

SUMMATIVE (FORMAL) ASSESSMENT: MODULE 2A

THE UNCITRAL MODEL LAWS RELATING TO INSOLVENCY

This is the summative (formal) assessment for Module 2A of this course and is compulsory for all candidates who selected this module as one of their compulsory

If you selected this module as one of your elective modules, please read instruction 6.2 on the next page very carefully.

modules from Module 2. Please read instruction 6.1 on the next page very carefully.

The mark awarded for this assessment will determine your final mark for Module 2A. In order to pass this module, you need to obtain a mark of 50% or more for this assessment.

Commented [SL1]: TOTAL = 36.5

73%

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETION AND SUBMISSION OF ASSESSMENT

Please read the following instructions very carefully before submitting / uploading your assessment on the Foundation Certificate web pages.

- 1. You must use this document for the answering of the assessment for this module. The answers to each question must be completed using this document with the answers populated under each question.
- All assessments must be submitted electronically in MS Word format, using a standard A4 size page and a 11-point Arial font. This document has been set up with these parameters - please do not change the document settings in any way.
 DO NOT submit your assessment in PDF format as it will be returned to you unmarked.
- 3. No limit has been set for the length of your answers to the questions. However, please be guided by the mark allocation for each question. More often than not, one fact / statement will earn one mark (unless it is obvious from the question that this is not the case).
- 4. You must save this document using the following format: [student ID.assessment2A]. An example would be something along the following lines: 202223-336.assessment2A. Please also include the filename as a footer to each page of the assessment (this has been pre-populated for you, merely replace the words "studentID" with the student number allocated to you). Do not include your name or any other identifying words in your file name. Assessments that do not comply with this instruction will be returned to candidates unmarked.
- 5. Before you will be allowed to upload / submit your assessment via the portal on the Foundation Certificate web pages, you will be required to confirm / certify that you are the person who completed the assessment and that the work submitted is your own, original work. Please see the part of the Course Handbook that deals with plagiarism and dishonesty in the submission of assessments. Please note that copying and pasting from the Guidance Text into your answer is prohibited and constitutes plagiarism. You must write the answers to the questions in your own words.
- 6.1 If you selected Module 2A as one of your compulsory modules (see the e-mail that was sent to you when your place on the course was confirmed), the final time and date for the submission of this assessment is 23:00 (11 pm) GMT on 1 March 2023. The assessment submission portal will close at 23:00 (11 pm) GMT on 1 March 2023. No submissions can be made after the portal has closed and no further uploading of documents will be allowed, no matter the circumstances.
- 6.2 If you selected Module 2A as one of your elective modules (see the e-mail that was sent to you when your place on the course was confirmed), you have a choice as to when you may submit this assessment. You may either submit the assessment by 23:00 (11 pm) GMT on 1 March 2023 or by 23:00 (11 pm) BST (GMT +1) on 31 July 2023. If you elect to submit by 1 March 2023, you may not

7.	submit the assessment again by 31 July 2023 (for example, in order to achieve a higher mark). Prior to being populated with your answers, this assessment consists of 14	
	pages.	
2022	223-753.assessment2A Page 3	

ANSWER ALL THE QUESTIONS

Please note that all references to the "MLCBI" or "Model Law" in this assessment are references to the Model Law on Cross-Border Insolvency.

QUESTION 1 (multiple-choice questions) [10 marks in total]

Questions 1.1. - 1.10. are multiple-choice questions designed to assess your ability to think critically about the subject. Please read each question carefully before reading the answer options. Be aware that some questions may seem to have more than one right answer, but you are to look for the one that makes the most sense and is the most correct. When you have a clear idea of the question, find your answer and mark your selection on the answer sheet by highlighting the relevant paragraph in yellow. Select only ONE answer. Candidates who select more than one answer will receive no mark for that specific question.

Question 1.1

Which of the following statements does not reflect the purpose of the Model Law?

- (a) The purpose of the Model Law is to provide greater legal certainly for trade and investment.
- (b) The purpose of the Model Law is to provide protection and maximization of the value of the debtor's assets.
- (c) The purpose of the Model Law is to facilitate the rescue of a financially troubled business, by providing a substantive unification of insolvency law.
- (d) The purpose of the Model Law is to provide a fair and efficient administration of cross-border insolvencies that protects all creditors and the debtor

Question 1.2

Which of the following statements are reasons for the development of the Model Law?

- (a) The increased risk of fraud due to the interconnected world.
- (b) The difficulty of agreeing multilateral treaties dealing with insolvency law.
- (c) The practical problems caused by the disharmony among national laws governing cross-border insolvencies, despite the success of protocols in practice.

202223-753.assessment2A

Page 4

Commented [SL2]: SUBTOTAL = 7 MARKS

(d) All of the above.

Question 1.3

Which of the following challenges to a recognition application under the Model Law <u>is</u> most likely to be successful?

- (a) The registered office of the debtor is not in the jurisdiction where the foreign proceedings were opened, but the debtor has an establishment in the jurisdiction of the enacting State.
- (b) The registered office of the debtor is in the jurisdiction of the enacting State, but the debtor has an establishment in the jurisdiction where the foreign proceedings were opened.
- (c) The debtor has neither its COMI nor an establishment in the jurisdiction where the foreign proceedings were opened.
- (d) The debtor has neither its COMI nor an establishment in the jurisdiction of the enacting State.

Question 1.4

Which of the following rules or concepts set forth in the Model Law ensures that fundamental principles of law are upheld?

- (a) The locus standi access rules.
- (b) The public policy exception.
- (c) The safe conduct rule.
- (d) The "hotchpot" rule.

Question 1.5

For a debtor with its COMI in South Africa and an establishment in Argentina, foreign main proceedings are opened in South Africa and foreign non-main proceedings are opened in Argentina. Both the South African foreign representative and the Argentinian foreign representative have applied for recognition before the relevant court in the UK. Please note that South Africa has implemented the Model Law subject to the so-called principle of reciprocity (based on country designation), Argentina has not implemented the Model Law and the UK has implemented the Model Law without any so-called principle of reciprocity. In this scenario, which of the following statements is the most correct one?

Page 5

202223-753.assessment2A

Commented [SL3]: Correct answer is (c).

- (a) The foreign main proceedings in South Africa will not be recognised in the UK because the UK is not a designated country under South Africa's principle of reciprocity, but the foreign non-main proceedings in Argentina will be recognised in the UK despite Argentina not having implemented the Model Law.
- (b) Both the foreign main proceedings in South Africa and the foreign non-main proceedings in Argentina will not be recognised in the UK because the UK has no principle of reciprocity and Argentina has not implemented the Model Law.
- (c) Both the foreign main proceedings in South Africa and the foreign non-main proceedings in Argentina will be recognised in the UK.
- (d) None of the statements in (a), (b) or (c) are correct.

Question 1.6

Which of the following statements regarding concurrent proceedings under the Model Law <u>is true</u>?

- (a) No interim relief based on Article 19 of the Model Law is available if concurrent domestic insolvency proceedings and foreign proceedings exist at the time of the application of the foreign proceedings in the enacting State.
- (b) In the case of a foreign main proceeding, automatic relief under Article 20 of the Model Law applies if concurrent domestic insolvency proceedings and foreign proceedings exist at the time of the application of the foreign proceedings in the enacting State.
- (c) The commencement of domestic insolvency proceedings prevents or terminates the recognition of a foreign proceeding.
- (d) If only after recognition of the foreign proceedings concurrent domestic insolvency proceedings are opened, then any post-recognition relief granted based on Article 21 of the Model Law will not be either adjusted or terminated if consistent with the domestic insolvency proceedings.

Question 1.7

When using its discretionary power to grant post-recognition relief pursuant to Article 21 of the Model Law, what should the court in the enacting State primarily consider?

(a) The court must be satisfied that the interests of the creditors and other interested parties, excluding the debtor, are adequately protected.

202223-753.assessment2A

Commented [SL4]: Correct answer is (d).

Commented [SL5]: Correct answer is (b).

Page 6

- (b) The court should consider whether the relief requested is necessary for the protection of the assets of the debtor or the interests of the creditors and strike an appropriate balance between the relief that may be granted and the persons that may be affected.
- (c) The court should be satisfied that the foreign proceeding is a main proceeding.
- (d) All of the above.

Question 1.8

Which of the statements below regarding the Centre of Main Interest (COMI) and the Model Law is correct?

- (a) COMI is not a defined term in the Model Law.
- (b) For a corporate debtor, the Model Law does contain a rebuttable presumption that the debtor's registered office is its COMI.
- (c) For an individual debtor, the Model Law does contain a rebuttable presumption that the debtor's habitual residence is its COMI.
- (d) All of the above.

Question 1.9

An automatic stay of execution according to article 20 in the Model Law covers:

- (a) Court proceedings.
- (b) Arbitral Tribunals.
- (c) Both (a) and (b).
- (d) Neither (a) nor (b).

Question 1.10

Article 13 grants access to the creditors in a foreign proceeding. Which of the following statements correctly describes the protection granted in Article 13?

- (a) A foreign creditor has the same rights regarding the commencement of, and participation in, a proceeding as creditors in this State.
- (b) A foreign creditor has the same rights as it has in its home state.

202223-753.assessment2A

Page 7

- (c) All foreign creditors' claims are, as a minimum, considered to be unsecured claims.
- (d) Article 13 contains a uniform ranking system to avoid discrimination.

QUESTION 2 (direct questions) [10 marks in total]

Question 2.1 [maximum 3 marks]

Under the MLCBI, explain and discuss what the appropriate date is for determining the COMI of a debtor?

The appropriate date for determining the COMI of a debtor (of whether an establishment exists) is the date of the commencement of the foreign proceeding. Whilst the COMI is subject to change; if the date of such a change is in close proximity to the commencement of foreign proceedings, the COMI would be harder to establish (including the requirement that the COMI must be readily ascertainable by third parties).

The US Second Circuit of Appeals in Morning Mist Holdings Ltd v Krys (Matter of Fairfield Sentry Ltd) took a differing approach towards determining the relevant date for determination of COMI, in that it should be determined based on its activities around the time of the Chapter 15 petition filing, and also held that further relevant activities, including liquidation and administration may be considered in the COMI analysis.

Question 2.2 [maximum 3 marks]

The following three (3) statements relate to particular provisions / concepts to be found in the Model Law. Indicate the name of the provision / concept (as well as the relevant Model Law article), addressed in each statement.

Statement 1 "This Article lays down the requirements of notification of creditors."

Statement 2 "This Article is referred to as the 'Safe Conduct Rule'".

Statement 3 "This Article contains a rebuttable presumption in respect of an undefined key concept in the MLCBI."

- 1. Article 14 timely notice (foreign creditors are entitled to individual notification of the commencement of local proceedings, and of the time-limit to file claims).
- 2. Article 10 safe conduct rule (ensures the court in the enacting state does not assume jurisdiction over all assets of the debtor on the sole ground that the

202223-753.assessment2A

Page 8

Commented [SL6]: SUBTOTAL = 9.5 MARKS

Commented [SL7]: 3 marks

Commented [SL8]: 3 marks

- foreign representative has made application for recognition of a foreign proceeding).
- 3. Article 16 Recognition presumptions (rebuttable presumptions with regard to COMI)

Question 2.3 [2 marks]

In the IBA case appeal, the English Court of Appeal upheld the decision that the court should not exercise its power to grant the indefinite Moratorium Continuation. Please explain.

In upholding the decision in the IBA case, the English Court of Appeal focused in particular on the question around jurisdiction of the English court to grant the indefinite Moratorium Continuation requested by the foreign representative. The COA held that it did not involve a strict jurisdictional question, but instead whether as a matter of settled practice that the court should not exercise its power to grant the moratorium continuation in circumstances where doing so would prevent the Challenging Creditors from enforcing their English law rights in accordance with Gibbs, and/or prolong the stay after the Azeri restructuring had been completed. The court found in favour of the Challenging Creditors, finding that the requisite conditions had not been satisfied.

Question 2.4 [2 marks]

In terms of relief, what should the court in an enacting State, where a domestic proceeding has already been opened in respect of the debtor, do after recognition of a foreign main proceeding? In your answer you should mention the most relevant article of the MLCBI. What (ongoing) duty of information does the foreign representative in the foreign main proceeding have towards the court in the enacting State? Here too you are required to mention the most relevant article of the MLCBI.

The court has discretionary power to provide post-recognition relief pursuant to Article 21 of the MLCBI. The enacting state court must ensure that the interests of creditors are adequately protected. To this end, the court has the power to impose conditions on relief granted as it considers appropriate, and may further modify or terminate relief at request of an affected person.

Pursuant to Article 18 of the MLCBI the foreign representative shall inform the court in the enacting State of any substantial change in the status of the recognised foreign proceeding or the status of the foreign representative's appointment; and, any other foreign proceeding regarding the same debtor that becomes known.

QUESTION 3 (essay-type questions) [15 marks in total]

202223-753.assessment2A

Commented [SL9]: 2 marks

Commented [SL10]: 1.5 mark

Art 29(a)

Page 9

Commented [SL11]: SUBTOTAL = 11 MARKS

A foreign representative of a foreign proceeding opened in State B in respect of a corporate debtor (the Debtor) is considering whether or not to make a recognition application under the implemented Model Law of State A (which does not contain any reciprocity provision). In addition, the foreign representative is also considering what (if any) relief may be appropriate to request from the court in State A.

Write a brief essay in which you address the three questions below.

Question 3.1 [maximum 4 marks] [2 out of 4 marks]

The foreign representative is considering his options to secure the value of the debtor's assets located in State A. With reference to the Model Law's provisions on access and co-operation, explain how these rights in State A can benefit the foreign representative.

Articles 9-14 of the MLCBI provides a framework for access and standing to the courts and non-discrimination principles ensuring the foreign representative enjoys the same rights as local creditors. These rights save time and expense to avoid value destruction to the debtor's assets, and promote transparency and comfort. [1] These rights include:

- Standing in the courts of State A, pursuant to Article 9, 11 and 12.
- Safe Conduct pursuant to Article 10, protecting the debtor's assets from jurisdiction of State A solely on the basis of the recognition application,
- Anti-discrimination pursuant to Article 10.
- Timely Notice pursuant to Article 14.

Legal standing (Article 9 MLCBI): The key access for the foreign representative is set forth in Article 9 MLCBI. In the capacity of foreign representative, the foreign representative has automatic standing before the courts in State A without having to meet any formal requirements such as a license or any consular action. In other words, the "status" in State B of the foreign representative is automatically recognised in State A for the purpose of granting the foreign representative standing before the courts in State A. This allows the foreign representative to safeguard and pursue assets of the debtor estate in State A before its courts.

Articles 25-27 of the MLCBI provides a legislative framework for co-operation and coordination between the jurisdictions. By way of the Model Law in State A, the foreign representative will enjoy the benefit of:

- Mandatory co-operation and direct communication from the State A court to the maximum extent possible, pursuant to Article 25. This is also available to proceedings that are neither foreign main nor non0main proceedings.
- Similarly, Article 26 provides for mandatory co-operation and direct communication with the domestic office-holder.
- Article 27 provides a non-exhaustive list of types of co-operation that may benefit the foreign representative, including communication, administration/supervision of assets and affairs, approval/implementation of agreements, coordination of concurrent proceedings. [1]

Your answer should have included a discussion on the following:

Opening domestic insolvency proceedings (Article 11 MLCBI): The foreign representative is further specifically entitled to apply for the opening of domestic insolvency proceedings in State A, as reflected in Article 11 of the MLCBI. Whether or not the foreign representative would wish to do this will depend on what the requirements are for opening such domestic proceedings. Can these requirements be met? On the other hand, it will depend on what the foreign representative believes he/she can get in terms of (interim) relief for the foreign proceedings in State B. In other words, are domestic insolvency proceedings really needed, or just additional time and costs that should be avoided?

<u>Save Time & Costs</u>: The key benefits of both the access provisions and the cooperation provisions are that they save time and therefore also costs, as a result of which value destruction can be avoided and value enhancement is being promoted.

Question 3.2 [maximum 5 marks] [5 out of 5 marks]

For a recognition application in State A to be successful, the foreign proceeding opened in State B must qualify as a "foreign proceeding" within the meaning of article 2(a) of the MLCBI and the "foreign representative" must qualify as a foreign representative within the meaning of article 2(d) of the MLCBI. Assuming that both qualify as such, list and briefly explain (with reference to the relevant MLCBI articles) any other evidence, restrictions, exclusions and limitations that must be considered, as well as the judicial scrutiny that must be overcome for a recognition application to be successful.

Assuming that the foreign proceeding and foreign representative qualifications are met, other evidence, restrictions, exclusions and limitations that must be considered for the recognition application include:

- If the MLCBI conflicts with a treaty or other form of multi-state agreement of State A, then that treaty or international agreement prevails, pursuant to Article 3 (Supremacy of other international obligations) [1]
- The public policy pursuant to Article 6 of the MLCBI provides for State A an ultimate safeguard to its sovereignty. This exception rule should be interpreted restrictively and only apply under exceptional circumstances concerning matters of fundamental importance to State A. [1]
- The foreign representative must comply with the evidential requirements for recognition pursuant to Article 15 of the MLCBI. The court in State A is limited to the jurisdictional pre-conditions set out in the definition of foreign proceeding, as set in Article 2(a). [1]

- A foreign non-main proceeding requires the debtor to have an establishment in connection with State A. A foreign proceeding that is not opened in the jurisdiction of the debtor's COMI and does not have at least an establishment in State A, cannot be recognised as a foreign proceeding. [1]
- Paragraph 2 of Article 1 allows State A to exclude certain proceedings from application of the MLCBI. These may apply for example, if the debtor is a bank, insurance company, public utility companies, consumer/non-traders. [1]

Question 3.3 [maximum 5 marks] [3 out of 5 marks]

As far as relief is concerned, briefly explain (with reference to the relevant MLCBI articles) what pre- and post-recognition relief can be considered in the context of the MLCBI. Also address which restrictions, limitations or conditions should be considered in this context. For the purposes of this question, it can be assumed that there is no concurrence of proceedings.

If relief is urgently needed to protect the debtor's assets or interests of creditors, interim relief prior to recognition is available pursuant to Article 19 of the MLCBI. This may include:

- a stay of execution against the debtor's assets;
- entrusting the administration or realisation of all or part of the debtor's assets located in the enacting State A to the foreign representative (or other person);
- Suspending right to transfer, encumber or dispose of assets;
- Providing for witness examination, taking of evidence or delivery of information. [1]

Article 20 provides for automatic mandatory relief in case the recognised foreign proceeding qualifies as a foreign main proceeding, including:

- stay of individual actions or proceedings;
- stary of execution against the debtor's assets;
- Suspending right to transfer, encumber or dispose of assets. [1]

Upon recognition of a foreign proceeding, Article 21 of the MLCBI provides the State A court with discretionary power to protect the debtor's assets or interests of creditors at the request of the foreign representative. This includes, amongst other things:

- Staying individual actions or proceedings;
- Staring execution against assets;
- Suspending right to transfer, encumber or dispose of assets;
- Providing for witness examination, taking of evidence or delivery of information;
- Entrusting the administration or realisation of assets;
- Extending interim relief granted pursuant to Article 19;
- Granting additional relief under State A's laws. [1]

For full marks you should have discussed the following elements in your answer:

- 1. Existing international obligations of State A: Based on Article 3 of the Model Law, the court in State A should again verify that there are no existing international obligations of State A (under a treaty or otherwise) that may conflict with granting the requested relief under the implemented Model Law in State A.
- 2. <u>Public policy exception</u>: The court in State A should, based on Article 6 of the Model Law, also again verify that the relief application is not manifestly contrary to public policy of State A.

Question 3.4 [maximum 1 mark] [1 mark]

Briefly explain - with reference to case law - why a worldwide freezing order granted as pre-recognition interim relief ex article 19 MLCBI, is unlikely to continue post-recognition ex article 21 MLCBI?

This was considered in Igor Vitalievich Protasov and Khadzhi-Murat Derev. The English court found that the English Bankruptcy regime offered other forms of available protection, and that such a freezing order or similar injunction was not warranted. [1]

QUESTION 4 (fact-based application-type question) [15 marks in total]

Read the following facts very carefully before answering the questions that follow.

(1) Background

The Commercial Bank for Business Corporation (the Bank) has operated since 1991. The Bank's registered office is situated in Country A, which has not adopted the MLCBI. As of 13 August 2015, the Bank's majority ultimate beneficial owner was Mr Z, who held approximately 95% of the Bank's shares through various corporate entities (including some registered in England).

The Bank entered provisional administration on 17 September 2015 and liquidation on 17 December 2015. Investigations into the Bank have revealed that it appears to have been potentially involved in a multi-million dollar fraud resulting in monies being sent to many overseas companies, including entities incorporated and registered in England.

Proceedings were commenced in the High Court of England and Wales (Chancery Division) against various defendants on 11 February 2021 (the English Proceedings).

An affidavit (the Affidavit) sets out a detailed summary of the legislation of Country A's specific insolvency procedure for Banks. The procedure involves initial input from the National Bank (the NB) and at the time that the Bank entered liquidation, followed by a number of stages:

Classification of the bank as troubled

The NB may classify a bank as "troubled" if it meets at least one of the criteria set down by article 75 of the Law of Country A on Banks and Banking Activity (LBBA) or for any of the reasons specified in its regulations.

Once declared "troubled", the relevant bank has 180 days within which to bring its activities in line with the NB's requirements. At the end of that period, the NB must either recognise the Bank as compliant, or must classify it as insolvent.

Classification of the bank as insolvent

The NB is obliged to classify a bank as insolvent if it meets the criteria set out in article 76 of the LBBA, which includes:

- (i) the bank's regulatory capital amount or standard capital ratios have reduced to one-third of the minimum level specified by law;
- (ii) within five consecutive working days, the bank has failed to meet 2% or more of its obligations to depositors or creditors; and
- (iii) the bank, having been declared as troubled, then fails to comply with an order or decision of the NB and / or a request by the NB to remedy violations of the banking law.

The NB has the ability to classify a bank as insolvent without necessarily needing to first go through the troubled stage. Article 77 of the LBBA accordingly provides that a bank can be liquidated by the NB directly, revoking its licence.

Provisional administration

The Deposit Guarantee Fund (DGF) is a governmental body of Country A tasked principally with providing deposit insurance to bank depositors in Country A. However, the Affidavit explained that the DGF is also responsible for the process of withdrawing insolvent banks from the market and winding down their operations via liquidation. Its powers include those related to early detection and intervention, and the power to act in a bank's interim or provisional administration and its ultimate liquidation.

Pursuant to article 34 of the DGF Law, once a bank has been classified as insolvent, the DGF will begin the process of removing it from the market. This is often achieved with an initial period of provisional administration. During this period:

- (i) the DGF (acting via an authorised officer) begins the process of directly administering the bank's affairs. Articles 35(5) and 36(1) of the DGF Law provide that during provisional administration, the DGF shall have full and exclusive rights to manage the bank and all powers of the bank's management.
- (ii) Article 36(5) establishes a moratorium which prevents, inter alia: the claims of depositors or creditors being satisfied; execution or enforcement against the bank's assets; encumbrances and restrictions being created over the bank's property; and interest being charged.

Liquidation

Liquidation follows provisional administration. The DGF is obliged to commence liquidation proceedings against a bank on or before the next working day after the NB's decision to revoke the bank's licence.

Article 77 of the LBBA provides that the DGF automatically becomes liquidator of a bank on the date it receives confirmation of the NB's decision to revoke the bank's licence. At that point, the DGF acquires the full powers of a liquidator under the law of Country A.

When the bank enters liquidation, all powers of the bank's management and control bodies are terminated (as are the provisional administrators' powers if the bank is first in provisional administration); all banking activities are terminated; all money liabilities due to the bank are deemed to become due; and, among other things, the DGF alienates the bank's property and funds. Public encumbrances and restrictions on disposal of bank property are terminated and offsetting of counter-claims is prohibited.

As liquidator, the DGF has extensive powers, including the power to investigate the bank's history and bring claims against parties believed to have caused its downfall. Those powers include:

- (i) the power to exercise management powers and take over management of the property (including the money) of the bank;
- (ii) the power to compile a register of creditor claims and to seek to satisfy those claims;
- (iii)the power to take steps to find, identify and recover property belonging to the bank;

- (iv) the power to dismiss employees and withdraw from/terminate contracts;
- (v) the power to dispose of the bank's assets; and
- (vi)the power to exercise "such other powers as are necessary to complete the liquidation of a bank".

The DGF also has powers of sale, distribution and the power to bring claims for compensation against persons for harm inflicted on the insolvent bank.

However, article 48(3) of the DGF Law empowers the DGF to delegate its powers to an "authorised officer" or "authorised person". The "Fund's authorised person" is defined by article 2(1)(17) of the DGF Law as: "an employee of the Fund, who on behalf of the Fund and within the powers provided for by this Law and / or delegated by the Fund, performs actions to ensure the bank's withdrawal from the market during provisional administration of the insolvent bank and/or bank liquidation".

Article 35(1) of the DGF Law specifies that an authorised person, must have: "...high professional and moral qualities, impeccable business reputation, complete higher education in the field of economics, finance or law...and professional experience necessary." An authorised person may not be a creditor of the relevant bank, have a criminal record, have any obligations to the relevant bank, or have any conflict of interest with the bank. Once appointed, the authorised officer is accountable to the DGF for their actions and may exercise the powers delegated to them by the DGF in pursuance of the bank's liquidation.

The DGF's independence is addressed at articles 3(3) and 3(7) of the DGF Law which confirm that it is an economically independent institution with separate balance sheet and accounts from the NB and that neither public authorities nor the NB have any right to interfere in the exercise of its functions and powers.

Article 37 establishes that the DGF (or its authorised person, insofar as such powers are delegated) has extensive powers, including powers to exercise managerial and supervisory powers, to enter into contracts, to restrict or terminate the bank's transactions, and to file property and non-property claims with a court.

(2) The Bank's liquidation

The Bank was formally classified by the NB as "troubled" on 19 January 2015. The translated NB resolution records:

"The statistical reports-based analysis of the Bank's compliance with the banking law requirements has found that the Bank has been engaged in risky operations."

Those operations included:

- (i) a breach, for eight consecutive reporting periods, of the NB's minimum capital requirements;
- (ii) 10 months of loss-making activities;
- (iii)a reduction in its holding of highly liquid assets;
- (iv)a critically low balance of funds held with the NB; and
- (v) 48% of the Bank's liabilities being dependent on individuals and a significant increase in "adversely classified assets" which are understood to be loans, whose full repayment has become questionable.

Despite initially appearing to improve, by September 2015 the Bank's financial position had deteriorated further with increased losses, a further reduction in regulatory capital and numerous complaints to the NB. On 17 September 2015, the NB classified the Bank as insolvent pursuant to article 76 of the LBBA. On the same day, the DGF passed a resolution commencing the process of withdrawing the Bank from the market and appointing Ms C as interim administrator.

Three months later, on 17 December 2015, the NB formally revoked the Bank's banking licence and resolved that it be liquidated. The following day, the DGF initiated the liquidation procedure and appointed Ms C as the first of the DGF's authorised persons to whom powers of the liquidator were delegated. Ms C was replaced as authorised officer with effect from 17 August 2020 by Ms G.

Ms G's appointment was pursuant to a Decision of the Executive Board of the Directors of the DGF, No 1513 (Resolution 1513). Resolution 1513 notes that Ms G is a "leading bank liquidation professional". It delegates to her all liquidation powers in respect of the Bank set out in the DGF Law and in particular articles 37, 38, 47-52, 521 and 53 of the DGF Law, including the authority to sign all agreements related to the sale of the bank's assets in the manner prescribed by the DGF Law. Resolution 1513 expressly excludes from Ms G's authority the power to claim damages from a related party of the Bank, the power to make a claim against a non-banking financial institution that raised money as loans or deposits from individuals, and the power to arrange for the sale of the Bank's assets. Each of the excluded powers remains vested in the DGF as the Bank's formally appointed liquidator.

On 14 December 2020, the Bank's liquidation was extended to an indefinite date, described as arising when circumstances rendered the sale of the Bank's assets and satisfaction of creditor's claims, no longer possible.

On 7 September 2020, the DGF resolved to approve an amended list of creditors' claims totalling approximately USD 1.113 billion. The Affidavit states that the Bank's current, estimated deficiency exceeds USD 823 million.

QUESTION 4.1 [maximum 15 marks] [9 out of 15]

Prior to any determination made in the English Proceedings, Ms G, in her capacity as authorised officer of the Deposit Guarantee Fund (or DGF) of Country A in respect of the liquidation of the Commercial Bank for Business Corporation (the Bank), together with the DGF (the Applicants), applied for recognition of the liquidation of the Bank before the English court based on the Cross-Border Insolvency Regulations 2006 (CBIR), the English adopted version of the MLCBI.

Assuming you are the judge in the English court considering this recognition application, you are required to discuss:

4.1.1 whether the Bank's liquidation comprises a "foreign proceeding" within the meaning of article 2(a) of the MLCBI [maximum 10 marks]; and [6 out of 10]

Article 2(a) of the MLCBI defines a "foreign proceeding" as:

a collective judicial or administrative proceeding in a foreign State, including an interim proceeding, pursuant to a law relating to insolvency in which proceeding the assets and affairs of the debtor are subject to control or supervision by a foreign court, for the purpose of reorganization or liquidation.

Assuming that the Bank is not excluded by Article 1 of the MLCBI [which is stipulated in the facts], for the purposes of the recognition application, we consider whether the English Proceedings are:

- A proceeding (including an interim proceeding) this does not warrant further discussion.
- Administrative or judicial in nature the proceedings are judicial in nature, having been commenced against various defendants in 2021 with respect to fraudulent activities.
- Collective in nature Assuming that the English Proceedings are not brought on behalf of a limited stakeholder group (i.e. as in this case of liquidation, seeks to deal with creditors' claims collectively), the Proceedings seek to deal with the fraudulent transfer of the Bank's assets to the detriment of the Bank's creditors and customers on a collective basis.
- In a foreign state The English Proceedings are outside of State A.
- That is authorised or conducted under a law relating to insolvency this element acknowledges that liquidation and reorganisation activities may be conducted under legislation not explicitly known as insolvency law. As the Bank is insolvent and is subject to liquidation, this element is thus satisfied.
- In which the assets and affairs of the debtor are subject to control or supervision by a foreign court - the MLCBI does not explicitly specify the level of control nor supervision required to satisfy this element. The liquidation of the Bank is in the control/supervision of DGF and its authorised person, and satisfies this element.

Is for the purposes of reorganisation or liquidation - at face value, it seems the
English Proceedings are commenced for the purposes of investigating and
recovering fraudulently transferred monies. Notwithstanding, this element is
deliberately broad, and the fact that the action is brought by the liquidator and
DGF should be sufficient to satisfy this element.

For full marks your response should address in more detail each element, provide guidance and source references, as appropriate, and apply the facts. For the "subject to control or supervision by a foreign court"-element, for example, we are looking for something along the following lines:

- 1. The term "foreign court" is defined at article 2(e) of the MLCBI and means: "a judicial or other authority competent to control or supervise a foreign proceeding".
- 2. The Guide to Enactment notes: "87) A foreign proceeding that meets the requisites of article 2, subparagraph (a), should receive the same treatment irrespective of whether it has been commenced and supervised by a judicial body or an administrative body. Therefore, in order to obviate the need to refer to a foreign non-judicial authority whenever reference is made to a foreign court, the definition of "foreign court" in subparagraph (e) includes also non-judicial authorities."
- 3. In Re Sanko Steamship Co Ltd [2015] EWHC 1031 (Ch) Simon Barker QC, noted that a foreign proceeding may be recognised where the control or supervision of the proceeding is undertaken by a non-judicial administrative body.
- 4. The Guide to Enactment states: "74) The Model Law specifies neither the level of control or supervision required to satisfy this aspect of the definition nor the time at which that control or supervision should arise. Although it is intended that the control or supervision required under subparagraph (a) should be formal in nature, it may be potential rather than actual. As noted in paragraph 71, a proceeding in which the debtor retains some measure of control over its assets, albeit under court supervision, such as a debtor-in-possession would satisfy this requirement. Control or supervision may be exercised not only directly by the court but also by an insolvency representative where, for example, the insolvency representative is subject to control or supervision by the court. Mere supervision of an insolvency representative by a licensing authority would not be sufficient."
- 5. In this case the DGF has control of all of the Bank's assets and overall control of the liquidation.
- 6. The DGF's independence is addressed at articles 3(3) and 3(7) of the DGF Law which confirm that it is an economically independent institution with separate balance sheet and accounts from the NB and that neither public authorities nor the NB have any right to interfere in the exercise of its functions and powers.
- 7. Article 37 establishes that the DGF (or its authorised person, insofar as such powers are delegated) has extensive powers, including powers to exercise managerial and supervisory powers, to enter into contracts, to restrict or terminate the bank's transactions, and to file property and non-property claims with a court.

- 8. The assets and affairs of the Bank are subject to the control of the DGF, an official body which exercises its powers in the liquidation free from intervention by government or the NB and which should be considered, for the purposes of the definition set out in article 2(e) of the MLCBI, as a "foreign court".
- 4.1.2 whether the Applicants fall within the description of "foreign representatives" as defined by article 2(d) of the MLCBI [maximum 5 marks]. [3 out of 5]

Article 2(d) of the MLCBI defines "foreign representative" as:

a person or body, including one appointed on an interim basis, authorized in a foreign proceeding to administer the reorganization or the liquidation of the debtor's assets or affairs or to act as a representative of the foreign proceeding.

The Applicants include Ms G in her capacity as authorised officer of the DGF in respect of the liquidation of the Bank and the DGF.

Article 77 of the LBBA provides that the DGF automatically becomes liquidator of a bank on the date it receives confirmation of the NB's decision to revoke the bank's licence. It is noted that in Country A and pursuant to the DGF Laws, the DGF is the government body responsible for liquidation and reorganisation of banks such as the Bank, and the DGF has all the powers ordinary available to a liquidator.

Ms G's appointment was made by the Executive Board of the DGF, and is a leading bank liquidation professional. DGF delegated to Ms G pursuant to article 48(3) of the DGF Law all liquidation powers in respect of the Bank, subject to specific exclusions, which remain vested in the DGF, as the Bank's formally appointed liquidator.

Assuming that the Bank is not excluded pursuant to Article 1 of the MLCBI [which is stipulated in the facts], the Applicants fall within the description of "foreign representatives". It is important to note that Ms G has delegated powers, whilst the DGF has retained limited powers.

For full marks we are looking for a response along the following lines:

1. Article 16(1) of the MLCBI provides:

'If the decision or certificate referred to in paragraph 2 of article 15 indicates that the foreign proceeding is a proceeding within the meaning of sub-paragraph (i) of article 2 and that the foreign representative is a body or person within the meaning of sub-paragraph (j) of article 2, the court is entitled to so presume.'

- This application is brought jointly by the DGF and Ms G. The DGF's role as liquidator arises under statute and article 77 of the LBBA provides that the DGF is automatically appointed as liquidator on the day it receives the NB's decision pursuant to article 77 revoking a bank's licence and commencing its liquidation.
- 3. Article 48(3) of the DGF Law, empowers the DGF to delegate its powers to an "authorised officer" or "authorised person". The "Fund's authorised person" is defined by article 2(1)(17) of the DGF law as: "an employee of the Fund, who on behalf of the Fund and within the powers provided for by this Law and/or

- delegated by the Fund, performs actions to ensure the bank's withdrawal from the market during provisional administration of the insolvent bank and/or bank liquidation".
- 4. Article 35(1) of the DGF Law specifies that an authorised person, must have: "...high professional and moral qualities, impeccable business reputation, complete higher education in the field of economics, finance or law...and professional experience necessary." An authorised person may not be a creditor of the relevant bank, have a criminal record, have any obligations to the relevant bank, or have any conflict of interest with the bank. Once appointed, the authorised officer is accountable to the DGF for their actions and may exercise the powers delegated to them by the DGF in pursuance of the bank's liquidation.
- 5. Ms G's appointment was pursuant to a Decision of the Executive Board of the Directors of the DGF, No. 1513 ("Resolution 1513"). Resolution 1513 notes that Ms G is a "leading bank liquidation professional". It delegates to her all liquidation powers in respect of the Bank, set out in the DGF Law and in particular articles 37, 38, 47-52, 521 and 53 of the DGF Law, including the authority to sign all agreements related to the sale of the bank's assets in the manner prescribed by the DGF Law. Resolution 1513 expressly excludes from Ms G's authority the power to claim damages from a related party of the Bank, the power to make a claim against a non-banking financial institution that raised money as loans or deposits from individuals, and the power to arrange for the sale of the Bank's assets. Each of the excluded powers remains vested in the DGF as the Bank's formally appointed liquidator.
- 6. As a result of the sharing of some, but not all of the liquidator's powers and the division of responsibility between Ms G and the DGF, it seems likely that depending on the nature and timing of relief sought from this Court pursuant to the CBIR (if any), the appropriate applicant may, in the future, be either or both of Ms G and the DGF. I am satisfied that subject to the express limitations on Ms G's powers, they are both authorised to administer the liquidation and as such both meet the definition of "foreign representative". In my judgment they both had the necessary standing to apply in that capacity, for recognition of the Bank's liquidation.

While not all facts provided in the fact pattern given for this Question 4 are immediately relevant for your answer, please do use, where appropriate, those relevant facts that directly support your answer.

For the purpose of this question, you may further assume that the Bank is <u>not excluded</u> from the scope of the MLCBI by article 1(2) of the MLCBI.

