

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETION AND SUBMISSION OF ASSESSMENT

Please read the following instructions very carefully before submitting / uploading your assessment on the Foundation Certificate web pages.

- 1. You must use this document for the answering of the assessment for this module. The answers to each question must be completed using this document with the answers populated under each question.
- 2. All assessments must be submitted electronically in MS Word format, using a standard A4 size page and a 11-point Arial font. This document has been set up with these parameters please do not change the document settings in any way. DO NOT submit your assessment in PDF format as it will be returned to you unmarked.
- 3. No limit has been set for the length of your answers to the questions. However, please be guided by the mark allocation for each question. More often than not, one fact / statement will earn one mark (unless it is obvious from the question that this is not the case).
- 4. You must save this document using the following format: [student ID.assessment2A]. An example would be something along the following lines: 202223-336.assessment2A. Please also include the filename as a footer to each page of the assessment (this has been pre-populated for you, merely replace the words "studentID" with the student number allocated to you). Do not include your name or any other identifying words in your file name. Assessments that do not comply with this instruction will be returned to candidates unmarked.
- 5. Before you will be allowed to upload / submit your assessment via the portal on the Foundation Certificate web pages, you will be required to confirm / certify that you are the person who completed the assessment and that the work submitted is your own, original work. Please see the part of the Course Handbook that deals with plagiarism and dishonesty in the submission of assessments. Please note that copying and pasting from the Guidance Text into your answer is prohibited and constitutes plagiarism. You must write the answers to the questions in your own words.
- 6.1 If you selected Module 2A as one of your compulsory modules (see the e-mail that was sent to you when your place on the course was confirmed), the final time and date for the submission of this assessment is 23:00 (11 pm) GMT on 1 March 2023. The assessment submission portal will close at 23:00 (11 pm) GMT on 1 March 2023. No submissions can be made after the portal has closed and no further uploading of documents will be allowed, no matter the circumstances.
- 6.2 If you selected Module 2A as one of your elective modules (see the e-mail that was sent to you when your place on the course was confirmed), you have a choice as to when you may submit this assessment. You may either submit the assessment by 23:00 (11 pm) GMT on 1 March 2023 or by 23:00 (11 pm) BST (GMT +1) on 31 July 2023. If you elect to submit by 1 March 2023, you may not

202223-970.assessment2A

submit the assessment again by 31 July 2023 (for example, in order to achieve a higher mark).

7. Prior to being populated with your answers, this assessment consists of 14 pages.

202223-970.assessment2A

ANSWER ALL THE QUESTIONS

Please note that all references to the "MLCBI" or "Model Law" in this assessment are references to the Model Law on Cross-Border Insolvency.

QUESTION 1 (multiple-choice questions) [10 marks in total]

Questions 1.1. - 1.10. are multiple-choice questions designed to assess your ability to think critically about the subject. Please read each question carefully before reading the answer options. Be aware that some questions may seem to have more than one right answer, but you are to look for the one that makes the most sense and is the most correct. When you have a clear idea of the question, find your answer and mark your selection on the answer sheet by highlighting the relevant paragraph in yellow. Select only ONE answer. Candidates who select more than one answer will receive no mark for that specific question.

Question 1.1

Which of the following statements does not reflect the purpose of the Model Law?

- (a) The purpose of the Model Law is to provide greater legal certainly for trade and investment.
- (b) The purpose of the Model Law is to provide protection and maximization of the value of the debtor's assets.
- (c) The purpose of the Model Law is to facilitate the rescue of a financially troubled business, by providing a substantive unification of insolvency law.
- (d) The purpose of the Model Law is to provide a fair and efficient administration of cross-border insolvencies that protects all creditors and the debtor

Question 1.2

Which of the following statements are reasons for the development of the Model Law?

- (a) The increased risk of fraud due to the interconnected world.
- (b) The difficulty of agreeing multilateral treaties dealing with insolvency law.
- (c) The practical problems caused by the disharmony among national laws governing cross-border insolvencies, despite the success of protocols in practice.

202223-970.assessment2A

Page 4

Commented [SL2]: SUBTOTAL = 5 MARKS

Commented [SL3]: Correct answer is (c).

(d) All of the above.	
Question 1.3	Commented [SL4]: Correct answer is (c).
Which of the following challenges to a recognition application under the Model Law is most likely to be successful?	
(a) The registered office of the debtor is not in the jurisdiction where the foreign proceedings were opened, but the debtor has an establishment in the jurisdiction of the enacting State.	
(b) The registered office of the debtor is in the jurisdiction of the enacting State, but the debtor has an establishment in the jurisdiction where the foreign proceedings were opened.	
(c) The debtor has neither its COMI nor an establishment in the jurisdiction where the foreign proceedings were opened.	
(d) The debtor has neither its COMI nor an establishment in the jurisdiction of the enacting State.	
Question 1.4	Commented [SL5]: Correct answer is (b).
Which of the following rules or concepts set forth in the Model Law ensures that fundamental principles of law are upheld?	
(a) The <i>locus standi</i> access rules.	
(b) The public policy exception.	
(c) The safe conduct rule.	
(d) The "hotchpot" rule.	
Question 1.5	
For a debtor with its COMI in South Africa and an establishment in Argentina, foreign main proceedings are opened in South Africa and foreign non-main proceedings are opened in Argentina. Both the South African foreign representative and the Argentinian foreign representative have applied for recognition before the relevant court in the UK. Please note that South Africa has implemented the Model Law subject to the so-called principle of reciprocity (based on country designation), Argentina has not implemented the Model Law and the UK has implemented the Model Law without any so-called principle of reciprocity. In this scenario, which of the following statements is the most correct one?	

(a) The foreign main proceedings in South Africa will not be recognised in the U because the UK is not a designated country under South Africa's principle o reciprocity, but the foreign non-main proceedings in Argentina will be recognised in the UK despite Argentina not having implemented the Model Law.	f
(b) Both the foreign main proceedings in South Africa and the foreign non-main proceedings in Argentina will not be recognised in the UK because the UK has no principle of reciprocity and Argentina has not implemented the Model Law.	
(c) Both the foreign main proceedings in South Africa and the foreign non-main proceedings in Argentina will be recognised in the UK.	1
(d) None of the statements in (a), (b) or (c) are correct.	
Question 1.6	
<i>Which of the following statements regarding concurrent proceedings under the Mode</i> .aw <u>is true</u> ?	I
(a) No interim relief based on Article 19 of the Model Law is available if concurren domestic insolvency proceedings and foreign proceedings exist at the time of the application of the foreign proceedings in the enacting State.	
(b) In the case of a foreign main proceeding, automatic relief under Article 20 of the Model Law applies if concurrent domestic insolvency proceedings and foreign proceedings exist at the time of the application of the foreign proceedings in the enacting State.	1
(c) The commencement of domestic insolvency proceedings prevents or terminate the recognition of a foreign proceeding.	5
(d) If only after recognition of the foreign proceedings concurrent domesti insolvency proceedings are opened, then any post-recognition relief granted based on Article 21 of the Model Law will not be either adjusted or terminated i consistent with the domestic insolvency proceedings.	1
Question 1.7	Commented [SL6]: Correct answer is (b).
When using its discretionary power to grant post-recognition relief pursuant to Article 21 of the Model Law, what should the court in the enacting State primarily consider?	
(a) The court must be satisfied that the interests of the creditors and other interested parties, excluding the debtor, are adequately protected.	4
202223-970.assessment2A Page 6	

(b) The court should consider whether the relief requested is necessary for the protection of the assets of the debtor or the interests of the creditors and strike an appropriate balance between the relief that may be granted and the persons that may be affected.

(c) The court should be satisfied that the foreign proceeding is a main proceeding.

(d) All of the above.

Question 1.8

Which of the statements below regarding the Centre of Main Interest (COMI) and the Model Law <u>is correct</u>?

(a) COMI is not a defined term in the Model Law.

- (b) For a corporate debtor, the Model Law does contain a rebuttable presumption that the debtor's registered office is its COMI.
- (c) For an individual debtor, the Model Law does contain a rebuttable presumption that the debtor's habitual residence is its COMI.
- (d) All of the above.

Question 1.9

An automatic stay of execution according to article 20 in the Model Law covers:

(a) Court proceedings.

(b) Arbitral Tribunals.

(c) Both (a) and (b).

(d) Neither (a) nor (b).

Question 1.10

Article 13 grants access to the creditors in a foreign proceeding. Which of the following statements correctly describes the protection granted in Article 13?

(a) A foreign creditor has the same rights regarding the commencement of, and participation in, a proceeding as creditors in this State. *X*

Page 7

(b) A foreign creditor has the same rights as it has in its home state.

202223-970.assessment2A

Commented [SL7]: Correct answer is (d).

(c) All foreign creditors' claims are, as a minimum, considered to be unsecured claims.

(d) Article 13 contains a uniform ranking system to avoid discrimination. QUESTION 2 (direct questions) [10 marks in total]

Question 2.1 [maximum 3 marks]

Under the MLCBI, <u>explain and discuss</u> what the appropriate date is for determining the COMI of a debtor?

The date of commencement of the foreign proceedings is the appropriate date for determining the COMI.

Question 2.2 [maximum 3 marks]

The following <u>three (3) statements</u> relate to particular provisions / concepts to be found in the Model Law. Indicate the name of the provision / concept (as well as the relevant Model Law article), addressed in each statement.

Statement 1 "This Article lays down the requirements of notification of creditors."

Statement 2 "This Article is referred to as the 'Safe Conduct Rule'".

<u>Statement 3</u> "This Article contains a rebuttable presumption in respect of an undefined key concept in the MLCBI."

- Article 14 : The equal treatment principle requires that the foreign creditors should be notified whenever local creditors are notified.
- Article 10 : This article provides for safe conduct rule which ensures that the court in the enacting state does not assume jurisdiction over all the assets of the debtor on the ground that the foreign representative has made an application for recognition of a foreign proceedings.
- Article 31 provides fer a rebuttable presumption that the recognition of a foreign main proceeding is a proof that the debtor is insolvent.

Question 2.3 [2 marks]

In the *IBA* case appeal, the English Court of Appeal upheld the decision that the court should not exercise its power to grant the indefinite Moratorium Continuation. <u>Please explain</u>.

The court in this case adjudged on the point of " if as a matter of settled practice the court should not exercise its power to grant the indefinite moratorium continuation where it would mean

202223-970.assessment2A

Page 8

Commented [SL8]: SUBTOTAL = 4.5 MARKS

Commented [SL9]: 1 mark

1. Where the business activity of the debtor ceases after the commencement of the foreign proceeding, all that may exist at the time of the application for recognition to indicate the debtor's COMI or establishment is that foreign proceeding and the activity of the foreign representative in administering the insolvency estate. In such a case, determination of the COMI or an establishment by reference to the date of the commencement of those proceedings would produce a clear result. The same reasoning may also apply in the case of reorganisation where, under some laws, it is not the debtor that continues to have a COMI, but rather the reorganised entity. In such a case, the requirement for a foreign proceeding that is taking place in accordance with article 17(2)(a) is clearly satisfied and the foreign proceeding should be entitled to recognition. Moreover, taking the date of commencement to determine COMI provides a test that can be applied with certainty to all insolvency proceedings. [see also pages 28/29 of the Guidance Text] 2. However, US courts may take a slightly different approach based on the Morning Mist Holdings Ltd v. Krys (Matter of Fairfield Sentry Ltd) (2nd Cir Appeals April 16, 2013) (which was recently followed in the UK in Re Toisa Limited - see footnote 94 on page 28 of the Guidance Text). The US court will most likely consider the date of the recognition application pursuant to the US Chapter 15 as the appropriate date for determining the COMI or the existence of an establishment

Commented [SL10]: 2 marks	
Statement 3 = Art 16(3)	

Commented [SL11]: 1 mark

In respect of each issue, the English Court of Appeal held that: 1. The UK court would need to be convinced that (a) the indefinite stay is necessary to protect the interests of IBA's creditors and (b) an indefinite stay is the appropriate way of achieving such protection. The factual evidence that can be brought before the court will ultimately decide Issue 1.

 Based on Article 18 of the MLCBI, the English Court of Appeal in the IBA case appeal held that had the MLCBI ever contemplated the continuance of relief after the end of the relevant foreign proceeding, it would have addressed the question explicitly and provided appropriate machinery for that purpose.

(a) In substance prevent the English Creditors from enforcing their rights under the English Law as per the Gibbs Rule. And/or(b) Prolong the stary after Azeri reconstruction has come to an end.	
The court concluded that the IBA creditors needed no protection for foreign proceedings to achieved its objective.	
It was also decided that there was no requirement of information to foreign representative once the foreign proceedings have come to an end the foreign representative office is no more in existence.	
Question 2.4 [2 marks]	Commented [SL12]: 0,5 marks
In terms of relief, what should the court in an enacting State, where a domestic proceeding has already been opened in respect of the debtor, do after recognition of a foreign main proceeding? In your answer you should mention the most relevant article of the MLCBI. What (ongoing) duty of information does the foreign representative in the foreign main proceeding have towards the court in the enacting State? Here too you are required to mention the most relevant article of the MLCBI. Article 29 discusses about the relief available where there are concurrent proceeding should be consistent with the relief granted to a recognized foreign proceeding should be foreign proceeding was recognized before or after the commencement of the local proceeding. For example, where local proceedings have already commenced at the time the application for recognition is made, relief granted to the foreign proceeding is recognized as a main proceeding, the automatic relief available on recognition under article 20 will not apply	Arts 29(a) and 18
QUESTION 3 (essay-type questions) [15 marks in total]	II Commented [BB13]: SUB TOTAL = 3 MARKS
A foreign representative of a foreign proceeding opened in State B in respect of a corporate debtor (the Debtor) is considering whether or not to make a recognition application under the implemented Model Law of State A (which does not contain any reciprocity provision). In addition, the foreign representative is also considering what (if any) relief may be appropriate to request from the court in State A. <i>Write a brief essay in which you address the three questions below.</i>	
Question 3.1 [maximum 4 marks] [0 out of 4 marks]	
The foreign representative is considering his options to secure the value of the debtor's assets located in State A. With reference to the Model Law's provisions on access and co-operation, explain how these rights in State A can benefit the foreign representative.	
Article 21.	
202223-970.assessment2A Page 9	

Relief that may be granted upon recognition of a foreign proceeding

- 1. Upon recognition of a foreign proceeding, whether main or nonmain, where necessary to protect the assets of the debtor or the interests of the creditors, the court may, at the request of the foreign representative, grant any appropriate relief, including:
- (a) Staying the commencement or continuation of individual actions or individual proceedings concerning the debtor's assets, rights, obligations or liabilities, to the extent they have not been stayed under paragraph 1 (a) of article 20;
- (b) Staying execution against the debtor's assets to the extent it has not been stayed under paragraph 1 (b) of article 20;
- (c) Suspending the right to transfer, encumber or otherwise dispose of any assets of the debtor to the extent this right has not been suspended under paragraph 1 (c) of article 20;
- (d) Providing for the examination of witnesses, the taking of evidence or the delivery of information concerning the debtor's assets, affairs, rights, obligations or liabilities;
- (e) Entrusting the administration or realization of all or part of the debtor's assets located in this State to the foreign representative or another person designated by the court;
- (f) Extending relief granted under paragraph 1 of article 19;
- (g) Granting any additional relief that may be available to [insert the title of a person or body administering a reorganization or liquidation under the law of the enacting State] under the laws of this State
- Your answer does not answer the question. We are looking for an answer with a discussion as follows:
- <u>Legal standing (Article 9 MLCBI)</u>: The key access for the foreign representative is set forth in Article 9 MLCBI. In the capacity of foreign representative, the foreign representative has automatic standing before the courts in State A without having to meet any formal requirements such as a license or any consular action. In other words, the "status" in State B of the foreign representative is automatically recognised in State A for the purpose of granting the foreign representative standing before the courts in State A. This allows the foreign representative to safeguard and pursue assets of the debtor estate in State A before its courts.
- <u>Opening domestic insolvency proceedings (Article 11 MLCBI)</u>: The foreign representative is further specifically entitled to apply for the opening of domestic insolvency proceedings in State A, as reflected in Article 11 of the MLCBI. Whether or not the foreign representative would wish to do this will depend on what the requirements are for opening such domestic proceedings. Can these requirements be met? On the other hand, it will depend on what the foreign representative believes he/she can get in terms of (interim) relief for the foreign proceedings in State B. In other words, are domestic insolvency proceedings really needed, or just additional time and costs that should be avoided?
- <u>Cooperation</u>: Similar to access rights, the cooperation provisions in the MLCBI (articles 25-27) also operate independently of recognition and it is not a prerequisite to the use of the cooperation provisions that recognition of the foreign proceedings is obtained in advance. Courts in State A can freely cooperate with the

202223-970.assessment2A

foreign representative without having to worry whether the status in State B of the foreign representative can be recognised in State A.

• <u>Save Time & Costs</u>: The key benefits of both the access provisions and the cooperation provisions are that they save time and therefore also costs, as a result of which value destruction can be avoided and value enhancement is being promoted.

Question 3.2 [maximum 5 marks] [1 out of 5 marks]

For a recognition application in State A to be successful, the foreign proceeding opened in State B must qualify as a "foreign proceeding" within the meaning of article 2(a) of the MLCBI and the "foreign representative" must qualify as a foreign representative within the meaning of article 2(d) of the MLCBI. Assuming that both qualify as such, list and briefly explain (with reference to the relevant MLCBI articles) any other evidence, restrictions, exclusions and limitations that must be considered, as well as the judicial scrutiny that must be overcome for a recognition application to be successful.

Article 15. Application for recognition of a foreign proceeding

- 1. A foreign representative may apply to the court for recognition of the foreign proceeding in which the foreign representative has been appointed.
- 2. An application for recognition shall be accompanied by:
- (a) A certified copy of the decision commencing the foreign proceeding and appointing the foreign representative; or
- (b) A certificate from the foreign court affirming the existence of the foreign proceeding and of the appointment of the foreign representative; or
- (c) In the absence of evidence referred to in subparagraphs (a) and (b), any other evidence acceptable to the court of the existence of the foreign proceeding and of the appointment of the foreign representative.
- 3. An application for recognition shall also be accompanied by a statement identifying all foreign proceedings in respect of the debtor that are known to the foreign representative.
- 4. The court may require a translation of documents supplied in support of the application for recognition into an official language of this State. [1]

Article 16. Presumptions concerning recognition

- If the decision or certificate referred to in paragraph 2 of article 15 indicates that the foreign
 proceeding is a proceeding within the meaning of subparagraph (a) of article 2 and that the
 foreign representative is a person or body within the meaning of subparagraph (d) of article 2,
 the court is entitled to so presume.
- 2. The court is entitled to presume that documents submitted in support of the application for recognition are authentic, whether or not they have been legalized.
- In the absence of proof to the contrary, the debtor's registered office, or habitual residence in the case of an individual, is presumed to be the centre of the debtor's main interests. A discussion on Article 17 is required, see below

With reference to the question, your answer should have also included a brief discussion on the following:

202223-970.assessment2A

- 1. <u>Exclusions</u>: If the debtor is an entity that is subject to a special insolvency regime in State B, the foreign representative should first check if the foreign proceedings regarding that type of a debtor are excluded in State A based on Article 1(2) of the implemented Model Law in State A.
- 2. <u>Restrictions: Existing international obligations of State A</u>: Based on Article 3 of the Model Law, the court in State A should also check if there are no existing international obligations of State A (under a treaty or otherwise) that may conflict with granting the recognition application under the implemented Model Law in State A.
- 3. <u>Judicial scrutiny</u>: While the court in State A is able to rely on the rebuttable presumptions set forth in Article 16 of the Model Law, in the context of Article 17 of the Model Law the court will have to assess whether either the COMI or at least an establishment of the debtor is located in State B where the foreign proceedings were opened. If the COMI of the debtor is in State B the foreign proceedings should be recognised as foreign main proceedings and if only an establishment of the debtor is in State B the foreign should be recognised as foreign proceedings should be recognised as foreign proceedings should be recognised as foreign proceedings should be recognised as foreign main proceedings should be recognised as foreign non-main proceedings. Without a COMI or at least an establishment of the debtor in State B, recognition cannot be granted by the court in State A.
- 4. <u>Public policy exception</u>: Finally, the court in State A should also ensure based on Article 6 of the Model Law that the recognition application is not manifestly contrary to public policy of State A.

Question 3.3 [maximum 5 marks] [2 out of 5 marks]

As far as relief is concerned, briefly explain (with reference to the relevant MLCBI articles) what pre- and post-recognition relief can be considered in the context of the MLCBI. Also address which restrictions, limitations or conditions should be considered in this context. For the purposes of this question, it can be assumed that there is no concurrence of proceedings.

Pre recognition relief:

Article 19. Relief that may be granted upon application for recognition of a foreign proceeding

- From the time of filing an application for recognition until the application is decided upon, the court may, at the request of the foreign representative, where relief is urgently needed to protect the assets of the debtor or the interests of the creditors, grant relief of a provisional nature, including:
- (a) Staying execution against the debtor's assets;
- (b) Entrusting the administration or realization of all or part of the debtor's assets located in this State to the foreign representative or another person designated by the court, in order to protect and preserve the value of assets that, by their nature or because of other circumstances, are perishable, susceptible to devaluation or otherwise in jeopardy;
- (c) Any relief mentioned in paragraph 1 (c), (d) and (g) of article 21.

2. [Insert provisions (or refer to provisions in force in the enacting State) relating to notice.]

202223-970.assessment2A

- 3. Unless extended under paragraph 1 (f) of article 21, the relief granted under this article terminates when the application for recognition is decided upon.
- 4. The court may refuse to grant relief under this article if such relief would interfere with the administration of a foreign main proceeding.

Post-recognition relief

Article 21. Relief that may be granted upon recognition of a foreign proceeding

- 1. Upon recognition of a foreign proceeding, whether main or nonmain, where necessary to protect the assets of the debtor or the interests of the creditors, the court may, at the request of the foreign representative, grant any appropriate relief, including:
- (a) Staying the commencement or continuation of individual actions or individual proceedings concerning the debtor's assets, rights, obligations or liabilities, to the extent they have not been stayed under paragraph 1 (a) of article 20;
- (b) Staying execution against the debtor's assets to the extent it has not been stayed under paragraph 1 (b) of article 20;
- (c) Suspending the right to transfer, encumber or otherwise dispose of any assets of the debtor to the extent this right has not been suspended under paragraph 1 (c) of article 20;
- (d) Providing for the examination of witnesses, the taking of evidence or the delivery of information concerning the debtor's assets, affairs, rights, obligations or liabilities;
- (e) Entrusting the administration or realization of all or part of the debtor's assets located in this State to the foreign representative or another person designated by the court;
 (f) Extending relief granted under paragraph 1 of article 19;
- (g) Exchange for a granted particular participation of a data by available to [insert the title of a person or body administering a reorganization or liquidation under the law of the enacting State] under the laws of this State.
- 2. Upon recognition of a foreign proceeding, whether main or nonmain, the court may, at the request of the foreign representative, entrust the distribution of all or part of the debtor's assets located in this State to the foreign representative or another person designated by the court, provided that the court is satisfied that the interests of creditors in this State are adequately protected.
- 3. In granting relief under this article to a representative of a foreign non-main proceeding, the court must be satisfied that the relief relates to assets that, under the law of this State, should be administered in the foreign non-main proceeding or concerns information required in that proceeding.

You have only addressed pre and post-recognition relief, your answer should also include a discussion along the following lines:

- 1. <u>Adequate protection</u>: Pursuant to Article 22 of the Model Law any interim relief under Article 19 of the Model Law or any post-recognition relief under Article 21 of the Model Law require the court in State A to be satisfied that the interests of the creditors and the other interested persons, including the debtor, are adequately protected and any relief may be subject to conditions as the court considers appropriate.
- 2. <u>Existing international obligations of State A</u>: Based on Article 3 of the Model Law, the court in State A should again verify that there are no existing international

202223-970.assessment2A

 obligations of State A (under a treaty or otherwise) that may conflict with granting the requested relief under the implemented Model Law in State A. <u>Public policy exception</u>: The court in State A should, based on Article 6 of the Model Law, also again verify that the relief application is not manifestly contrary to public policy of State A. 	
Question 3.4 [maximum 1 mark] [0 marks]	
Briefly explain - with reference to case law - why a worldwide freezing order granted	
as pre-recognition interim relief ex article 19 MLCBI, is unlikely to continue post-	
recognition <i>ex</i> article 21 MLCBI?	
The world- wide freezing order is unlikely to continue post-recognition if later on there is any recognition of the proceedings in other enacting state as main proceeding and there were relevant restrictions and limitations existed to help proper exercise of that jurisdiction .	
Suggested answer: A recent English case between <i>Igor Vitalievich Protasov and Khadzhi-Murat Derev</i>	
[Order of 24 February 2021 by Mr Justice Adam Johnson, [2021] EWHC 392 (CH)] exactly addressed the question.	
exactly addressed the question. While the English court held to have jurisdiction in the strict sense to grant such post- recognition discretionary relief, it found that relevant restrictions and limitations existed which served to inhibit the proper exercise of that jurisdiction. The English court found that the English bankruptcy regime offers other forms of protection which mean that relief in the form of a freezing order or similar injunction is simply not warranted. According to the court, "() the scheme of the Model Law is intended to put the foreign trustee or bankruptcy manager in the same position, as far as practicable, as an officeholder appointed under domestic law, and consistent with that, the effect of recognition of a foreign main proceeding is to bring into play the same wide infrastructure of the insolvency legislation. Absent some exceptional reason, a freezing order or other similar order will not in my view be required or	Commented [BB14]: SUB TOTAL = 1.5 MARKS
exactly addressed the question. While the English court held to have jurisdiction in the strict sense to grant such post- recognition discretionary relief, it found that relevant restrictions and limitations existed which served to inhibit the proper exercise of that jurisdiction. The English court found that the English bankruptcy regime offers other forms of protection which mean that relief in the form of a freezing order or similar injunction is simply not warranted. According to the court, "() the scheme of the Model Law is intended to put the foreign trustee or bankruptcy manager in the same position, as far as practicable, as an officeholder appointed under domestic law, and consistent with that, the effect of recognition of a foreign main proceeding is to bring into play the same wide infrastructure of the insolvency legislation. Absent some exceptional reason, a freezing order or other similar order will not in my view be required or justified.	Commented [BB14]: SUB TOTAL = 1.5 MARKS
exactly addressed the question. While the English court held to have jurisdiction in the strict sense to grant such post- recognition discretionary relief, it found that relevant restrictions and limitations existed which served to inhibit the proper exercise of that jurisdiction. The English court found that the English bankruptcy regime offers other forms of protection which mean that relief in the form of a freezing order or similar injunction is simply not warranted. According to the court, "() the scheme of the Model Law is intended to put the foreign trustee or bankruptcy manager in the same position, as far as practicable, as an officeholder appointed under domestic law, and consistent with that, the effect of recognition of a foreign main proceeding is to bring into play the same wide infrastructure of the insolvency legislation. Absent some exceptional reason, a freezing order or other similar order will not in my view be required or justified.	Commented [BB14]: SUB TOTAL = 1.5 MARKS

The Commercial Bank for Business Corporation (the Bank) has operated since 1991. The Bank's registered office is situated in Country A, which <u>has not</u> adopted the MLCBI. As of 13 August 2015, the Bank's majority ultimate beneficial owner was Mr Z, who

202223-970.assessment2A

Page 14

-1

held approximately 95% of the Bank's shares through various corporate entities (including some registered in England).

The Bank entered provisional administration on 17 September 2015 and liquidation on 17 December 2015. Investigations into the Bank have revealed that it appears to have been potentially involved in a multi-million dollar fraud resulting in monies being sent to many overseas companies, including entities incorporated and registered in England.

Proceedings were commenced in the High Court of England and Wales (Chancery Division) against various defendants on 11 February 2021 (the English Proceedings).

An affidavit (the Affidavit) sets out a detailed summary of the legislation of Country A's specific insolvency procedure for Banks. The procedure involves initial input from the National Bank (the NB) and at the time that the Bank entered liquidation, followed by a number of stages:

Classification of the bank as troubled

The NB may classify a bank as "troubled" if it meets at least one of the criteria set down by article 75 of the Law of Country A on Banks and Banking Activity (LBBA) or for any of the reasons specified in its regulations.

Once declared "troubled", the relevant bank has 180 days within which to bring its activities in line with the NB's requirements. At the end of that period, the NB must either recognise the Bank as compliant, or must classify it as insolvent.

Classification of the bank as insolvent

The NB is obliged to classify a bank as insolvent if it meets the criteria set out in article 76 of the LBBA, which includes:

- (i) the bank's regulatory capital amount or standard capital ratios have reduced to one-third of the minimum level specified by law;
- (ii) within five consecutive working days, the bank has failed to meet 2% or more of its obligations to depositors or creditors; and
- (iii) the bank, having been declared as troubled, then fails to comply with an order or decision of the NB and / or a request by the NB to remedy violations of the banking law.

202223-970.assessment2A

The NB has the ability to classify a bank as insolvent without necessarily needing to first go through the troubled stage. Article 77 of the LBBA accordingly provides that a bank can be liquidated by the NB directly, revoking its licence.

Provisional administration

The Deposit Guarantee Fund (DGF) is a governmental body of Country A tasked principally with providing deposit insurance to bank depositors in Country A. However, the Affidavit explained that the DGF is also responsible for the process of withdrawing insolvent banks from the market and winding down their operations via liquidation. Its powers include those related to early detection and intervention, and the power to act in a bank's interim or provisional administration and its ultimate liquidation.

Pursuant to article 34 of the DGF Law, once a bank has been classified as insolvent, the DGF will begin the process of removing it from the market. This is often achieved with an initial period of provisional administration. During this period:

- (i) the DGF (acting via an authorised officer) begins the process of directly administering the bank's affairs. Articles 35(5) and 36(1) of the DGF Law provide that during provisional administration, the DGF shall have full and exclusive rights to manage the bank and all powers of the bank's management.
- (ii) Article 36(5) establishes a moratorium which prevents, *inter alia*: the claims of depositors or creditors being satisfied; execution or enforcement against the bank's assets; encumbrances and restrictions being created over the bank's property; and interest being charged.

Liquidation

Liquidation follows provisional administration. The DGF is obliged to commence liquidation proceedings against a bank on or before the next working day after the NB's decision to revoke the bank's licence.

Article 77 of the LBBA provides that the DGF automatically becomes liquidator of a bank on the date it receives confirmation of the NB's decision to revoke the bank's licence. At that point, the DGF acquires the full powers of a liquidator under the law of Country A.

When the bank enters liquidation, all powers of the bank's management and control bodies are terminated (as are the provisional administrators' powers if the bank is first in provisional administration); all banking activities are terminated; all money

202223-970.assessment2A

liabilities due to the bank are deemed to become due; and, among other things, the DGF alienates the bank's property and funds. Public encumbrances and restrictions on disposal of bank property are terminated and offsetting of counter-claims is prohibited.

As liquidator, the DGF has extensive powers, including the power to investigate the bank's history and bring claims against parties believed to have caused its downfall. Those powers include:

- (i) the power to exercise management powers and take over management of the property (including the money) of the bank;
- (ii) the power to compile a register of creditor claims and to seek to satisfy those claims;
- (iii)the power to take steps to find, identify and recover property belonging to the bank;
- (iv) the power to dismiss employees and withdraw from/terminate contracts;
- (v) the power to dispose of the bank's assets; and
- (vi)the power to exercise "such other powers as are necessary to complete the liquidation of a bank".

The DGF also has powers of sale, distribution and the power to bring claims for compensation against persons for harm inflicted on the insolvent bank.

However, article 48(3) of the DGF Law empowers the DGF to delegate its powers to an "authorised officer" or "authorised person". The "Fund's authorised person" is defined by article 2(1)(17) of the DGF Law as: "an employee of the Fund, who on behalf of the Fund and within the powers provided for by this Law and / or delegated by the Fund, performs actions to ensure the bank's withdrawal from the market during provisional administration of the insolvent bank and/or bank liquidation".

Article 35(1) of the DGF Law specifies that an authorised person, must have: "...high professional and moral qualities, impeccable business reputation, complete higher education in the field of economics, finance or law...and professional experience necessary." An authorised person may not be a creditor of the relevant bank, have a criminal record, have any obligations to the relevant bank, or have any conflict of interest with the bank. Once appointed, the authorised officer is accountable to the DGF for their actions and may exercise the powers delegated to them by the DGF in pursuance of the bank's liquidation.

The DGF's independence is addressed at articles 3(3) and 3(7) of the DGF Law which confirm that it is an economically independent institution with separate balance sheet

202223-970.assessment2A

and accounts from the NB and that neither public authorities nor the NB have any right to interfere in the exercise of its functions and powers.

Article 37 establishes that the DGF (or its authorised person, insofar as such powers are delegated) has extensive powers, including powers to exercise managerial and supervisory powers, to enter into contracts, to restrict or terminate the bank's transactions, and to file property and non-property claims with a court.

(2) The Bank's liquidation

The Bank was formally classified by the NB as "troubled" on 19 January 2015. The translated NB resolution records:

"The statistical reports-based analysis of the Bank's compliance with the banking law requirements has found that the Bank has been engaged in risky operations."

Those operations included:

- (i) a breach, for eight consecutive reporting periods, of the NB's minimum capital requirements;
- (ii) 10 months of loss-making activities;
- (iii) a reduction in its holding of highly liquid assets;
- (iv) a critically low balance of funds held with the NB; and
- (v) 48% of the Bank's liabilities being dependent on individuals and a significant increase in "adversely classified assets" which are understood to be loans, whose full repayment has become questionable.

Despite initially appearing to improve, by September 2015 the Bank's financial position had deteriorated further with increased losses, a further reduction in regulatory capital and numerous complaints to the NB. On 17 September 2015, the NB classified the Bank as insolvent pursuant to article 76 of the LBBA. On the same day, the DGF passed a resolution commencing the process of withdrawing the Bank from the market and appointing Ms C as interim administrator.

Three months later, on 17 December 2015, the NB formally revoked the Bank's banking licence and resolved that it be liquidated. The following day, the DGF initiated the liquidation procedure and appointed Ms C as the first of the DGF's authorised persons to whom powers of the liquidator were delegated. Ms C was replaced as authorised officer with effect from 17 August 2020 by Ms G.

202223-970.assessment2A

Ms G's appointment was pursuant to a Decision of the Executive Board of the Directors of the DGF, No 1513 (Resolution 1513). Resolution 1513 notes that Ms G is a "leading bank liquidation professional". It delegates to her all liquidation powers in respect of the Bank set out in the DGF Law and in particular articles 37, 38, 47-52, 521 and 53 of the DGF Law, including the authority to sign all agreements related to the sale of the bank's assets in the manner prescribed by the DGF Law. Resolution 1513 expressly excludes from Ms G's authority the power to claim damages from a related party of the Bank, the power to make a claim against a non-banking financial institution that raised money as loans or deposits from individuals, and the power to arrange for the sale of the Bank's assets. Each of the excluded powers remains vested in the DGF as the Bank's formally appointed liquidator.

On 14 December 2020, the Bank's liquidation was extended to an indefinite date, described as arising when circumstances rendered the sale of the Bank's assets and satisfaction of creditor's claims, no longer possible.

On 7 September 2020, the DGF resolved to approve an amended list of creditors' claims totalling approximately USD 1.113 billion. The Affidavit states that the Bank's current, estimated deficiency exceeds USD 823 million.

QUESTION 4.1 [maximum 15 marks] [1.5 out of 15]

Prior to any determination made in the English Proceedings, Ms G, in her capacity as authorised officer of the Deposit Guarantee Fund (or DGF) of Country A in respect of the liquidation of the Commercial Bank for Business Corporation (the Bank), together with the DGF (the Applicants), applied for recognition of the liquidation of the Bank before the English court based on the Cross-Border Insolvency Regulations 2006 (CBIR), the English adopted version of the MLCBI.

Assuming you are the judge in the English court considering this recognition application, you are required to discuss:

- 4.1.1 whether the Bank's liquidation comprises a "foreign proceeding" within the meaning of article 2(a) of the MLCBI [maximum 10 marks]; and [0.5 out of 10]
- Since the registered office of the Bank is in Country 'A' and the proceedings are opened in the High court of England and Wales the liquidation of the Bank comprises a "foreign proceedings" within the meaning of article 2(a0 of the Model Law of Cross Border Insolvency.
- 4.1.2 whether the Applicants fall within the description of "foreign representatives" as defined by article 2(d) of the MLCBI [maximum 5 marks]. [1 out of 5]
- The article 2(d) reads as: "Foreign representative" means a person or body, including one appointed on an interim basis, authorized in a foreign proceeding to administer the reorganization or the liquidation of the debtor's assets or affairs or to act as a representative of the foreign proceeding;

202223-970.assessment2A

Hence in view of the above article the Applicants i.e. Deposit Guarantee Fund of Country A fall within the description of Foreign Representatives.

For full marks your response must address in sufficient detail each of the 7 separate elements of the definition of "foreign proceeding" as set forth in article 2(a) of the MLCBI and the 3 separate elements of the definition of "foreign representative", as set forth in article 2(d) of the MLCBI, provide guidance and source references as appropriate and apply the facts. Unfortunately, your response has not done this at all and therefore only minimum marks can be rewarded.

While not all facts provided in the fact pattern given for this Question 4 are immediately relevant for your answer, please do use, where appropriate, those relevant facts that directly support your answer.

For the purpose of this question, you may further assume that the Bank is <u>not excluded</u> from the scope of the MLCBI by article 1(2) of the MLCBI.

* End of Assessment *

202223-970.assessment2A