



SUMMATIVE (FORMAL) ASSESSMENT: MODULE 2A

THE UNCITRAL MODEL LAWS RELATING TO INSOLVENCY

Commented [BB1]: TOTAL = 31.5 MARKS

63%

*This is the summative (formal) assessment for Module 2A of this course and is compulsory for all candidates who selected this module as one of their compulsory modules from Module 2. Please read instruction 6.1 on the next page very carefully.*

*If you selected this module as one of your elective modules, please read instruction 6.2 on the next page very carefully.*

The mark awarded for this assessment will determine your final mark for Module 2A. *In order to pass this module, you need to obtain a mark of 50% or more for this assessment.*

## INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETION AND SUBMISSION OF ASSESSMENT

Please read the following instructions very carefully before submitting / uploading your assessment on the Foundation Certificate web pages.

1. **You must use this document for the answering of the assessment for this module. The answers to each question must be completed using this document with the answers populated under each question.**
2. **All assessments must be submitted electronically in MS Word format, using a standard A4 size page and a 11-point Arial font. This document has been set up with these parameters - please do not change the document settings in any way. DO NOT submit your assessment in PDF format as it will be returned to you unmarked.**
3. **No limit has been set for the length of your answers to the questions. However, please be guided by the mark allocation for each question. More often than not, one fact / statement will earn one mark (unless it is obvious from the question that this is not the case).**
4. **You must save this document using the following format: [student ID.assessment2A]. An example would be something along the following lines: 202223-336.assessment2A. Please also include the filename as a footer to each page of the assessment (this has been pre-populated for you, merely replace the words "studentID" with the student number allocated to you). Do not include your name or any other identifying words in your file name. Assessments that do not comply with this instruction will be returned to candidates unmarked.**
5. **Before you will be allowed to upload / submit your assessment via the portal on the Foundation Certificate web pages, you will be required to confirm / certify that you are the person who completed the assessment and that the work submitted is your own, original work. Please see the part of the Course Handbook that deals with plagiarism and dishonesty in the submission of assessments. Please note that copying and pasting from the Guidance Text into your answer is prohibited and constitutes plagiarism. You must write the answers to the questions in your own words.**
- 6.1 **If you selected Module 2A as one of your compulsory modules (see the e-mail that was sent to you when your place on the course was confirmed), the final time and date for the submission of this assessment is 23:00 (11 pm) GMT on 1 March 2023. The assessment submission portal will close at 23:00 (11 pm) GMT on 1 March 2023. No submissions can be made after the portal has closed and no further uploading of documents will be allowed, no matter the circumstances.**
- 6.2 **If you selected Module 2A as one of your elective modules (see the e-mail that was sent to you when your place on the course was confirmed), you have a choice as to when you may submit this assessment. You may either submit the assessment by 23:00 (11 pm) GMT on 1 March 2023 or by 23:00 (11 pm) BST (GMT +1) on 31 July 2023. If you elect to submit by 1 March 2023, you may not**

*submit the assessment again by 31 July 2023 (for example, in order to achieve a higher mark).*

- 7. Prior to being populated with your answers, this assessment consists of 14 pages.*

**ANSWER ALL THE QUESTIONS**

Please note that all references to the "MLCBI" or "Model Law" in this assessment are references to the Model Law on Cross-Border Insolvency.

**QUESTION 1 (multiple-choice questions) [10 marks in total]**

Commented [SL2]: SUBTOTAL = 9 MARKS

Questions 1.1 - 1.10. are multiple-choice questions designed to assess your ability to think critically about the subject. Please read each question carefully before reading the answer options. Be aware that some questions may seem to have more than one right answer, but you are to look for the one that makes the most sense and is the most correct. When you have a clear idea of the question, find your answer and **mark your selection on the answer sheet by highlighting the relevant paragraph in yellow**. Select only ONE answer. Candidates who select more than one answer will receive no mark for that specific question.

Question 1.1

Which of the following statements does not reflect the purpose of the Model Law?

- (a) The purpose of the Model Law is to provide greater legal certainty for trade and investment.
- (b) The purpose of the Model Law is to provide protection and maximization of the value of the debtor's assets.
- (c) The purpose of the Model Law is to facilitate the rescue of a financially troubled business, by providing a substantive unification of insolvency law.**
- (d) The purpose of the Model Law is to provide a fair and efficient administration of cross-border insolvencies that protects all creditors and the debtor

Question 1.2

Which of the following statements are reasons for the development of the Model Law?

- (a) The increased risk of fraud due to the interconnected world.
- (b) The difficulty of agreeing multilateral treaties dealing with insolvency law.
- (c) The practical problems caused by the disharmony among national laws governing cross-border insolvencies, despite the success of protocols in practice.

**(d) All of the above.**

Question 1.3

**Which of the following challenges to a recognition application under the Model Law is most likely to be successful?**

- (a) The registered office of the debtor is not in the jurisdiction where the foreign proceedings were opened, but the debtor has an establishment in the jurisdiction of the enacting State.**
- (b) The registered office of the debtor is in the jurisdiction of the enacting State, but the debtor has an establishment in the jurisdiction where the foreign proceedings were opened.**

**(c) The debtor has neither its COMI nor an establishment in the jurisdiction where the foreign proceedings were opened.**

**(d) The debtor has neither its COMI nor an establishment in the jurisdiction of the enacting State.**

Question 1.4

**Which of the following rules or concepts set forth in the Model Law ensures that fundamental principles of law are upheld?**

- (a) The *locus standi* access rules.**
- (b) The public policy exception.**
- (c) The safe conduct rule.**
- (d) The "hotchpot" rule.**

Question 1.5

**For a debtor with its COMI in South Africa and an establishment in Argentina, foreign main proceedings are opened in South Africa and foreign non-main proceedings are opened in Argentina. Both the South African foreign representative and the Argentinian foreign representative have applied for recognition before the relevant court in the UK. Please note that South Africa has implemented the Model Law subject to the so-called principle of reciprocity (based on country designation), Argentina has not implemented the Model Law and the UK has implemented the Model Law without any so-called principle of reciprocity. In this scenario, which of the following statements is the most correct one?**

Commented [SL3]: Correct answer is (b).

*(a) The foreign main proceedings in South Africa will not be recognised in the UK because the UK is not a designated country under South Africa's principle of reciprocity, but the foreign non-main proceedings in Argentina will be recognised in the UK despite Argentina not having implemented the Model Law.*

*(b) Both the foreign main proceedings in South Africa and the foreign non-main proceedings in Argentina will not be recognised in the UK because the UK has no principle of reciprocity and Argentina has not implemented the Model Law.*

*(c) Both the foreign main proceedings in South Africa and the foreign non-main proceedings in Argentina will be recognised in the UK.*

*(d) None of the statements in (a), (b) or (c) are correct.*

Question 1.6

*Which of the following statements regarding concurrent proceedings under the Model Law is true?*

*(a) No interim relief based on Article 19 of the Model Law is available if concurrent domestic insolvency proceedings and foreign proceedings exist at the time of the application of the foreign proceedings in the enacting State.*

*(b) In the case of a foreign main proceeding, automatic relief under Article 20 of the Model Law applies if concurrent domestic insolvency proceedings and foreign proceedings exist at the time of the application of the foreign proceedings in the enacting State.*

*(c) The commencement of domestic insolvency proceedings prevents or terminates the recognition of a foreign proceeding.*

*(d) If only after recognition of the foreign proceedings concurrent domestic insolvency proceedings are opened, then any post-recognition relief granted based on Article 21 of the Model Law will not be either adjusted or terminated if consistent with the domestic insolvency proceedings.*

Question 1.7

*When using its discretionary power to grant post-recognition relief pursuant to Article 21 of the Model Law, what should the court in the enacting State primarily consider?*

*(a) The court must be satisfied that the interests of the creditors and other interested parties, excluding the debtor, are adequately protected.*

**(b) The court should consider whether the relief requested is necessary for the protection of the assets of the debtor or the interests of the creditors and strike an appropriate balance between the relief that may be granted and the persons that may be affected.**

**(c) The court should be satisfied that the foreign proceeding is a main proceeding.**

**(d) All of the above.**

Question 1.8

**Which of the statements below regarding the Centre of Main Interest (COMI) and the Model Law is correct?**

**(a) COMI is not a defined term in the Model Law.**

**(b) For a corporate debtor, the Model Law does contain a rebuttable presumption that the debtor's registered office is its COMI.**

**(c) For an individual debtor, the Model Law does contain a rebuttable presumption that the debtor's habitual residence is its COMI.**

**(d) All of the above.**

Question 1.9

**An automatic stay of execution according to article 20 in the Model Law covers:**

**(a) Court proceedings.**

**(b) Arbitral Tribunals.**

**(c) Both (a) and (b).**

**(d) Neither (a) nor (b).**

Question 1.10

**Article 13 grants access to the creditors in a foreign proceeding. Which of the following statements correctly describes the protection granted in Article 13?**

**(a) A foreign creditor has the same rights regarding the commencement of, and participation in, a proceeding as creditors in this State.**

**(b) A foreign creditor has the same rights as it has in its home state.**

(c) All foreign creditors' claims are, as a minimum, considered to be unsecured claims.

(d) Article 13 contains a uniform ranking system to avoid discrimination.

**QUESTION 2** (direct questions) [10 marks in total]

Commented [SL4]: SUBTOTAL = 9 MARKS

**Question 2.1** [maximum 3 marks]

Commented [SL5]: 2 marks

**Under the MLCBI, explain and discuss what the appropriate date is for determining the COMI of a debtor?**

US courts, chap 15 and *Morning Mist Holdings Ltd v. Krys (Matter of Fairfield Sentry Ltd)*.

*The Model Law does not expressly set out the relevant date for determining the COMI of a debtor. However, having regard to the evidence required to accompany an application for recognition as set out in Article 15 and the relevance given to the decision commencing the foreign proceedings and appointing of foreign representative, the appropriate date for determining the COMI of a debtor, or whether an establishment even exists, is the date of commencement of the foreign proceedings.*

*In cases where the business activity of the debtor stops after the commencement of the foreign proceeding, all that may exist at the time of the application for recognition to indicate the debtor's COMI is that foreign proceeding and the activity of the foreign representative in administering the insolvency estate. In such cases, the determination of the debtor's COMI by reference to the date of the commencement of those proceedings would produce a clear result.*

**Question 2.2** [maximum 3 marks]

Commented [SL6]: 3 marks

**The following three (3) statements relate to particular provisions / concepts to be found in the Model Law. Indicate the name of the provision / concept (as well as the relevant Model Law article), addressed in each statement.**

**Statement 1** *"This Article lays down the requirements of notification of creditors."*

**Statement 2** *"This Article is referred to as the 'Safe Conduct Rule'."*

**Statement 3** *"This Article contains a rebuttable presumption in respect of an undefined key concept in the MLCBI."*

*Statement 1 relates to Article 14 - Notification to foreign creditors of a proceeding. The main objective of Article 14 is to inform foreign creditors of the commencement of the insolvency proceedings and the time limit to file their claims. Article 14 also requires foreign creditors to be notified whenever notification is also required for creditors in the enacting State. This is consistent with the concept of equal treatment that is provided for under Article 13.*



Statement 2 relates to Article 10 which reads as follows:

*“The sole fact that an application pursuant to this Law is made to a court in this State by a foreign representative does not subject the foreign representative or the foreign assets and affairs of the debtor to the jurisdiction of the courts of this State for any purpose other than the application.”*

*The purpose of Article 10 is essentially to make sure that the Court in the enacting State does not assume jurisdiction over all the assets of the debtor on the sole ground that the foreign representative had made an application for recognition of a foreign proceeding.*

*Statement 3 relates to Article 16 (3) which provides for a rebuttable presumption vis-à-vis the concept of centre of main interests -COMI. Article 13(3) provides that “In the absence of proof to the contrary, the debtor’s registered office, or habitual residence in the case of an individual, is presumed to be the centre of the debtor’s main interests”.*

Question 2.3 [2 marks]

Commented [SL7]: 2 marks

***In the IBA case appeal, the English Court of Appeal upheld the decision that the court should not exercise its power to grant the indefinite Moratorium Continuation. Please explain.***

*The Court of Appeal focused on the jurisdictional question raised, i.e, in what sense can it be said that the English Court lacked jurisdiction to grant the indefinite Moratorium Continuation requested by the foreign representative. The Court of Appeal was of the view that the matter does not involve the question of jurisdiction in the strict sense, but instead, was involved with the question of whether as a matter of settled practice, the English Court should not exercise its power to grant the indefinite Moratorium Continuation where to do so would result in the following:*

- (a) The English creditors (i.e, the Challenging Creditors) are in substance prevented from enforcing their English law rights in accordance with the Gibbs Rule; and/or*
- (b) The stay would be prolonged after the Azeri reconstruction has ended.*

*In respect of (a) above, the Court of Appeal was of the view that an English Court can only grant the indefinite Moratorium Continuation if it were satisfied of the following:*

- (a) That the stay would be necessary to protect the interests of IBA’s creditors; and*
- (b) The stay would be an appropriate way of achieving such protection.*

*In respect of (b) above, the Court of Appeal was cognisant of the fact that Article 18 puts an obligation on the foreign representative to inform the English Court where there is substantial change in the status of the foreign proceedings and the status of the foreign representative's own appointment, requires the foreign proceeding to still be in existence and the foreign representative to still be in office. The Court of Appeal was of the view that this provision strongly suggests that once the foreign proceeding has come to an end and the foreign representative no longer holds office, there is no scope for further orders in support of the foreign proceeding to be made and any relief previously given under the Model Law must be terminated.*

**Question 2.4** [2 marks]

Commented [SL8]: 2 marks

***In terms of relief, what should the court in an enacting State, where a domestic proceeding has already been opened in respect of the debtor, do after recognition of a foreign main proceeding? In your answer you should mention the most relevant article of the MLCBI. What (ongoing) duty of information does the foreign representative in the foreign main proceeding have towards the court in the enacting State? Here too you are required to mention the most relevant article of the MLCBI.***

*Under Article 29(a)(i) of the MLCBI, where a domestic proceeding has already been opened in respect of the debtor, after recognition of a foreign main proceeding, the Court in the enacting State shall seek cooperation and coordination under articles 25, 26 and 27. In granting relief under Article 21 must make sure that the relief is consistent with the domestic proceeding.*

*Article 18 puts an obligation on the foreign representative to inform the English Court where there is substantial change in the status of the foreign proceedings or the status of the foreign representative's own appointment, and any foreign proceeding regarding the same debtor that becomes known to the foreign representative.*

**QUESTION 3** (essay-type questions) [15 marks in total]

Commented [BB9]: SUB TOTAL = 7.5 MARKS

***A foreign representative of a foreign proceeding opened in State B in respect of a corporate debtor (the Debtor) is considering whether or not to make a recognition application under the implemented Model Law of State A (which does not contain any reciprocity provision). In addition, the foreign representative is also considering what (if any) relief may be appropriate to request from the court in State A.***

***Write a brief essay in which you address the three questions below.***

**Question 3.1** [maximum 4 marks] [2.5 marks out of 4]

***The foreign representative is considering his options to secure the value of the debtor's assets located in State A. With reference to the Model Law's provisions on***

**access and co-operation, explain how these rights in State A can benefit the foreign representative.**

*Firstly, pursuant to Article 9 of the Model Law, the foreign representative is given access rights to stand before the courts in State A without the need for the foreign proceedings opened in State B to be recognised in State A. [1] Secondly, Article 11 of the Model Law further gives the foreign representative to open domestic insolvency proceedings in State A, provided that all requirements for such an opening are otherwise met [1]. Finally, Article 13 of the Model Law provides foreign creditors the same rights as creditors domiciled in State A without affecting the ranking of claims in State A. Be that as it may, a claim of a foreign creditor cannot be given lower priority than that of general unsecured claims solely because the holder of such claim is a foreign creditor.*

*Provisions on co-operation under the Model Law is aimed at providing judges in the enacting State with a statutory basis for co-operation. For jurisdictions that lack a legislative framework for co-operation, the Model Law expressly empowers Courts to extend co-operation in certain areas. In this regard, the Model Law sets out a non-exhaustive list of appropriate means of co-operation. [½] **Article 11 in the MLCBI***

**Your answer must include the article that contains the provisions for cooperation and the key benefits to both the access and cooperation provisions (see below)**

- **Cooperation:** *Similar to access rights, the cooperation provisions in the MLCBI (articles 25-27) also operate independently of recognition and it is not a prerequisite to the use of the cooperation provisions that recognition of the foreign proceedings is obtained in advance. Courts in State A can freely cooperate with the foreign representative without having to worry whether the status in State B of the foreign representative can be recognised in State A.*
- **Save Time & Costs:** *The key benefits of both the access provisions and the cooperation provisions are that they save time and therefore also costs, as a result of which value destruction can be avoided and value enhancement is being promoted.*

Question 3.2 [maximum 5 marks] [2 out of 5 marks]

**For a recognition application in State A to be successful, the foreign proceeding opened in State B must qualify as a "foreign proceeding" within the meaning of article 2(a) of the MLCBI and the "foreign representative" must qualify as a foreign representative within the meaning of article 2(d) of the MLCBI. Assuming that both qualify as such, list and briefly explain (with reference to the relevant MLCBI articles) any other evidence, restrictions, exclusions and limitations that must be considered, as well as the judicial scrutiny that must be overcome for a recognition application to be successful.**

*Pursuant to Article 17(1)(a) and (b) of the Model Law, the Court in the enacting State must start by assessing whether the foreign proceeding and the foreign representative*

meet the definitions provided for in Article 2(a) and 2(d). Assuming that they do, and there are no basis to invoke the exceptions under public policy as set out in Article 6 of the Model Law, the Court must then ensure that the requirements set out in Article 17(1)(c) and (d) are met. Under Article 17(1)(c), the application for recognition must be accompanied by:

- (a) A certified copy of the decision commencing the foreign proceedings, here the proceedings in State B;
- (b) A certificate from the foreign court, here, the Court in State B, affirming the existence of the foreign proceeding in State B and of the appointment of the foreign representative; and
- (c) In the absence of the evidence referred to in (a) and (b) above, any other evidence acceptable to the Court in State A of the existence of the foreign proceeding in State B and of the appointment of the foreign representative. [1]

Then, the Court in the Enacting State, here State A, will have to determine pursuant to Article 17(2) of the Model Law as to whether the debtor's centre of main interests is in State B, the foreign State in which the foreign proceedings were open, in which case the foreign proceeding commenced in State B can be recognised as a foreign main proceeding. If the debtor merely has an establishment in State B, the foreign proceeding can only be recognised as a foreign non-proceedings. [1] Refer to Article 17 that contains these provisions

With reference to the question, your answer should have also included a brief discussion on the following:

1. **Exclusions:** If the debtor is an entity that is subject to a special insolvency regime in State B, the foreign representative should first check if the foreign proceedings regarding that type of a debtor are excluded in State A based on Article 1(2) of the implemented Model Law in State A.
2. **Restrictions: Existing international obligations of State A:** Based on Article 3 of the Model Law, the court in State A should also check if there are no existing international obligations of State A (under a treaty or otherwise) that may conflict with granting the recognition application under the implemented Model Law in State A.
3. **Judicial scrutiny:** While the court in State A is able to rely on the rebuttable presumptions set forth in Article 16 of the Model Law, in the context of Article 17 of the Model Law the court will have to assess whether either the COMI or at least an establishment of the debtor is located in State B where the foreign proceedings were opened. If the COMI of the debtor is in State B the foreign proceedings should be recognised as foreign main proceedings and if only an establishment of the debtor is in State B the foreign proceedings should be recognised as foreign non-main proceedings. Without a COMI or at least an establishment of the debtor in State B, recognition cannot be granted by the court in State A.
4. **Public policy exception:** Finally, the court in State A should also ensure based on Article 6 of the Model Law that the recognition application is not manifestly contrary to public policy of State A.

Question 3.3 [maximum 5 marks] [2 marks out of 5]

***As far as relief is concerned, briefly explain (with reference to the relevant MLCBI articles) what pre- and post-recognition relief can be considered in the context of the MLCBI. Also address which restrictions, limitations or conditions should be considered in this context. For the purposes of this question, it can be assumed that there is no concurrence of proceedings.***

*Before a decision on the recognition application is given, the Court in the enacting State is entitled to grant urgent interim relief pursuant to Article 19 in order to protect the assets of the debtor or the interests of the creditors. Such reliefs can be granted any time, from the time of filing of the recognition application to the point where the said application is decided on. Such reliefs apply to both foreign main proceedings and foreign non-main proceedings, and can include the following:*

- (a) A stay of execution against the debtor's assets;*
- (b) Entrusting the administration or realisation of all or part of the debtor's assets located in the enacting State to the foreign representative or another person designated by the court, in order to protect and preserve the value of assets that, by their nature or because of other circumstances, are perishable, susceptible to devaluation or otherwise in jeopardy;*
- (c) Any relief mentioned in paragraph 1 (c), (d) and (g) of Article 21.*

*The Court may refuse to grant interim relief under Article 21 if such relief would interfere with the administration of a foreign main proceeding.*

*As for post-recognition relief, Article 20 provides for automatic mandatory relief in cases involving foreign main proceeding. Relief under Article 20 are as follows:*

- (a) Commencement or continuation of individual actions or individual proceedings concerning the debtor's assets, rights, obligations or liabilities is stayed;*
- (b) Execution against the debtor's assets is stayed; and*
- (c) The right to transfer, encumber or otherwise dispose of any assets of the debtor is suspended.*

*Under Article 21 provides also provides for post-recognition reliefs, albeit discretionary. In this regard, upon recognition of a foreign proceeding, (whether main or non-main) where necessary to protect the assets of the debtor or the interest of the creditors, the Court may, at the request of the foreign representative, grant any appropriate relief, including:*

- (a) *Staying the commencement or continuation of individual actions or individual proceedings concerning the debtor's assets, rights, obligations or liabilities, to the extent they have not been stayed under paragraph 1(a) of Article 20;*
- (b) *Staying execution against the debtor's assets to the extent it has not been stayed under paragraph 1 (b) of article 20;*
- (c) *Providing for the examination of witnesses, the taking of evidence or the delivery of information concerning the debtor's assets, affairs, rights, obligations or liabilities.*

*In so far as granting relief to a foreign representative of a foreign non-main proceeding is concerned, the Court must be satisfied that the relief relates to the assets that under the law of the enacting State, should be administered in the foreign non-main proceeding, or concerns information required in that proceeding. Essentially, the relief granted must not interfere with the administration of another insolvency proceeding (see paragraph 4 of Article 21).*

**Your answer should have also included a brief discussion on the following:**

- 1. Adequate protection: Pursuant to Article 22 of the Model Law any interim relief under Article 19 of the Model Law or any post-recognition relief under Article 21 of the Model Law require the court in State A to be satisfied that the interests of the creditors and the other interested persons, including the debtor, are adequately protected and any relief may be subject to conditions as the court considers appropriate.**
- 2. Existing international obligations of State A: Based on Article 3 of the Model Law, the court in State A should again verify that there are no existing international obligations of State A (under a treaty or otherwise) that may conflict with granting the requested relief under the implemented Model Law in State A.**
- 3. Public policy exception: The court in State A should, based on Article 6 of the Model Law, also again verify that the relief application is not manifestly contrary to public policy of State A.**

Question 3.4 [maximum 1 mark] [1 mark]

**Briefly explain - with reference to case law - why a worldwide freezing order granted as pre-recognition interim relief ex article 19 MLCBI, is unlikely to continue post-recognition ex article 21 MLCBI?**

*In the English case of Igor Vitalievich Protasov and Khadzhi-Murat Derev [2021] EWHC 392 (CH), the English Court was of the view that the English bankruptcy law offers other forms of protection which mean that reliefs in the form of freezing order is simply unwarranted. In this regard, the English Court held that "...the scheme of the Model Law is intended to put foreign trustee or bankruptcy manager in the same position, as far as practicable, as an officeholder appointed under domestic law, and consistent with that, the effect of recognition of a foreign main proceeding is to bring into play the same wide infrastructure of the insolvency legislation. Absent some exceptional*

*reason, a freezing order or other similar order will not in my view be required or justified..."*

**QUESTION 4** (fact-based application-type question) [15 marks in total]

Commented [BB10]: SUB TOTAL = 6 MARKS

**Read the following facts very carefully before answering the questions that follow.**

(1) Background

**The Commercial Bank for Business Corporation (the Bank) has operated since 1991. The Bank's registered office is situated in Country A, which has not adopted the MLCBI. As of 13 August 2015, the Bank's majority ultimate beneficial owner was Mr Z, who held approximately 95% of the Bank's shares through various corporate entities (including some registered in England).**

**The Bank entered provisional administration on 17 September 2015 and liquidation on 17 December 2015. Investigations into the Bank have revealed that it appears to have been potentially involved in a multi-million dollar fraud resulting in monies being sent to many overseas companies, including entities incorporated and registered in England.**

**Proceedings were commenced in the High Court of England and Wales (Chancery Division) against various defendants on 11 February 2021 (the English Proceedings).**

**An affidavit (the Affidavit) sets out a detailed summary of the legislation of Country A's specific insolvency procedure for Banks. The procedure involves initial input from the National Bank (the NB) and at the time that the Bank entered liquidation, followed by a number of stages:**

*Classification of the bank as troubled*

**The NB may classify a bank as "troubled" if it meets at least one of the criteria set down by article 75 of the Law of Country A on Banks and Banking Activity (LBBA) or for any of the reasons specified in its regulations.**

**Once declared "troubled", the relevant bank has 180 days within which to bring its activities in line with the NB's requirements. At the end of that period, the NB must either recognise the Bank as compliant, or must classify it as insolvent.**

*Classification of the bank as insolvent*

**The NB is obliged to classify a bank as insolvent if it meets the criteria set out in article 76 of the LBBA, which includes:**

- (i) the bank's regulatory capital amount or standard capital ratios have reduced to one-third of the minimum level specified by law;**
- (ii) within five consecutive working days, the bank has failed to meet 2% or more of its obligations to depositors or creditors; and**
- (iii) the bank, having been declared as troubled, then fails to comply with an order or decision of the NB and / or a request by the NB to remedy violations of the banking law.**

**The NB has the ability to classify a bank as insolvent without necessarily needing to first go through the troubled stage. Article 77 of the LBBA accordingly provides that a bank can be liquidated by the NB directly, revoking its licence.**

#### *Provisional administration*

**The Deposit Guarantee Fund (DGF) is a governmental body of Country A tasked principally with providing deposit insurance to bank depositors in Country A. However, the Affidavit explained that the DGF is also responsible for the process of withdrawing insolvent banks from the market and winding down their operations via liquidation. Its powers include those related to early detection and intervention, and the power to act in a bank's interim or provisional administration and its ultimate liquidation.**

**Pursuant to article 34 of the DGF Law, once a bank has been classified as insolvent, the DGF will begin the process of removing it from the market. This is often achieved with an initial period of provisional administration. During this period:**

- (i) the DGF (acting via an authorised officer) begins the process of directly administering the bank's affairs. Articles 35(5) and 36(1) of the DGF Law provide that during provisional administration, the DGF shall have full and exclusive rights to manage the bank and all powers of the bank's management.**
- (ii) Article 36(5) establishes a moratorium which prevents, *inter alia*: the claims of depositors or creditors being satisfied; execution or enforcement against the bank's assets; encumbrances and restrictions being created over the bank's property; and interest being charged.**

#### *Liquidation*



**Liquidation follows provisional administration. The DGF is obliged to commence liquidation proceedings against a bank on or before the next working day after the NB's decision to revoke the bank's licence.**

**Article 77 of the LBBA provides that the DGF automatically becomes liquidator of a bank on the date it receives confirmation of the NB's decision to revoke the bank's licence. At that point, the DGF acquires the full powers of a liquidator under the law of Country A.**

**When the bank enters liquidation, all powers of the bank's management and control bodies are terminated (as are the provisional administrators' powers if the bank is first in provisional administration); all banking activities are terminated; all money liabilities due to the bank are deemed to become due; and, among other things, the DGF alienates the bank's property and funds. Public encumbrances and restrictions on disposal of bank property are terminated and offsetting of counter-claims is prohibited.**

**As liquidator, the DGF has extensive powers, including the power to investigate the bank's history and bring claims against parties believed to have caused its downfall. Those powers include:**

- (i) the power to exercise management powers and take over management of the property (including the money) of the bank;**
- (ii) the power to compile a register of creditor claims and to seek to satisfy those claims;**
- (iii) the power to take steps to find, identify and recover property belonging to the bank;**
- (iv) the power to dismiss employees and withdraw from/terminate contracts;**
- (v) the power to dispose of the bank's assets; and**
- (vi) the power to exercise "such other powers as are necessary to complete the liquidation of a bank".**

**The DGF also has powers of sale, distribution and the power to bring claims for compensation against persons for harm inflicted on the insolvent bank.**

**However, article 48(3) of the DGF Law empowers the DGF to delegate its powers to an "authorised officer" or "authorised person". The "Fund's authorised person" is defined by article 2(1)(17) of the DGF Law as: "an employee of the Fund, who on behalf of the Fund and within the powers provided for by this Law and / or delegated by the Fund, performs actions to ensure the bank's withdrawal from the market during provisional administration of the insolvent bank and/or bank liquidation".**

**Article 35(1) of the DGF Law specifies that an authorised person, must have: "...high professional and moral qualities, impeccable business reputation, complete higher education in the field of economics, finance or law...and professional experience necessary." An authorised person may not be a creditor of the relevant bank, have a criminal record, have any obligations to the relevant bank, or have any conflict of interest with the bank. Once appointed, the authorised officer is accountable to the DGF for their actions and may exercise the powers delegated to them by the DGF in pursuance of the bank's liquidation.**

**The DGF's independence is addressed at articles 3(3) and 3(7) of the DGF Law which confirm that it is an economically independent institution with separate balance sheet and accounts from the NB and that neither public authorities nor the NB have any right to interfere in the exercise of its functions and powers.**

**Article 37 establishes that the DGF (or its authorised person, insofar as such powers are delegated) has extensive powers, including powers to exercise managerial and supervisory powers, to enter into contracts, to restrict or terminate the bank's transactions, and to file property and non-property claims with a court.**

(2) The Bank's liquidation

**The Bank was formally classified by the NB as "troubled" on 19 January 2015. The translated NB resolution records:**

**"The statistical reports-based analysis of the Bank's compliance with the banking law requirements has found that the Bank has been engaged in risky operations."**

**Those operations included:**

- (i) a breach, for eight consecutive reporting periods, of the NB's minimum capital requirements;**
- (ii) 10 months of loss-making activities;**
- (iii) a reduction in its holding of highly liquid assets;**
- (iv) a critically low balance of funds held with the NB; and**
- (v) 48% of the Bank's liabilities being dependent on individuals and a significant increase in "adversely classified assets" which are understood to be loans, whose full repayment has become questionable.**

**Despite initially appearing to improve, by September 2015 the Bank's financial position had deteriorated further with increased losses, a further reduction in**

**regulatory capital and numerous complaints to the NB. On 17 September 2015, the NB classified the Bank as insolvent pursuant to article 76 of the LBBA. On the same day, the DGF passed a resolution commencing the process of withdrawing the Bank from the market and appointing Ms C as interim administrator.**

**Three months later, on 17 December 2015, the NB formally revoked the Bank's banking licence and resolved that it be liquidated. The following day, the DGF initiated the liquidation procedure and appointed Ms C as the first of the DGF's authorised persons to whom powers of the liquidator were delegated. Ms C was replaced as authorised officer with effect from 17 August 2020 by Ms G.**

**Ms G's appointment was pursuant to a Decision of the Executive Board of the Directors of the DGF, No 1513 (Resolution 1513). Resolution 1513 notes that Ms G is a "leading bank liquidation professional". It delegates to her all liquidation powers in respect of the Bank set out in the DGF Law and in particular articles 37, 38, 47-52, 521 and 53 of the DGF Law, including the authority to sign all agreements related to the sale of the bank's assets in the manner prescribed by the DGF Law. Resolution 1513 expressly excludes from Ms G's authority the power to claim damages from a related party of the Bank, the power to make a claim against a non-banking financial institution that raised money as loans or deposits from individuals, and the power to arrange for the sale of the Bank's assets. Each of the excluded powers remains vested in the DGF as the Bank's formally appointed liquidator.**

**On 14 December 2020, the Bank's liquidation was extended to an indefinite date, described as arising when circumstances rendered the sale of the Bank's assets and satisfaction of creditor's claims, no longer possible.**

**On 7 September 2020, the DGF resolved to approve an amended list of creditors' claims totalling approximately USD 1.113 billion. The Affidavit states that the Bank's current, estimated deficiency exceeds USD 823 million.**

QUESTION 4.1 [maximum 15 marks] [6 out of 15]

**Prior to any determination made in the English Proceedings, Ms G, in her capacity as authorised officer of the Deposit Guarantee Fund (or DGF) of Country A in respect of the liquidation of the Commercial Bank for Business Corporation (the Bank), together with the DGF (the Applicants), applied for recognition of the liquidation of the Bank before the English court based on the Cross-Border Insolvency Regulations 2006 (CBIR), the English adopted version of the MLCBI.**

**Assuming you are the judge in the English court considering this recognition application, you are required to discuss:**

**4.1.1 whether the Bank's liquidation comprises a "foreign proceeding" within the meaning of article 2(a) of the MLCBI [maximum 10 marks]; and [4 out of 10]**

*In order for a proceeding to qualify as a “foreign proceeding” within the meaning of article 2(a) of the MLCBI, the following requirements must be met:*

- (a) Collective nature: despite the fact that the proceeding may include an interim proceeding, ultimately, it must be judicial or administrative in nature;*
- (b) Law related to insolvency: The proceeding must be in a foreign State authorised or conducted under a law relating to insolvency;*
- (c) Subject to control or supervision by a foreign court: In this regard, the assets and affairs of the debtor must be subject to control or supervision by a foreign court; and*
- (d) Purpose of reorganisation or liquidation: the proceeding must be for the purpose of reorganisation or liquidation.*

*In this case, the Bank’s liquidation would be considered as having a collective nature due to the fact that there are claims by creditors.*

*The liquidation of the Bank, which takes place in Country A, pursuant to the LBBA, which contains law relating to insolvency of banks, would satisfy requirement (b) above.*

*However, it cannot be said that the assets and affairs of the Bank are subject to the control or supervision of the Court of Country A. Instead, they are under the control of the DGF. This would mean that the Bank’s liquidation does not meet the requirement set out in (c) above. On that basis, the Bank’s liquidation would not amount to a “foreign proceeding”.*

For full marks your response must address in sufficient detail each of the 7 separate elements of the definition of “foreign proceeding” as set forth in article 2(a) of the MLCBI, provide guidance and source references as appropriate and apply the facts. In your response you have not specifically addressed the “proceedings” element, the “judicial or administrative” element, or the “of a foreign State” element

You conclude that the “subject to control or supervision by a foreign court” has not been met. For the following reasons, we would take a different position on this:

1. The term “*foreign court*” is defined at article 2(e) of the MLCBI and means: “*a judicial or other authority competent to control or supervise a foreign proceeding*”.
2. The Guide to Enactment notes: “*87) A foreign proceeding that meets the requisites of article 2, subparagraph (a), should receive the same treatment irrespective of whether it has been commenced and supervised by a judicial body or an administrative body. Therefore, in order to obviate the need to refer to a foreign non-judicial authority whenever reference is made to a foreign court, the definition of “foreign court” in subparagraph (e) includes also non-judicial authorities.*”
3. In *Re Sanko Steamship Co Ltd* [2015] EWHC 1031 (Ch) Simon Barker QC, noted that a foreign proceeding may be recognised where the control or supervision of the proceeding is undertaken by a non-judicial administrative body.
4. The Guide to Enactment states: “*74) The Model Law specifies neither the level of control or supervision required to satisfy this aspect of the definition nor the time at which that control or supervision should arise. Although it is intended that the control*

*or supervision required under subparagraph (a) should be formal in nature, it may be potential rather than actual. As noted in paragraph 71, a proceeding in which the debtor retains some measure of control over its assets, albeit under court supervision, such as a debtor-in-possession would satisfy this requirement. Control or supervision may be exercised not only directly by the court but also by an insolvency representative where, for example, the insolvency representative is subject to control or supervision by the court. Mere supervision of an insolvency representative by a licensing authority would not be sufficient."*

5. In this case the DGF has control of all of the Bank's assets and overall control of the liquidation.
6. The DGF's independence is addressed at articles 3(3) and 3(7) of the DGF Law which confirm that it is an economically independent institution with separate balance sheet and accounts from the NB and that neither public authorities nor the NB have any right to interfere in the exercise of its functions and powers.
7. Article 37 establishes that the DGF (or its authorised person, insofar as such powers are delegated) has extensive powers, including powers to exercise managerial and supervisory powers, to enter into contracts, to restrict or terminate the bank's transactions, and to file property and non-property claims with a court.
8. The assets and affairs of the Bank are subject to the control of the DGF, an official body which exercises its powers in the liquidation free from intervention by government or the NB and which should be considered, for the purposes of the definition set out in article 2(e) of the MLCBI, as a "foreign court".

Furthermore, the elements you do discuss in your response have not been addressed with the level of detail (including guidance, source references and application of the facts) we are looking for. For example, for the "collective nature" element, we would be looking for a response along the following lines:

**1. UNCITRAL's guide for judiciary, "The Model Law on Insolvency: The Judicial Perspective" (2013) explains the requirement for proceedings to be "collective":**

"The UNCITRAL Model Law was intended to apply only to particular types of insolvency proceedings. The Guide to Enactment and Interpretation indicates that the notion of a "collective" insolvency proceeding is based on the desirability of achieving a coordinated, global solution for all stakeholders of an insolvency proceeding. It is not intended that the Model Law be used merely as a collection device for a particular creditor or group of creditors who might have initiated a collection proceeding in another State, or as a tool for gathering up assets in a winding up or conservation proceeding that does not also include provision for addressing the claims of creditors. The Model Law may be an appropriate tool for certain kinds of actions that serve a regulatory purpose, such as receiverships for such publicly regulated entities as insurance companies or brokerage firms, provided the proceeding is collective as that term is used in the Model Law."

**2. The Guide to Enactment and Interpretation of the UNCITRAL Model Law (2014) explains that when:**

"evaluating whether a given proceeding is *collective* for the purpose of the Model Law, a key consideration is whether substantially all of the assets and liabilities of the debtor are dealt with in the proceeding, subject to local priorities and statutory exceptions, and to local exclusions relating to the rights of secured creditors. A proceeding should not be considered to fail the test of collectivity purely because a class of creditors' rights is unaffected by it."

**3. Based on the facts provided the understanding is that *all of the Bank's creditors are entitled to claim in the liquidation and that their claims are met from available assets, according to the statutory order of priorities. Consequently,***

***the conclusion can be reached that the Bank's liquidation is a "collective proceeding".***

**4.1.2 whether the Applicants fall within the description of "foreign representatives" as defined by article 2(d) of the MLCBI [maximum 5 marks]. [2 out of 5]**

*In order for a representative to qualify as a "foreign representative" under article 2(d) of the MLCBI, the said representative would have to satisfy the following requirements:*

- (a) The representative must be an appointed person or body (including appointment on an interim basis) authorised in the foreign proceeding; and*
- (b) The purpose of the authorisation of the said representative is either to administer the organisation or liquidation of the debtor's assets or affairs or to act as representative of the foreign proceeding.*

*While the Applicants' are authorised to liquidate the bank, they still would not be deemed as person or body authorised in the foreign proceeding as the liquidation of the Bank itself would not qualify as "foreign proceeding".*

***For full marks we are looking for a response along the following lines:***

***1. "Foreign representative" is defined by article 2(d) of the MLCBI to mean:***

*'a person or body, including one appointed on an interim basis, authorised in a foreign proceeding to administer the reorganisation or the liquidation of the debtor's assets or affairs or to act as a representative of the foreign proceeding'*

***2. Article 16(1) of the MLCBI provides:***

*'If the decision or certificate referred to in paragraph 2 of article 15 indicates that the foreign proceeding is a proceeding within the meaning of sub-paragraph (i) of article 2 and that the foreign representative is a body or person within the meaning of sub-paragraph (j) of article 2, the court is entitled to so presume.'*

***3. This application is brought jointly by the DGF and Ms G. The DGF's role as liquidator arises under statute and article 77 of the LBBA provides that the DGF is automatically appointed as liquidator on the day it receives the NB's decision pursuant to article 77 revoking a bank's licence and commencing its liquidation.***

***4. Article 48(3) of the DGF Law, empowers the DGF to delegate its powers to an "authorised officer" or "authorised person". The "Fund's authorised person" is defined by article 2(1)(17) of the DGF law as: "an employee of the Fund, who on behalf of the Fund and within the powers provided for by this Law and/or delegated by the Fund, performs actions to ensure the bank's withdrawal from the market during provisional administration of the insolvent bank and/or bank liquidation".***

***5. Article 35(1) of the DGF Law specifies that an authorised person, must have: "...high professional and moral qualities, impeccable business reputation, complete higher education in the field of economics, finance or law...and professional experience necessary." An authorised person may not be a***

*creditor of the relevant bank, have a criminal record, have any obligations to the relevant bank, or have any conflict of interest with the bank. Once appointed, the authorised officer is accountable to the DGF for their actions and may exercise the powers delegated to them by the DGF in pursuance of the bank's liquidation.*

- 6. Ms G's appointment was pursuant to a Decision of the Executive Board of the Directors of the DGF, No. 1513 ("Resolution 1513"). Resolution 1513 notes that Ms G is a "leading bank liquidation professional". It delegates to her all liquidation powers in respect of the Bank, set out in the DGF Law and in particular articles 37, 38, 47-52, 521 and 53 of the DGF Law, including the authority to sign all agreements related to the sale of the bank's assets in the manner prescribed by the DGF Law. Resolution 1513 expressly excludes from Ms G's authority the power to claim damages from a related party of the Bank, the power to make a claim against a non-banking financial institution that raised money as loans or deposits from individuals, and the power to arrange for the sale of the Bank's assets. Each of the excluded powers remains vested in the DGF as the Bank's formally appointed liquidator.*
- 7. As a result of the sharing of some, but not all of the liquidator's powers and the division of responsibility between Ms G and the DGF, it seems likely that depending on the nature and timing of relief sought from this Court pursuant to the CBIR (if any), the appropriate applicant may, in the future, be either or both of Ms G and the DGF. I am satisfied that subject to the express limitations on Ms G's powers, they are both authorised to administer the liquidation and as such both meet the definition of "foreign representative". In our judgment they both had the necessary standing to apply in that capacity, for recognition of the Bank's liquidation.*

While not all facts provided in the fact pattern given for this Question 4 are immediately relevant for your answer, please do use, where appropriate, those relevant facts that directly support your answer.

***For the purpose of this question, you may further assume that the Bank is not excluded from the scope of the MLCBI by article 1(2) of the MLCBI.***

\* End of Assessment \*