

SUMMATIVE (FORMAL) ASSESSMENT: MODULE 2A

THE UNCITRAL MODEL LAWS RELATING TO INSOLVENCY

This is the summative (formal) assessment for Module 2A of this course and is compulsory for all candidates who selected this module as one of their compulsory modules from Module 2. Please read instruction 6.1 on the next page very carefully.

If you selected this module as one of your elective modules, *please read instruction 6.2 on the next page very carefully.*

The mark awarded for this assessment will determine your final mark for Module 2A. In order to pass this module, you need to obtain a mark of 50% or more for this assessment.

Commented [SL1]: TOTAL = 36

72%

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETION AND SUBMISSION OF ASSESSMENT

Please read the following instructions very carefully before submitting / uploading your assessment on the Foundation Certificate web pages.

- 1. You must use this document for the answering of the assessment for this module. The answers to each question must be completed using this document with the answers populated under each question.
- 2. All assessments must be submitted electronically in MS Word format, using a standard A4 size page and a 11-point Arial font. This document has been set up with these parameters please do not change the document settings in any way. DO NOT submit your assessment in PDF format as it will be returned to you unmarked.
- 3. No limit has been set for the length of your answers to the questions. However, please be guided by the mark allocation for each question. More often than not, one fact / statement will earn one mark (unless it is obvious from the question that this is not the case).
- 4. You must save this document using the following format: [student ID.assessment2A]. An example would be something along the following lines: 202223-336.assessment2A. Please also include the filename as a footer to each page of the assessment (this has been pre-populated for you, merely replace the words "studentID" with the student number allocated to you). Do not include your name or any other identifying words in your file name. Assessments that do not comply with this instruction will be returned to candidates unmarked.
- 5. Before you will be allowed to upload / submit your assessment via the portal on the Foundation Certificate web pages, you will be required to confirm / certify that you are the person who completed the assessment and that the work submitted is your own, original work. Please see the part of the Course Handbook that deals with plagiarism and dishonesty in the submission of assessments. Please note that copying and pasting from the Guidance Text into your answer is prohibited and constitutes plagiarism. You must write the answers to the questions in your own words.
- 6.1 If you selected Module 2A as one of your compulsory modules (see the e-mail that was sent to you when your place on the course was confirmed), the final time and date for the submission of this assessment is 23:00 (11 pm) GMT on 1 March 2023. The assessment submission portal will close at 23:00 (11 pm) GMT on 1 March 2023. No submissions can be made after the portal has closed and no further uploading of documents will be allowed, no matter the circumstances.
- 6.2 If you selected Module 2A as one of your elective modules (see the e-mail that was sent to you when your place on the course was confirmed), you have a choice as to when you may submit this assessment. You may either submit the assessment by 23:00 (11 pm) GMT on 1 March 2023 or by 23:00 (11 pm) BST (GMT +1) on 31 July 2023. If you elect to submit by 1 March 2023, you may not

202223-574.assessment2A

submit the assessment again by 31 July 2023 (for example, in order to achieve a higher mark).

7. Prior to being populated with your answers, this assessment consists of 14 pages.

202223-574.assessment2A

ANSWER ALL THE QUESTIONS

Please note that all references to the "MLCBI" or "Model Law" in this assessment are references to the Model Law on Cross-Border Insolvency.

QUESTION 1 (multiple-choice questions) [10 marks in total]

Questions 1.1. - 1.10. are multiple-choice questions designed to assess your ability to think critically about the subject. Please read each question carefully before reading the answer options. Be aware that some questions may seem to have more than one right answer, but you are to look for the one that makes the most sense and is the most correct. When you have a clear idea of the question, find your answer and mark your selection on the answer sheet by highlighting the relevant paragraph in yellow. Select only ONE answer. Candidates who select more than one answer will receive no mark for that specific question.

Question 1.1

Which of the following statements does not reflect the purpose of the Model Law?

- (a) The purpose of the Model Law is to provide greater legal certainly for trade and investment.
- (b) The purpose of the Model Law is to provide protection and maximization of the value of the debtor's assets.
- (c) The purpose of the Model Law is to facilitate the rescue of a financially troubled business, by providing a substantive unification of insolvency law.
- (d) The purpose of the Model Law is to provide a fair and efficient administration of cross-border insolvencies that protects all creditors and the debtor

Question 1.2

Which of the following statements are reasons for the development of the Model Law?

- (a) The increased risk of fraud due to the interconnected world.
- (b) The difficulty of agreeing multilateral treaties dealing with insolvency law.
- (c) The practical problems caused by the disharmony among national laws governing cross-border insolvencies, despite the success of protocols in practice.

202223-574.assessment2A

Page 4

Commented [SL2]: SUBTOTAL = 9 MARKS

(d) All of the above.

Question 1.3

Which of the following challenges to a recognition application under the Model Law is most likely to be successful?

- (a) The registered office of the debtor is not in the jurisdiction where the foreign proceedings were opened, but the debtor has an establishment in the jurisdiction of the enacting State.
- (b) The registered office of the debtor is in the jurisdiction of the enacting State, but the debtor has an establishment in the jurisdiction where the foreign proceedings were opened.
- (c) The debtor has neither its COMI nor an establishment in the jurisdiction where the foreign proceedings were opened.
- (d) The debtor has neither its COMI nor an establishment in the jurisdiction of the enacting State.

Question 1.4

Which of the following rules or concepts set forth in the Model Law ensures that fundamental principles of law are upheld?

(a) The locus standi access rules.

(b) The public policy exception.

- (c) The safe conduct rule.
- (d) The "hotchpot" rule.

Question 1.5

For a debtor with its COMI in South Africa and an establishment in Argentina, foreign main proceedings are opened in South Africa and foreign non-main proceedings are opened in Argentina. Both the South African foreign representative and the Argentinian foreign representative have applied for recognition before the relevant court in the UK. Please note that South Africa has implemented the Model Law subject to the so-called principle of reciprocity (based on country designation), Argentina has not implemented the Model Law and the UK has implemented the Model Law without any so-called principle of reciprocity. In this scenario, which of the following statements is the most correct one?

202223-574.assessment2A

- (a) The foreign main proceedings in South Africa will not be recognised in the UK because the UK is not a designated country under South Africa's principle of reciprocity, but the foreign non-main proceedings in Argentina will be recognised in the UK despite Argentina not having implemented the Model Law.
- (b) Both the foreign main proceedings in South Africa and the foreign non-main proceedings in Argentina will not be recognised in the UK because the UK has no principle of reciprocity and Argentina has not implemented the Model Law.
- (c) Both the foreign main proceedings in South Africa and the foreign non-main proceedings in Argentina will be recognised in the UK.
- (d) None of the statements in (a), (b) or (c) are correct.

Question 1.6

Which of the following statements regarding concurrent proceedings under the Model Law <u>is true</u>?

- (a) No interim relief based on Article 19 of the Model Law is available if concurrent domestic insolvency proceedings and foreign proceedings exist at the time of the application of the foreign proceedings in the enacting State.
- (b) In the case of a foreign main proceeding, automatic relief under Article 20 of the Model Law applies if concurrent domestic insolvency proceedings and foreign proceedings exist at the time of the application of the foreign proceedings in the enacting State.
- (c) The commencement of domestic insolvency proceedings prevents or terminates the recognition of a foreign proceeding.
- (d) If only after recognition of the foreign proceedings concurrent domestic insolvency proceedings are opened, then any post-recognition relief granted based on Article 21 of the Model Law will not be either adjusted or terminated if consistent with the domestic insolvency proceedings.

Question 1.7

When using its discretionary power to grant post-recognition relief pursuant to Article 21 of the Model Law, what should the court in the enacting State primarily consider?

(a) The court must be satisfied that the interests of the creditors and other interested parties, excluding the debtor, are adequately protected.

(b) The court should consider whether the relief requested is necessary for the protection of the assets of the debtor or the interests of the creditors and strike an appropriate balance between the relief that may be granted and the persons that may be affected.	
(c) The court should be satisfied that the foreign proceeding is a main proceeding.	
(d) All of the above.	
Question 1.8	Commented [SL3]: Correct answer is (d).
Which of the statements below regarding the Centre of Main Interest (COMI) and the Model Law <u>is correct</u> ?	
(a) COMI is not a defined term in the Model Law.	
(b) For a corporate debtor, the Model Law does contain a rebuttable presumption that the debtor's registered office is its COMI.	
(c) For an individual debtor, the Model Law does contain a rebuttable presumption that the debtor's habitual residence is its COMI.	
(d) All of the above.	
Question 1.9	
An automatic stay of execution according to article 20 in the Model Law covers:	
(a) Court proceedings.	
(b) Arbitral Tribunals.	
(c) Both (a) and (b).	
(d) Neither (a) nor (b).	
Question 1.10	
Article 13 grants access to the creditors in a foreign proceeding. Which of the following statements correctly describes the protection granted in Article 13?	
(a) A foreign creditor has the same rights regarding the commencement of, and participation in, a proceeding as creditors in this State.	

(b) A foreign creditor has the same rights as it has in its home state.

202223-574.assessment2A

(c) All foreign creditors' claims are, as a minimum, considered to be unsecured claim	ns.
(d) Article 13 contains a uniform ranking system to avoid discrimination. QUESTION 2 (direct questions) [10 marks in total]	Commented [SL4]: SUBTOTAL = 9 MARKS
Question 2.1 [maximum 3 marks]	Commented [SL5]: 2 marks
Under the MLCBI, <u>explain and discuss</u> what the appropriate date is for determining t COMI of a debtor?	he Also, US courts (Morning Mist Holdings Ltd v. Krys (Matter of Fairfield Sentry Ltd)).
The appropriate date is the date of commencement of the foreign proceeding. However to courts will be live to manipulation of the debtor's COMI which must be readily identifiable third parties.	
Question 2.2 [maximum 3 marks]	Commented [SL6]: 3 marks
The following <u>three (3) statements</u> relate to particular provisions / concepts to be fou in the Model Law. Indicate the name of the provision / concept (as well as the releva Model Law article), addressed in each statement.	
in the Model Law. Indicate the name of the provision / concept (as well as the releval Model Law article), addressed in each statement. <u>Statement 1</u> <i>"This Article lays down the requirements of notification of creditors."</i> <u>Statement 2</u> <i>"This Article is referred to as the 'Safe Conduct Rule'".</i> <u>Statement 3</u> <i>"This Article contains a rebuttable presumption in respect of</i>	
in the Model Law. Indicate the name of the provision / concept (as well as the releva Model Law article), addressed in each statement. <u>Statement 1</u> <i>"This Article lays down the requirements of notification of creditors."</i> <u>Statement 2</u> <i>"This Article is referred to as the 'Safe Conduct Rule'".</i>	
in the Model Law. Indicate the name of the provision / concept (as well as the relevan Model Law article), addressed in each statement. <u>Statement 1</u> <i>"This Article lays down the requirements of notification of creditors."</i> <u>Statement 2</u> <i>"This Article is referred to as the 'Safe Conduct Rule'".</i> <u>Statement 3</u> <i>"This Article contains a rebuttable presumption in respect of</i>	an
 in the Model Law. Indicate the name of the provision / concept (as well as the releval Model Law article), addressed in each statement. <u>Statement 1</u> "This Article lays down the requirements of notification of creditors." <u>Statement 2</u> "This Article is referred to as the 'Safe Conduct Rule'". <u>Statement 3</u> "This Article contains a rebuttable presumption in respect of undefined key concept in the MLCBI." 1. Article 14 2. Article 10 3. Rebuttable presumption that the place of the registered office of the debtor is its pla of COMI; Article 16(3) 	an
 in the Model Law. Indicate the name of the provision / concept (as well as the releval Model Law article), addressed in each statement. <u>Statement 1</u> "This Article lays down the requirements of notification of creditors." <u>Statement 2</u> "This Article is referred to as the 'Safe Conduct Rule'". <u>Statement 3</u> "This Article contains a rebuttable presumption in respect of undefined key concept in the MLCBI." 1. Article 14 2. Article 10 3. Rebuttable presumption that the place of the registered office of the debtor is its plat of COMI; Article 16(3) Question 2.3 [2 marks] In the <i>IBA</i> case appeal, the English Court of Appeal upheld the decision that the conshould not exercise its power to grant the indefinite Moratorium Continuation. Please 	an ce Commented [SL7]: 2 marks
 in the Model Law. Indicate the name of the provision / concept (as well as the releval Model Law article), addressed in each statement. <u>Statement 1</u> "This Article lays down the requirements of notification of creditors." <u>Statement 2</u> "This Article is referred to as the 'Safe Conduct Rule'". <u>Statement 3</u> "This Article contains a rebuttable presumption in respect of undefined key concept in the MLCBI." 1. Article 14 2. Article 10 3. Rebuttable presumption that the place of the registered office of the debtor is its place 	an ce Commented [SL7]: 2 marks urt use of of of of of

The Court of Appeal was not convinced that (a) the indefinite stay was necessary to protect the interests of IBA's creditors and (b) that an indefinite stay was the appropriate way of achieving such protection. In addition, based on Article 18 of the Model Law, the Court of Appeal held that when the foreign proceeding comes to an end, there is no scope for further orders in support of the foreign proceeding and any relief previously granted comes to an end. The court held that had the Model Law ever contemplated the continuance of relief after the end of the relevant foreign proceeding, it would have addressed the question explicitly and provided for that purpose.

Question 2.4 [2 marks]

In terms of relief, what should the court in an enacting State, where a domestic proceeding has already been opened in respect of the debtor, do after recognition of a foreign main proceeding? In your answer you should mention the most relevant article of the MLCBI. What (ongoing) duty of information does the foreign representative in the foreign main proceeding have towards the court in the enacting State? Here too you are required to mention the most relevant article of the MLCBI.

Primacy is given to the domestic proceed under Article 29 of the Model Law. Check and review any relief granted under either article 19 or 21 and determine whether such relief requires amendment or modification if inconsistent with the domestic insolvency proceeding (since relief must be consistent with the domestic insolvency proceedings). Under Article 18 the foreign representative must promptly inform the court of the enacting State of any substantive change to the foreign main proceedings or the status of the representative and also if the representative becomes aware of any other foreign proceedings involving the same debtor. The foreign representative also has a general continuing duty of disclosure.

QUESTION 3 (essay-type questions) [15 marks in total]

A foreign representative of a foreign proceeding opened in State B in respect of a corporate debtor (the Debtor) is considering whether or not to make a recognition application under the implemented Model Law of State A (which does not contain any reciprocity provision). In addition, the foreign representative is also considering what (if any) relief may be appropriate to request from the court in State A.

Write a brief essay in which you address the three questions below.

Question 3.1 [maximum 4 marks] [0 out of 4 marks]

The foreign representative is considering his options to secure the value of the debtor's assets located in State A. With reference to the Model Law's provisions on access and co-operation, explain how these rights in State A can benefit the foreign representative.

The foreign representative has direct access to the courts in State A (Article 9 Model Law). If the evidential requirements for recognition set out in Article 15 are met then recognition will be granted pursuant to Article 17 of the Model Law. The benefit of pursuing recognition is the saving of time and cost in that the foreign representative does not need to open separate insolvency proceedings in State A. With recognition, the foreign representative can safeguard and pursue assets of the debtor estate in State A before its courts.

202223-574.assessment2A

Page 9

Commented [SL8]: 2 marks

Commented [SL9]: SUBTOTAL = 4.5 MARKS

Similar to access rights, the cooperation provisions in the Model Law (Articles 25-27) also operate independently of recognition and it is not a prerequisite to the use of the cooperation provisions that recognition of the foreign proceedings is obtained in advance. The courts in State A can freely cooperate with the foreign representative without having to consider whether the status in State B of the foreign representative may be recognised in State A.

Please see instruction 5 above (page 2). Your answer is a copy and paste from the guidance text. You will not be awarded any marks for this question

Question 3.2 [maximum 5 marks] [0 out of 5 marks]

For a recognition application in State A to be successful, the foreign proceeding opened in State B must qualify as a "foreign proceeding" within the meaning of article 2(a) of the MLCBI and the "foreign representative" must qualify as a foreign representative within the meaning of article 2(d) of the MLCBI. Assuming that both qualify as such, list and briefly explain (with reference to the relevant MLCBI articles) any other evidence, restrictions, exclusions and limitations that must be considered, as well as the judicial scrutiny that must be overcome for a recognition application to be successful.

Article 15 sets out the evidential requirements. An application for recognition must be accompanied by a certified copy of the decision commencing the foreign proceedings and appointing the foreign representative or a certificate from the foreign court confirming same [1]. An application for recognition is also required to contain a statement identifying all foreign proceedings in respect of the debtor that are known. As regards judicial scrutiny certain presumptions apply per Article 16 in particular the court is entitled to presume the veracity of the documentation provided to it. Recognition must be granted as a matter of course where the requirements of Article 15(2) are met. If the debtor has its COMI in State B then the foreign proceedings will qualify as non-main proceedings. [1]

With regard to exclusions, if the debtor is an entity that is subject to a special insolvency regime in State B, the foreign representative should first of all check if the foreign proceedings regarding that type of a debtor are excluded in State A based on Article 1(2) of the implemented Model Law in State A. With reference to Article 17 of the Model Law the court in State A will have to assess whether the COMI or at least an establishment of the debtor is located in State B where the foreign proceedings were opened. If the COMI of the debtor is in State B the foreign proceedings should be recognised as foreign main proceedings and if only an establishment of the debtor is in State B the foreign non-main proceedings. Without a COMI or at least an establishment of the debtor in State B, recognition cannot be granted by the court in State A.

This answer has been copied and paste from the model answer sheet.

Question 3.3 [maximum 5 marks] [4 out of 5 marks]

As far as relief is concerned, briefly explain (with reference to the relevant MLCBI articles) what pre- and post-recognition relief can be considered in the context of the MLCBI. Also address which restrictions, limitations or conditions should be considered

202223-574.assessment2A

in this context. For the purposes of this question, it can be assumed that there is no concurrence of proceedings.

Article 19 provides for urgent interim relief upon application for recognition. [1] The relief granted under Article 19 terminates upon recognition unless extended under Article 21. Article 20 provides for automatic mandatory relief where the proceedings have been recognised as foreign main proceedings. [1] Article 21 provides for discretionary post recognition relief which centres on the need to protect the interests of creditors or the assets of the debtor. In both instances, the court is to be satisfied that the interests of the creditors and the other interested persons, including the debtor, are adequately protected and any relief may be subject to conditions as the court considers appropriate [1]. The foreign representative can apply to have the assets of the debtor in the State distributed provided that the court is satisfied that the interests of creditors in State A.

For full marks your answer should have included a discussion on the existing international obligation of State A. Based on Article 3 of the Model Law, the court in State A should again verify that there are no existing international obligations of State A (under a treaty or otherwise) that may conflict with granting the requested relief under the implemented Model Law in State A.

Question 3.4 [maximum 1 mark] [1/2 mark out of 1]

Briefly explain - with reference to case law - why a worldwide freezing order granted as pre-recognition interim relief *ex* article 19 MLCBI, is unlikely to continue post-recognition *ex* article 21 MLCBI?

Pre-recognition relief tends to fall away following recognition. Following recognition the foreign representative will have control of the debtor's assets which should remove the need for the freezing order. Under Article 21(1) the representative can be entrusted with the debtor's assets. [1/2]

For full marks make reference to the case law.

A recent English case between *Igor Vitalievich Protasov and Khadzhi-Murat Derev* [Order of 24 February 2021 by Mr Justice Adam Johnson, [2021] EWHC 392 (CH)] exactly addressed the question.

QUESTION 4 (fact-based application-type question) [15 marks in total]

Read the following facts very carefully before answering the questions that follow.

(1) Background

The Commercial Bank for Business Corporation (the Bank) has operated since 1991. The Bank's registered office is situated in Country A, which <u>has not</u> adopted the MLCBI. As of 13 August 2015, the Bank's majority ultimate beneficial owner was Mr Z, who held approximately 95% of the Bank's shares through various corporate entities (including some registered in England).

202223-574.assessment2A

The Bank entered provisional administration on 17 September 2015 and liquidation on 17 December 2015. Investigations into the Bank have revealed that it appears to have been potentially involved in a multi-million dollar fraud resulting in monies being sent to many overseas companies, including entities incorporated and registered in England.

Proceedings were commenced in the High Court of England and Wales (Chancery Division) against various defendants on 11 February 2021 (the English Proceedings).

An affidavit (the Affidavit) sets out a detailed summary of the legislation of Country A's specific insolvency procedure for Banks. The procedure involves initial input from the National Bank (the NB) and at the time that the Bank entered liquidation, followed by a number of stages:

Classification of the bank as troubled

The NB may classify a bank as "troubled" if it meets at least one of the criteria set down by article 75 of the Law of Country A on Banks and Banking Activity (LBBA) or for any of the reasons specified in its regulations.

Once declared "troubled", the relevant bank has 180 days within which to bring its activities in line with the NB's requirements. At the end of that period, the NB must either recognise the Bank as compliant, or must classify it as insolvent.

Classification of the bank as insolvent

The NB is obliged to classify a bank as insolvent if it meets the criteria set out in article 76 of the LBBA, which includes:

- (i) the bank's regulatory capital amount or standard capital ratios have reduced to one-third of the minimum level specified by law;
- (ii) within five consecutive working days, the bank has failed to meet 2% or more of its obligations to depositors or creditors; and
- (iii) the bank, having been declared as troubled, then fails to comply with an order or decision of the NB and / or a request by the NB to remedy violations of the banking law.

The NB has the ability to classify a bank as insolvent without necessarily needing to first go through the troubled stage. Article 77 of the LBBA accordingly provides that a bank can be liquidated by the NB directly, revoking its licence.

Provisional administration

The Deposit Guarantee Fund (DGF) is a governmental body of Country A tasked principally with providing deposit insurance to bank depositors in Country A. However, the Affidavit explained that the DGF is also responsible for the process of withdrawing insolvent banks from the market and winding down their operations via liquidation. Its powers include those related to early detection and intervention, and the power to act in a bank's interim or provisional administration and its ultimate liquidation.

Pursuant to article 34 of the DGF Law, once a bank has been classified as insolvent, the DGF will begin the process of removing it from the market. This is often achieved with an initial period of provisional administration. During this period:

- (i) the DGF (acting via an authorised officer) begins the process of directly administering the bank's affairs. Articles 35(5) and 36(1) of the DGF Law provide that during provisional administration, the DGF shall have full and exclusive rights to manage the bank and all powers of the bank's management.
- (ii) Article 36(5) establishes a moratorium which prevents, *inter alia*: the claims of depositors or creditors being satisfied; execution or enforcement against the bank's assets; encumbrances and restrictions being created over the bank's property; and interest being charged.

Liquidation

Liquidation follows provisional administration. The DGF is obliged to commence liquidation proceedings against a bank on or before the next working day after the NB's decision to revoke the bank's licence.

Article 77 of the LBBA provides that the DGF automatically becomes liquidator of a bank on the date it receives confirmation of the NB's decision to revoke the bank's licence. At that point, the DGF acquires the full powers of a liquidator under the law of Country A.

When the bank enters liquidation, all powers of the bank's management and control bodies are terminated (as are the provisional administrators' powers if the bank is first in provisional administration); all banking activities are terminated; all money liabilities due to the bank are deemed to become due; and, among other things, the DGF alienates the bank's property and funds. Public encumbrances and restrictions on disposal of bank property are terminated and offsetting of counter-claims is prohibited.

202223-574.assessment2A

As liquidator, the DGF has extensive powers, including the power to investigate the bank's history and bring claims against parties believed to have caused its downfall. Those powers include:

- (i) the power to exercise management powers and take over management of the property (including the money) of the bank;
- (ii) the power to compile a register of creditor claims and to seek to satisfy those claims;
- (iii)the power to take steps to find, identify and recover property belonging to the bank;
- (iv) the power to dismiss employees and withdraw from/terminate contracts;
- (v) the power to dispose of the bank's assets; and
- (vi)the power to exercise "such other powers as are necessary to complete the liquidation of a bank".

The DGF also has powers of sale, distribution and the power to bring claims for compensation against persons for harm inflicted on the insolvent bank.

However, article 48(3) of the DGF Law empowers the DGF to delegate its powers to an "authorised officer" or "authorised person". The "Fund's authorised person" is defined by article 2(1)(17) of the DGF Law as: "an employee of the Fund, who on behalf of the Fund and within the powers provided for by this Law and / or delegated by the Fund, performs actions to ensure the bank's withdrawal from the market during provisional administration of the insolvent bank and/or bank liquidation".

Article 35(1) of the DGF Law specifies that an authorised person, must have: "...high professional and moral qualities, impeccable business reputation, complete higher education in the field of economics, finance or law...and professional experience necessary." An authorised person may not be a creditor of the relevant bank, have a criminal record, have any obligations to the relevant bank, or have any conflict of interest with the bank. Once appointed, the authorised officer is accountable to the DGF for their actions and may exercise the powers delegated to them by the DGF in pursuance of the bank's liquidation.

The DGF's independence is addressed at articles 3(3) and 3(7) of the DGF Law which confirm that it is an economically independent institution with separate balance sheet and accounts from the NB and that neither public authorities nor the NB have any right to interfere in the exercise of its functions and powers.

Article 37 establishes that the DGF (or its authorised person, insofar as such powers are delegated) has extensive powers, including powers to exercise managerial and supervisory powers, to enter into contracts, to restrict or terminate the bank's transactions, and to file property and non-property claims with a court.

(2) The Bank's liquidation

The Bank was formally classified by the NB as "troubled" on 19 January 2015. The translated NB resolution records:

"The statistical reports-based analysis of the Bank's compliance with the banking law requirements has found that the Bank has been engaged in risky operations."

Those operations included:

- (i) a breach, for eight consecutive reporting periods, of the NB's minimum capital requirements;
- (ii) 10 months of loss-making activities;

(iii) a reduction in its holding of highly liquid assets;

- (iv) a critically low balance of funds held with the NB; and
- (v) 48% of the Bank's liabilities being dependent on individuals and a significant increase in "adversely classified assets" which are understood to be loans, whose full repayment has become questionable.

Despite initially appearing to improve, by September 2015 the Bank's financial position had deteriorated further with increased losses, a further reduction in regulatory capital and numerous complaints to the NB. On 17 September 2015, the NB classified the Bank as insolvent pursuant to article 76 of the LBBA. On the same day, the DGF passed a resolution commencing the process of withdrawing the Bank from the market and appointing Ms C as interim administrator.

Three months later, on 17 December 2015, the NB formally revoked the Bank's banking licence and resolved that it be liquidated. The following day, the DGF initiated the liquidation procedure and appointed Ms C as the first of the DGF's authorised persons to whom powers of the liquidator were delegated. Ms C was replaced as authorised officer with effect from 17 August 2020 by Ms G.

Ms G's appointment was pursuant to a Decision of the Executive Board of the Directors of the DGF, No 1513 (Resolution 1513). Resolution 1513 notes that Ms G is a "leading bank liquidation professional". It delegates to her all liquidation powers in respect of the Bank set out in the DGF Law and in particular articles 37, 38, 47-52, 521 and 53 of

202223-574.assessment2A

the DGF Law, including the authority to sign all agreements related to the sale of the bank's assets in the manner prescribed by the DGF Law. Resolution 1513 expressly excludes from Ms G's authority the power to claim damages from a related party of the Bank, the power to make a claim against a non-banking financial institution that raised money as loans or deposits from individuals, and the power to arrange for the sale of the Bank's assets. Each of the excluded powers remains vested in the DGF as the Bank's formally appointed liquidator.

On 14 December 2020, the Bank's liquidation was extended to an indefinite date, described as arising when circumstances rendered the sale of the Bank's assets and satisfaction of creditor's claims, no longer possible.

On 7 September 2020, the DGF resolved to approve an amended list of creditors' claims totalling approximately USD 1.113 billion. The Affidavit states that the Bank's current, estimated deficiency exceeds USD 823 million.

QUESTION 4.1 [maximum 15 marks] [13.5 out of 15]

Prior to any determination made in the English Proceedings, Ms G, in her capacity as authorised officer of the Deposit Guarantee Fund (or DGF) of Country A in respect of the liquidation of the Commercial Bank for Business Corporation (the Bank), together with the DGF (the Applicants), applied for recognition of the liquidation of the Bank before the English court based on the Cross-Border Insolvency Regulations 2006 (CBIR), the English adopted version of the MLCBI.

Assuming you are the judge in the English court considering this recognition application, you are required to discuss:

- 4.1.1 whether the Bank's liquidation comprises a "foreign proceeding" within the meaning of article 2(a) of the MLCBI [maximum 10 marks]; and [9 out of 10]
- 4.1.2 whether the Applicants fall within the description of "foreign representatives" as defined by article 2(d) of the MLCBI [maximum 5 marks]. [4.5 out of 5]

While not all facts provided in the fact pattern given for this Question 4 are immediately relevant for your answer, please do use, where appropriate, those relevant facts that directly support your answer.

For the purpose of this question, you may further assume that the Bank is <u>not excluded</u> from the scope of the MLCBI by article 1(2) of the MLCBI.

4.1.1

For the purpose of answering the questions raised, it is worthwhile at the outset to consider the relevant definitions in Article 2(a) and 2(d) of the Model Law:

202223-574.assessment2A

(a) "Foreign proceeding" means a collective judicial or administrative proceeding in a foreign State, including an interim proceeding, pursuant to a law relating to insolvency in which proceeding the assets and affairs of the debtor are subject to control or supervision by a foreign court, for the purpose of reorganization or liquidation;

• • •

(d) "Foreign representative" means a person or body, including one appointed on an interim basis, authorized in a foreign proceeding to administer the reorganization or the liquidation of the debtor's assets or affairs or to act as a representative of the foreign proceeding;

The judge will have regard to existing English case law and the Guide to Enactment and Interpretation. Taking Article 2(a) and breaking it down into its constituent parts:

- A collective judicial or administrative proceeding in a foreign State including an interim proceeding
- pursuant to a law relating to insolvency
- in which proceeding the assets and affairs of the debtor are subject to control or supervision by a foreign court
- for the purpose of reorganization or liquidation

Taking each bullet point in turn:

A collective judicial or administrative proceeding in a foreign State

The proceedings are collective in that the clear intention is to deal with all of the Bank's assets and liabilities in an orderly manner.

Paragraph 70 of the Guide to Enactment and Interpretation states:

In evaluating whether a given proceeding is collective for the purpose of the Model Law, a key consideration is whether substantially all of the assets and liabilities of the debtor are dealt with in the proceeding, subject to local priorities and statutory exceptions, and to local exclusions relating to the rights of secured creditors. A proceeding should not be considered to fail the test of collectivity purely because a class of creditors' rights is unaffected by it.

Based on the facts provided the understanding is that all of the Bank's creditors are entitled to claim in the liquidation and that their claims will met from available assets. As such, the conclusion can be reached that the bank's liquidation in country A is a "collective proceeding".

Please also address the "judicial or administrative" element separately. ... pursuant to a law relating to insolvency

Paragraph 48 of the Guide to Enactment provides:

Acknowledging that different jurisdictions might have different notions of what falls within the term "insolvency proceedings", the Model Law does not define the term "insolvency". However, as used in the Model Law, the word "insolvency" refers to various types of collective proceedings commenced with respect to debtors that are in severe financial distress or insolvent.

Further guidance is provided at paragraph 73:

This formulation is used in the Model Law to acknowledge the fact that liquidation and reorganization might be conducted under law that is not labelled as insolvency law (e.g. company law), but which nevertheless deals with or addresses insolvency or severe financial distress. The purpose was to find

202223-574.assessment2A

a description that was sufficiently broad to encompass a range of insolvency rules irrespective of the type of statute or law in which they might be contained and irrespective of whether the law that contained the rules related exclusively to insolvency.

Clearly it may be seen in this case that Article 76 of the LBBA sets out country A's specific insolvency procedures for insolvent banks. The bank's liquidation was commenced pursuant to those provisions and it is consequently the case that the court here should be satisfied that liquidation is pursuant to a law relating to insolvency. I don't believe there can be any doubt on this limb of the Article 2(a) based on the facts as advanced.

...in which proceeding the assets and affairs of the debtor are subject to control or supervision by a foreign court

With respect to "control", paragraph 74 of the Guide to Enactment and Interpretation assists:

The Model Law specifies neither the level of control or supervision required to satisfy this aspect of the definition nor the time at which that control or supervision should arise. Although it is intended that the control or supervision required under subparagraph (a) should be formal in nature, it may be potential rather than actual. As noted in paragraph 71, a proceeding in which the debtor retains some measure of control over its assets, albeit under court supervision, such as a debtor-in-possession would satisfy this requirement. Control or supervision may be exercised not only directly by the court but also by an insolvency representative where, for example, the insolvency representative is subject to control or supervision by the court. Mere supervision of an insolvency representative by a licensing authority would not be sufficient.

Paragraph 84 states:

A foreign proceeding that meets the requisites of article 2, subparagraph (a), should receive the same treatment irrespective of whether it has been commenced and supervised by a judicial body or an administrative body. Therefore, in order to obviate the need to refer to a foreign non-judicial authority whenever reference is made to a foreign court, the definition of "foreign court" in subparagraph (e) includes also non-judicial authorities.

The proceedings in country A as initiated by the DGF are administrative in nature. When the bank entered liquidation, all powers of the bank's management and control bodies were terminated and the DGF took control of all of the bank's assets and overall control of the liquidation. The DGF supervises Ms G and ultimately supervises the conduct of the liquidation by exercising its control over the liquidation. As such in my opinion the DGF would come under the scope of and be considered *subject to control or supervision by a foreign court*.

... for the purpose of reorganization or liquidation.

The judge will be assisted by the *Agrokor* decision in determining the question of *for the purpose of reorganization or liquidation*. Clearly here as in Agrokor the LBBA's purpose is the stability of the financial system in country A and the procedure is within the scope of this limb of Article 2(a).

[Well reasoned response with adequate details and guidance].

4.1.2

Article 2 (d) of the Model Law is set out above.

202223-574.assessment2A

Article 48(3) of the DGF Law empowers the DGF to delegate its powers to an "authorised officer" or "authorised person". The "Fund's authorised person" is defined by article 2(1)(17) of the DGF Law as: "an employee of the Fund, who on behalf of the Fund and within the powers provided for by this Law and / or delegated by the Fund, performs actions to ensure the bank's withdrawal from the market during provisional administration of the insolvent bank and/or bank liquidation".

Article 35(1) of the DGF Law specifies that an authorised person, must have: "...high professional and moral qualities, impeccable business reputation, complete higher education in the field of economics, finance or law...and professional experience necessary." An authorised person may not be a creditor of the relevant bank, have a criminal record, have any obligations to the relevant bank, or have any conflict of interest with the bank. Once appointed, the authorised officer is accountable to the DGF for their actions and may exercise the powers delegated to them by the DGF in pursuance of the bank's liquidation.

It is noted that Ms G's appointment was pursuant to a Decision of the Executive Board of the Directors of the DGF, No. 1513 ("Resolution 1513"). Resolution 1513 notes that Ms G is a "leading bank liquidation professional". It delegates to her all liquidation powers in respect of the Bank, set out in the DGF Law.

The Affidavit evidence is such that the Applicants may be classified as foreign representatives. Article 77 of the LBBA provides that the DGF is to be liquidator while Article 48 provides the power to delegate to Ms G as an authorised person. The DGF appointed MS G pursuant to resolution 1513. The DGF has been appointed under the laws of Country A to administer the liquidation of the Bank's assets and represent in foreign proceedings, which the application to the English court in this instance is. In my judgment both the DGF and Ms G will be recognised as *foreign representatives*.

For full marks your response should also have addressed the following:

1. Article 16(1) of the MLCBI provides:

'If the decision or certificate referred to in paragraph 2 of article 15 indicates that the foreign proceeding is a proceeding within the meaning of sub-paragraph (i) of article 2 and that the foreign representative is a body or person within the meaning of sub-paragraph (j) of article 2, the court is entitled to so presume.'