plan. Is the approved business rescue plan binding on Opera Sound Engineering? Substantiate your answer with authority.

(3)

[The approved business rescue plan remains binding on all creditors irrespective of whether they voted in favor of it or not.

The business rescue plan will be crammed down on any dissenting creditors by virtue of Section 154 (1) of the Companies Act 71 of 2008 which applies to all creditors whether having dissented or voted in favor of the business rescue plan or not.

The SCA in the case of *Van Zyl v Auto Commodities (Pty) Ltd* 2021 (5) SC 171 (SCA) explained that the debts of dissenting creditors are not discharged but that the enforcement of their claims are merely limited, the debt continues to exist but is only enforceable as provided for in the adopted business rescue plan.

Question 5

Considering the fact that Khusela was already unable to pay its debts at the time of the application to place it in business rescue, explain whether the requirement of financial distress as defined in the Companies Act 2008 was met, or whether it was too late for a business rescue order to be issued. (5)

[The test for financial distress as defined in Section 128(f) of the Companies Act 71 of 2008 is that the company will be reasonably unlikely to pay its debts as they fall due in the ensuring six months or it appears reasonably likely that the company will become insolvent in the ensuring six months.

The act does not at first glance seem to cater for companies which are already insolvent at the time of entering into business rescue. The SCA in *Oakdene Square properties (Pty) Ltd v Farm Bothasfontein (Kyalami) (Pty) Ltd* 2013 (4) SA 539 (SCA) pronounced on this issue explaining that a commercially insolvent company did meet the requirements of financial distress as well as the requirements for an insolvent winding-up.

There accordingly arises circumstances under which a company may qualify for either business rescue or liquidation, as long as a reasonable prospect of success exists in this situation the company will be able to meet the requirements for business rescue.

Although Khuseal is commercially insolvent as at the date of entering into business rescue the requirement of financial distress was met.

Question 6

202223-1024.SummativeAssessment

Page 20

Commented [M25]: 2 out of 3 marks. Reference must be made to section 152(4) of the Companies Act.

Commented [M26]: 2 marks out of 5. Needed to discuss the principles in *Gormley v West City Precinct Properties (Pty)* Ltd and *FirstRand Bank v Lodhi 5 Properties Investment*. Needed to discuss the 'just and equitable' ground for a BR order.

Commented [M27]: 1 mark out of 5. Needed to discuss relevant case law ie. Lutchman NO v African Global Holdings, ABSA Bank Limited v Summer Lodge and Standard Bank of South Africa v A-Team Trading CC. Also needed to mention that the issue for consideration is whether a pending liquidation application should be regarded as part of liquidation proceedings prior to the application being heard in court.

What effect - if any - would the application for Khusela to be placed in business reso on the application by World of Music for the company to be placed in liquidation application (for liquidation) already been filed at the High Court at the time?	
The liquidation application by World of Music would in the event that it was filed, effect on the business rescue application.	have no
The business rescue proceedings of Khusela took place by application to court in Section 131 of the Companies Act 71 of 2008, the order of which was granted be iquidation application was heard.	
Section 131(6) of the Companies Act 71 of 2008 which provides that if liq proceedings have already been commenced with at the time the business application is made then the liquidation proceedings are suspended pending the rescue application.	s rescue
n this scenario the business rescue proceedings where instituted before the liq proceedings. Furthermore, no order whether provisional or final has been made iquidators appointed, the effect of any suspension of liquidation proceedings w hat the liquidation application could not be heard pending the outcome of the l rescue proceedings.	e and no vould be
Commencement of business rescue proceedings by application to court in terms o 131 can take place at any time, even after a final liquidation order has been granted of the SCA decision of <i>Richter v ABSA Bank Limited</i> 2015 (5) SA 57 (SCA).	
Question 7	
n addition to the cession of books debts in favour of Crypto Bank, it also insis hereafter registered a general notarial bond over the movable assets of Khusela.	sted and
Ms Sarah van Zyl identified a large amount of redundant equipment and even unroadworthy old vehicles that could be sold urgently in order to fund the operation cost of Khusela during business rescue.	
Crypto Bank came to hear of Sarah van Zyl's intention to sell these assets and add etter to her via their attorneys threatening to launch an urgent Court application to her from selling the assets subject to their security, without their consent.	
Question 7.1	Commented [M28]: 2 mar
Sarah Van Zyl approaches her lawyers at Best Law Inc for advice on what the legal of Crypto Bank with regard to the general notarial bond, and her prospects of su opposing the threatened urgent application. As an experienced lawyer at Best	uccess in

advise Sarah van Zyl on whether or not she is entitled to sell the assets in questi Crypto Bank's consent.	on without (2)
[Sarah will have good prospects of success in defending the urgent application notarial bonds have neither a title interest nor a security interest unless they are before the commencement of business rescue proceedings.	
Perfection occurs by the creditor obtaining possession through the consent of the or a court order neither of which have occurred in this situation.	e company
Saraha is entitled to sell the assets in question provided she adheres to requirements of Section 134 of the Companies Act 71 of 2008.	any other
Question 7.2	Commented [M29]: 4 marks.
If Sarah van Zyl is in a position to sell the assets, what would the requiremen disposal be?	
[The requirements for a company in business rescue to dispose of assets is Section 134 of the Companies Act 71 of 2008 and can be done under the circumstances:	
 In the ordinary course of business; in a <i>bona fide</i> transaction at arms length for fair value approved in writing by the rescue practitioner; In a transaction contemplated within, and undertaken as part of the implane business rescue plan that has been approved in terms of section 152 	
The requirements of Section 134(3) do not apply as Crypto Bank has neither security interest to the property in question and their consent or immediate pay the sale of the property is not required.	
Question 8	Commented [M30]: 4.5 marks. Needed to
Sarah Van Zyl approaches Easy Access PCF, a well-known provider of funding to businesses, for a loan to fund the expected operational losses during business re a short due diligence, Easy Access PCF indicate that they are willing to pro- commencement funding of R1,000,000 subject to Sarah agreeing to sell to proceeds of Khusela's existing material distribution agreements and the proce- paid to them directly until such time as the post commencement finance is repa Advise Sarah van Zyl under which circumstances she can agree to East	sscue. After ovide post o them the eeds being id in full.
requirements considering that the rights to these agreements have already bee Crypto Bank.	
202223-1024.SummativeAssessment	Page 22

Section 134(3) of the Companies Act 71 of 2008 prevents the business rescue practitioner from disposing of property over which any person has any security or title interest in without the consent of the holder of the security or title interest or without being in the position to fully and promptly settle the security holders' interest on the realization of the asset.

The cession of Khusela's material distribution agreements to Crypto Bank is a security interest in favor of Crypto Bank as defined in Section 134(3) and as such Sarah will only be able to agree to the Easy Access's agreements if she adheres to either of the requirements in Sections 134(3)(a) or (b).

Sarah can obtain the consent of Crypto Bank in terms of section 134(3)(a) alternatively and in terms of Section 134(b) the proceeds of the disposal of the property must be sufficient to fully discharge the indebtedness of Crypto Bank and Crypto Bank will need to be promptly paid from the proceeds.

In terms of *Louis Pasteur Holding (Pty) Ltd and Others v ABSA Bank Ltd and Others* 2019 (3) SA 97 (SCA) the SCA determined periodic payments that will eventually satisfy the debt are not sufficient to satisfy the requirement of prompt payment. The effect of which is that the realization of the asset must be able to immediately satisfy the debt.

Question 9

The business rescue practitioner of Khusela Entertainment (Pty) Ltd was faced with a work force of over 2,000 employees at the commencement of the business rescue proceedings. Within the first week of business rescue proceedings having commenced, the business rescue practitioner identified the need to embark on a retrenchment process with more than fifty percent (50%) of the employees of Khusela Entertainment (Pty) Ltd, for operational considerations. The business rescue practitioner, being a prudent and careful business rescue practitioner, immediately embarked on a section 189 consultative process with the affected employees of Khusela Entertainment (Pty) Ltd, in terms of the relevant provisions of the Labour Relations Act. The first consultation took place two weeks after the commencement of business rescue proceedings, with the various consultative meetings taking more than 60 days to complete and, eventually, more than 1,500 employees of Khusela Entertainment (Pty) Ltd were retrenched for operational considerations during the business rescue proceedings.

Commented [M31]: 3 marks out of 7. Needed to state that the status and rights of employees in a business rescue process are entrenched in chapter 6 of the Companies Act, subject to the provisions of applicable labour laws. Needed to discuss the fact that the BRP may commence with a section 189 process in the absence of a collective agreement that allows for a reduction in salaries and benefits. Needed to describe what the section 189 process entails. Needed to state that the BRP could issue voluntary severance offers to all affected employees, subject to any collective agreements.

202223-1024.SummativeAssessment

Page 23

Despite the negative impact this had on the employees who were retrenched, the business rescue practitioner ensured that the cash flow for the business was restored to a manageable level for the business, the employees were paid their severance packages, and the business rescue practitioner felt that the correct decisions were made pursuant to the consultative process with the employees.

This retrenchment process and the resultant cash flow relief paved the way for the business rescue practitioner to draft the proposed business rescue plan, which was published after the section 189 process was finalised.

In light of the rights of employees and the current case law on this subject, discuss whether the business rescue practitioner followed the correct process and procedure in this case. (7)

[In the above instance the business rescue practitioner has not followed the correct process and procedure in retrenching the employees.

Section 136(1)(b) of the Companies Act 71 of 2008 reinforces the position that retrenchments under business rescue must follow the procedure set out in Section 189 and 189A of the Labor Relations Act.

Although the business rescue practitioner has set out to comply with Section 198 of the LRA the court in the matter of *South African Airways SOC Ltd and Others v National Union of Metalworkers of South Africa obo members and Others* 2021 6 BLLR 627 (LC) determined that section 136(1) required any retrenchments during business rescue proceedings to be dealt with in the business rescue plan. The court further determined that there are no other provisions in the Act which would entitle the business rescue plan.

The effect of this judgment is that contemplated retrenchments during business rescue can only effectively occur after the adoption of a business rescue plan and only to the extent that they are provided for in the adopted plan. In this situation the business rescue practitioner has failed to include the contemplated retrenchments in the business rescue plan.

The business rescue practitioner concluded the retrenchments before a plan had been adopted where the correct position would have been to include the contemplated retrenchments in the plan and affect them after the adoption.

Question 10

Discuss the general rights held, if any, by the employees of Khusela during the business rescue process of Khusela. (3)

202223-1024.SummativeAssessment

Page 24

Commented [M32]: 3 marks.

[Employees have the general rights to be informed of, consulted with and to take part in the business rescue proceedings.

In terms of Section 144 of the Companies Act 71 of 2008 employees have the rights to notice of every court proceeding, decision, meeting or other relevant event concerning the business rescue proceedings and to participate in any court proceedings arising during the business rescue proceedings. Employees have the right to consult with the practitioner during the business rescue process and the right to review the plan, they have the right to be present and take part in the creditors meeting.

Question 11

Discuss whether Mr Themba Sithole (the Chief Executive Officer), (ii) Mr Kabelo Mogale (the Chief Financial Officer) and (iii) the board of directors would have had any role during the business rescue process of Khusela. (3)

[During business rescue proceedings the board continues to exercise their functions under the supervision of the business rescue practitioner who has full management control. The practitioner will ordinarily delegate certain powers back to the board for the day-to-day functioning of the business.

The directors are furthermore mandated to assist the business rescue practitioner by attending to her reasonable requests, providing information on the companies affairs and delivering the books and records of the company to the business rescue practitioner.

The board remains an important function of the business with the requisite inside business and industry knowledge that the business rescue practitioner will need to rely on when formulating a business rescue plan.

Question 12

Ms Sarah Van Zyl would have had an obligation to consult with creditors, other affected persons, and the management of Khusela before preparing a business rescue plan for consideration. With reference to case law, what should the term "consultation" entail in this context? (5)

[It is of paramount importance that the business rescue practitioner takes consulting with affected persons and management very seriously in the preparation of the business rescue plan, the failure to do so may lead to serious consequences for the business rescue proceedings.

The consultation with creditors is mandated under Section 151 of the companies act 71 of 2008 and with employees under Section 148.

202223-1024.SummativeAssessment

Commented [M33]: 1 mark. Needed to discuss the fact that directors are relieved of certain of their fiduciary duties to the extent that they act according to the BRP's instructions and subject to his/her instructions. Needed to apply the legal principles to the facts.

Commented [M34]: 4 marks out of 5. Needed to refer to the procedure for consultation being one which enables consultation in the substantive sense to occur.

The case of <i>Hlumisa Investments Holdings (RF Limited and Another) v Van der Merwe NO and Others</i> 2016 JOL 34326 (GP) grappled with the meaning of consultation, the court found that there is a clear distinction between informing and consulting. Quoting from the case of <i>Scalabrini Center Cape Town and Others v Minister of Home Affairs and Others</i> the court found that consultation entails a genuine invitation to give advice and a genuine receipt of that advice, it cannot be treated as a mere formality.	
updates to the proceedings through the Stock Exchange News Service it did not meet the requirements of consulting. The court granted an interdict preventing the holding of a meeting to vote on the plan on this basis.	
The consultative process cannot merely be a mechanical process, it needs to be more than that, the business rescue practitioner will need to engage with affected persons and take their advice and views into account in the determination of a business rescue plan.]	
Question 13	Commented [M35]: 2 marks.
Discuss whether Ms Sarah Van Zyl could propose an agreement with Khusela providing for further remuneration in addition to what is permitted by the government-regulated tariff, and who would have to approve such proposal? (2) [Section 143 of the Companies Act 71 of 2008 regulates the fees and rate that a business rescue practitioner is allowed to charge.	
The Business Rescue Practitioner is able to in addition to the hourly fee prescribed in Section 143 propose a contingency fee. The contingency fee will need to be contingent on achieving a specified outcome and importantly can only be binding if it is approved by the majority of creditors voting interest present at a meeting convened to consider the agreement as well as the majority of the voting rights attached to any shares of the company that entitle the shareholder to a portion of the residual values of the company in winding up, present and voting at a meeting called to consider the agreement.	
Sarah will need to prepare the agreement with clearly specified contingent outcomes and call two meetings in terms of which creditors and shareholders can vote on the proposed agreement, only if these votes are secured will the agreement binding on the company.	
Question 14	Commented [M36]: 3 marks.
Is Khusela Entertainment a small, medium or large company, and what is the tariff rate per hour that Ms Sarah van Zyl can charge for her services as business rescue practitioner? Base your answer on the information provided and assume no significant changes between the dates set out in the case study and the date of commencement of business rescue. (3)	
dates set out in the case study and the date of commencement of business rescue. (c)	

[Khusela is a large company, if one considers their 2000 employees alone gives them a PIC score of 2000 in terms of Section 143 of the Companies Act 71 of 2008 read with regulation 127(2) and 26(2), a large company is classified as having a PIS score of over 500.

The rate that Sarah can charge in respect of a large company per the tariff is R 2 000 per hour (maximum of R25 000 per day) (inclusive of VAT).]

Question 15

The case study includes the following statements:

"At the first meeting of creditors, Ms Sarah van Zyl's appointment was ratified in the manner prescribed by the Companies Act and thereafter she began to investigate the affairs of Khusela."

and

"Following her investigations into the business and affairs of Khusela, Sarah was of the view that Khusela was capable of being rescued."

Read together these statements indicate that Sarah may not have complied with the Companies Act 2008 in performing her duties as the business rescue practitioner of Khusela Entertainment. Identify the section of the Act that may not have been complied with and explain why and what should have been done differently. (3)

[Sarah may not have complied with Section 141 of the Companies Act 71 2008 in performing her duties, which prescribe that if at any time during the business rescue proceedings the business rescue practitioner concludes that there is no reasonable prospect of rescuing the company, she must inform the court, the company and all affected persons.

There is constantly an onus on the business rescue practitioner to assess whether there is a reasonable prospect of rescuing the company including as at the date of the commencement of entering into business rescue.

What Sarah ought to have done was a pre-assessment of the company to ensure at least on a preliminary basis that it is a candidate for business rescue before accepting to be appointed as the business rescue practitioner. This will prevent a situation where shortly after being appointed she has to inform affected persons that there is no reasonable prospect of rescuing the company.

Question 16

202223-1024.SummativeAssessment

Page 27

Commented [M37]: 0 marks. Needed to discuss the fact that at the first meeting of creditors, a business rescue practitioner must inform the creditors whether the practitioner believes that there is a reasonable prospect of rescuing the company. Sarah ought to have commenced an investigation into the affairs of the company immediately on being appointed to comply with this duty.

Commented [M38]: 3 marks. Note please see section 132(3) - the duty to publish monthly progress reports only kicks in after 3 months.

The business rescue plan was published almost a year after the comm rescue proceedings. The delay would have triggered a number of du the business rescue practitioner. List these and identify the relevant s creates the obligation or duty.	ities or obligations on	
[Section 150(5) of the companies Act 71 of 2008 requires a business publish a business rescue plan within 25 business days after her appe		
If the business rescue plan has been published almost one year afte of business rescue it means that the time period stipulated in Sect lapsed.		
If the business rescue practitioner is unable to publish the plan in the must apply for the extension of the time periods by and application to the majority of the creditors voting interest per Section 150(5).		
In addition the business rescue practitioner will be required in term publish a report on the business rescue to all effected persons and t each month for the period in excess of the 25 business days.		
Question 17		
Mr Sandiso Siwisa, who is the cousin of the one of the directors of Khu		C
Mr Sandiso Siwisa, who is the cousin of the one of the directors of Khu issued share capital of Khusela. Mr Siwisa's half-sister, Mrs Lungi Phil issued share capital of Khusela. There is only one class of shares and shareholder one vote. Mr Siwisa is also a creditor of Khusela by virtue of a R500,000 loan m	lips, owns 26% of the I each share affords a	C
Mr Sandiso Siwisa, who is the cousin of the one of the directors of Khu issued share capital of Khusela. Mr Siwisa's half-sister, Mrs Lungi Phil issued share capital of Khusela. There is only one class of shares and shareholder one vote. Mr Siwisa is also a creditor of Khusela by virtue of a R500,000 loan m it urgently needed cash during 2022.	lips, owns 26% of the l each share affords a nade to Khusela when	Co
Mr Sandiso Siwisa, who is the cousin of the one of the directors of Khu issued share capital of Khusela. Mr Siwisa's half-sister, Mrs Lungi Phil issued share capital of Khusela. There is only one class of shares and shareholder one vote. Mr Siwisa is also a creditor of Khusela by virtue of a R500,000 loan m it urgently needed cash during 2022. Is Mr Siwisa an independent creditor of Khusela? Provide full reasons [An independent creditor is defined by Section 128(2) of the Comp	lips, owns 26% of the d each share affords a hade to Khusela when for your answer. (5) anies Act 71 2008 as	Con
Mr Sandiso Siwisa, who is the cousin of the one of the directors of Khu issued share capital of Khusela. Mr Siwisa's half-sister, Mrs Lungi Phil issued share capital of Khusela. There is only one class of shares and shareholder one vote. Mr Siwisa is also a creditor of Khusela by virtue of a R500,000 loan m it urgently needed cash during 2022. Is Mr Siwisa an independent creditor of Khusela? Provide full reasons [An independent creditor is defined by Section 128(2) of the Comp someone not related to the company, a director, or the business reso Related is furthermore defined in Section 1 of the Companies Act 71 o	lips, owns 26% of the l each share affords a hade to Khusela when for your answer. (5) anies Act 71 2008 as the practitioner. f 2008 as two persons	Cor
Mr Sandiso Siwisa, who is the cousin of the one of the directors of Khu issued share capital of Khusela. Mr Siwisa's half-sister, Mrs Lungi Phil issued share capital of Khusela. There is only one class of shares and shareholder one vote. Mr Siwisa is also a creditor of Khusela by virtue of a R500,000 loan m it urgently needed cash during 2022. Is Mr Siwisa an independent creditor of Khusela? Provide full reasons [An independent creditor is defined by Section 128(2) of the Comp someone not related to the company, a director, or the business resc Related is furthermore defined in Section 1 of the Companies Act 71 o who are connected to one another in any manner contemplated in se Section 2(1)(a)(ii) states that an individual is related to another if they more the two degrees of natural or adopted consanguinity or affinity assume that Mr Siwisa and his half-sister qualify as related or inter-rel	lips, owns 26% of the d each share affords a nade to Khusela when for your answer. (5) anies Act 71 2008 as the practitioner. f 2008 as two persons ection 2(1)(a) to (c). y are separated by no y, on this basis we can	Cor
Mr Sandiso Siwisa, who is the cousin of the one of the directors of Khu issued share capital of Khusela. Mr Siwisa's half-sister, Mrs Lungi Phil issued share capital of Khusela. There is only one class of shares and shareholder one vote. Mr Siwisa is also a creditor of Khusela by virtue of a R500,000 loan m it urgently needed cash during 2022. Is Mr Siwisa an independent creditor of Khusela? Provide full reasons [An independent creditor is defined by Section 128(2) of the Comp someone not related to the company, a director, or the business resc Related is furthermore defined in Section 1 of the Companies Act 71 o who are connected to one another in any manner contemplated in sec Section 2(1)(a)(ii) states that an individual is related to another if they more the two degrees of natural or adopted consanguinity or affinity	lips, owns 26% of the d each share affords a hade to Khusela when for your answer. (5) anies Act 71 2008 as the practitioner. f 2008 as two persons ection 2(1)(a) to (c). are separated by no o, on this basis we can ated. on if they directly or	Co

Section 2(2)(a)(ii)(aa) defines control over a juristic person as someone who together with a related or inter-related person directly or indirectly is able to exercise control over the majority of the voting rights associated with securities of the juristic person.

Mr Siwisa together with his half-sister own a combined percentage of 51% in Khusela, this meets the threshold of Section 2(2)(a)(ii)(aa) in that they are related or inter-related and together they are able to exercise control over the majority of the voting rights associated with securities of Khusela

For these reasons Mr Siwisa is not an independent creditor as he is related to the company.

Question 18

Comment on the validity of the business rescue practitioner, Sarah van Zyl, having the "ability" to unilaterally amend the business rescue plan. Also discuss the requirements of implementing an amendment to the business rescue plan with reference to the Companies Act 2008 and appropriate case law. (8)

[Once a plan has been adopted it is unlikely that the plan will be implemented exactly according to plan, and it is therefore common for business rescue practitioners to include a clause which will allow them to amend the plan in its implementation phase.

However, such clause allowing for the amendment of the plan cannot allow for the business rescue practitioner to unilaterally amend the business rescue plan. This was made clear in the case of *Booysen v Jonkheer Boerewynmakery (Pty) Ltd (in business rescue) and Another* 2014 1 ALL SA 862 (WCC). The court held that the business rescue practitioner has no power to impose on creditors a plan which they had not voted on and discussed in the manner contemplated by Section 152.

The Act is silent on how a plan is to be amended post its adoption by creditors, the court in *Kransfontein Beleggings (Pty) Ltd v Corlink Twenty Five (Pty) Ltd and Others* (624/2016) [2017] ZASCA 131 (29 September 2017) simply stated that such amendments could not allow for unilateral amendments by the business rescue practitioner and could not circumvent the procedure contemplated in sections 152, 145 and 146 of the Companies Act 71 of 2008.

In the matter of Arqomanzi Propreitary Limited v Vantage Goldfields (Pty) Ltd Limited and Others 2019 JOL 46430 (MM) the court concluded that an adopted plan could be amended but that the business rescue practitioner would need to consult with the creditors, other affected person and management to prepare amended plans to put forward to the creditors and shareholder for adoption.

Sarah will accordingly not be entitled to unilaterally amend the adopted business recue plan, the best procedure for Sarah to follow will be to amend the plan and call a meeting of effected person and shareholders to vote on the amendment to plan.

202223-1024.SummativeAssessment

Commented [M40]: 5 out of 8. Needed to state that the amended business rescue plans need to be published. Needed to state the circumstances under which the shareholders would vote on the amended plan. Needed to state that the amended business rescue will only be adopted once the requisite majority votes in favour of the amended plan.

Question 19	Commented [M41]: 0 marks. The question
Placing yourself in the shoes of Opera Sound Engineering, explain three key items you would expect to see in the financial projections of the business rescue plan to assist you to vote in the business rescue of Khusela. (3)	asked you to identify 3 key items you wou expect to see in the <u>financial projections</u> of the BR Plan, not what must be included in the BR plan.
[As part of the proposed projections I would expect to see:	
1 A balance sheet and projected balance sheet for the ensuing three years.2 A projected statement of income for the ensuing three years.3 A projected cash flow statement for the ensuring three years	
Question 20	Commented [M42]: 2 marks.
Ms Sarah van Zyl has asked you whether she should include a cash flow statement in her business rescue plan, as technically it is not required. What would your response be? (2)	
[Although not technically required by it would be best practice to include a cash flow statement in the business rescue plan.	
Cash flow is material to the future success of the company after the adoption of the business rescue plan, many companies enter business rescue as a failure to pay their monthly operating expenses notwithstanding large amounts of assets.	
Cash flow is critical and will assist affected persons in voting in favor of or against the plan.	
Question 21	Commented [M43]: 2 out of 3 marks.
From the perspective of the employees, what are three advantages of Khusela being placed in business rescue rather than being liquidated? (3)	Employees are protected by labour law i context of business rescue / the Labour Relations Act is applicable to any dismiss for operational requirements.
[1 Employees contracts are suspended once a company has been liquidated, the aim and purpose of business rescue is job preservation, and it is more likely that employees will retain their jobs under business rescue.	
2 Employees claims for pre-commencement amounts owed enjoy a preference in the ranking of creditors.	
3 Employees claim for post-commencement claims enjoy a super preference in the ranking of creditors which they do not similarly enjoy under liquidation proceedings.]	
202223-1024.SummativeAssessment Page 30	

*** END OF ASSESSMENT ***

TOTAL MARKS: [100]