



FORMATIVE ASSESSMENT: MODULE 1

INTRODUCTION TO INTERNATIONAL INSOLVENCY LAW

This is a **formative assessment** relating to **Module 1** and is designed to provide candidates on the Foundation Certificate course with some direction and guidance as to the form and content of assessments on the course as a whole. The submission of this assessment is **not compulsory** and the mark awarded will not count towards the final mark for Module 1 or the course as a whole. However, students are encouraged to submit this assessment as part of their orientation for the submission of the formal (summative) assessments for all the modules on the course.

The Marking Guide for this assessment will be made available on the web pages for Module 1 as well as the Course Administration page for this course after the submission date of 15 October 2022.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETION AND SUBMISSION OF ASSESSMENT

Please read the following instructions very carefully before submitting / uploading your assessment on the Foundation Certificate web pages.

1. You must use this document for the answering of the assessment for this module. The answers to each question must be completed using this document with the answers populated under each question.
2. All assessments must be submitted electronically in MS Word format, using a standard A4 size page and a 11-point Arial font. This document has been set up with these parameters - **please do not change the document settings in any way. DO NOT** submit your assessment in PDF format as it will be returned to you unmarked.
3. No limit has been set for the length of your answers to the questions. However, please be guided by the mark allocation for each question. More often than not, one fact / statement will earn one mark (unless it is obvious from the question that this is not the case).
4. You must save this document using the following format: **[studentID.assessment1formative]**. An example would be something along the following lines: 202223-336.assessment1formative. **Please also include the filename as a footer to each page of the assessment** (this has been pre-populated for you, merely replace the words "studentID" with the student number allocated to you). Do not include your name or any other identifying words in your file name. **Assessments that do not comply with this instruction will be returned to candidates unmarked.**
5. Before you will be allowed to upload / submit your assessment via the portal on the Foundation Certificate web pages, you will be required to confirm / certify that you are the person who completed the assessment and that the work submitted is your own, original work. Please see the part of the Course Handbook that deals with plagiarism and dishonesty in the submission of assessments. **Please note that copying and pasting from the Guidance Text into your answer is prohibited and constitutes plagiarism. You must write the answers to the questions in your own words.**
6. The final submission date for this assessment is **15 October 2022**. The assessment submission portal will close at **23:00 (11 pm) BST (GMT +1) on 15 October 2022**. No submissions can be made after the portal has closed and no further uploading of documents will be allowed, no matter the circumstances.
7. Prior to being populated with your answers, this assessment consists of **10 pages**.

ANSWER ALL THE QUESTIONS

QUESTION 1 (multiple-choice questions) [10 marks in total]

Questions 1.1. – 1.10. are multiple-choice questions designed to assess your ability to think critically about the subject. Please read each question carefully before reading the answer options. Be aware that some questions may seem to have more than one right answer, but you are to look for the one that makes the most sense and is the most correct. When you have a clear idea of the question, find your answer and **mark your selection on the answer sheet by highlighting the relevant paragraph in yellow**. Select only **ONE** answer. Candidates who select more than one answer will receive no mark for that specific question.

Question 1.1

It should be relatively easy to develop a single system to deal with cross-border insolvency since all jurisdictions have more or less the same local insolvency law rules.

- (a) This statement is true since all countries have implemented the UNCITRAL Model Law on Cross-Border Insolvency.
- (b) This statement is untrue since there are huge differences in both the approach and insolvency legislation of various jurisdictions.**
- (c) This statement is true since all systems have at least the same general insolvency concepts.
- (d) The statement is true since the historical roots of all insolvency systems are the same.

Question 1.2

The Statute of Ann, 1705 was a very important piece of legislation for the development of English insolvency law.

- (a) This statement is true since this Act introduced imprisonment of debt.
- (b) This statement is untrue because it dealt with the distributions of the proceeds derived from the proceeds of selling the assets of the estate.
- (c) This statement is true since it introduced the notion of discharge.**
- (d) This statement is true since it introduced fraudulent conveyances into English law.

Question 1.3

The purpose of the UNCITRAL Legislative Guide (2004) has direct application in all the member States of the UN.

- (a) This statement is true because UNCITRAL's model legislative guidelines apply automatically to all member States.
- (b) This statement is true because all member States supported its automatic implementation in their respective jurisdictions.
- (c) This statement is untrue because the Legislative Guide serves merely as soft law and contains best practice to be considered when countries revise their own insolvency legislation.
- (d) This statement is untrue since the Legislative Guide is only available for use by developing countries when reforming their own insolvency laws.

Question 1.4

Modern rescue proceedings have replaced liquidation as an insolvency procedure in most systems.

- (a) This statement is true since business rescue is important for socio-economic reasons.
- (b) This statement is true because liquidation is viewed as a medieval and outdated process.
- (c) This statement is untrue since there is still a need for both liquidation and rescue procedures in insolvency systems.
- (d) This statement is untrue since some systems have no formal rescue procedure.

Question 1.5

The principles and requirements for avoidable dispositions and executory contracts are the same in all jurisdictions - hence these do not pose problems in a cross-border insolvency matter.

- (a) The statement is untrue, the requirements and principles do differ and pose problems in a cross-border case.
- (b) This statement is untrue because the insolvency laws of the State where the original insolvency order is issued will apply to all the other States involved in the matter.

- (c) This statement is untrue since avoidable dispositions and executory contracts do not pose any problems in a cross-border case.
- (d) The statement is untrue since avoidable dispositions and executory contracts may be disregarded in a cross-border case.

Question 1.6

The domestic corporate insolvency statute of a country makes no mention of the possibility of a foreign element in a liquidation commenced locally. The country has ratified a regional treaty on insolvency proceedings that contain provisions on concurrent insolvency proceedings over the same debtor in a neighbouring treaty state.

In a local liquidation commenced under the domestic corporate insolvency statute, to what law can the local court refer in order to resolve an international law issue that has arisen because of concurrent insolvency proceedings in the neighbouring state?

- (a) Public International Law.
- (b) UNCITRAL Legislative Guide on Insolvency Law.
- (c) World Bank Principles for Effective Insolvency and Creditor Rights Systems.
- (d) Private International Law.

Question 1.7

Which one of the following documents mandates co-operation or communication between courts in concurrent insolvency proceedings on the same debtor, which are being conducted in different nation states?

- (a) ALI / III Global Guidelines Applicable to Court-to-Court Communication in Cross-Border Cases (2012).
- (b) EU Cross-Border Insolvency Court-to-Court Communications Guidelines (2014).
- (c) UNCITRAL Model Law on Cross-border Insolvency (1997).
- (d) JIN Guidelines for Communication and Cooperation between Courts in Cross-Border Insolvency Matters (2016).

Question 1.8

Latin and Middle America states have ratified various multilateral conventions and treaties that address international insolvency issues. While they promote unity of proceedings in the treaty states where a debtor has a single commercial domicile, they acknowledge the possibility of concurrent proceedings.

Which of the following conventions and treaties does **not** provide for judicial co-operation where there are surplus funds remaining in a proceeding in one treaty state and there are concurrent insolvency proceedings over the same debtor in another treaty state?

- (a) Montevideo Treaty on International Commercial Law (1889).
- (b) Montevideo Treaty on International Commercial Terrestrial Law (1940).
- (c) Montevideo Treaty on International Procedural Law (1940).
- (d) Havana Convention on Private International Law (1928).

Please select only one multiple-choice answer.

Question 1.9

The Council Regulation on Insolvency Proceedings (European Insolvency Regulation) (2000), which applies in all European Union member states except Denmark, was reviewed after a decade's operation. An amended European Insolvency Regulation (EIR) Recast (2015) was adopted in 2015 and took effect in June 2017.

Which of the following aspects of international insolvency is **not** addressed in the EIR Recast?

- (a) Proceedings to restructure a debtor that is facing the likelihood of insolvency.
- (b) Definition of "centre of the debtor's main interests".
- (c) A centralised insolvency register of insolvency proceedings opened in member states.
- (d) Co-operation and co-ordination provisions applicable to corporate groups.

Question 1.10

An unsecured Creditor is owed monies by the Debtor for services it supplied locally. It has issued proceedings to recover the debt in the local Court. The Debtor has moved its registration and head office to the local country from its original place of incorporation in a foreign country. The Creditor is incorporated and has its head office in that foreign country. The contract to supply, which was created by exchange of emails sent between the head offices, denominates the debt in the currency of the foreign country. The Debtor is being wound-up in the foreign country and the foreign liquidator seeks recognition and a stay in the local Court proceedings. What aspect is an international insolvency issue?

- (a) The local Court's jurisdiction over the Debtor.
- (b) The standing of the foreign Creditor to sue for its debt in the local Court.
- (c) **The foreign liquidator's standing to request a stay of the local proceedings.**
- (d) The fact that the debt owed to the Creditor is in a foreign currency.

Marks awarded: 6 out of 10

QUESTION 2 (direct questions) [10 marks]

Question 2.1 [maximum 2 marks]

Explain what the term "international insolvency law" means.

[According to Wessels as quoted on page 34 in Module 1 defined the term "international insolvency law as follows; "that part of the law that is commonly described in international literature as a body of rules concerning certain insolvency proceedings or measures, which cannot be fully enforced, because the applicable law cannot be executed immediately and exclusively without consideration being given to the international aspect of a given case."]

This is an authoritative quote. The answer would be improved if it also included information in your own words to indicate your personal understanding of the explanation also.

1.5

Question 2.2 [maximum 5 marks]

Differentiate between the concepts of universality and territoriality in cross-border insolvency.

[The concept of universality in cross boarder insolvency entails having a single insolvency proceeding which covers the Debtor's assets and liabilities worldwide or vesting insolvency jurisdiction in one forum. On the other hand, the fulcrum of the concept

of territoriality is that insolvency proceedings may be instituted in every jurisdiction where the Debtor's assets and liabilities are. In a nutshell, the concept of universality in cross border insolvency is premised on having a single insolvency proceedings to cover all insolvency proceedings globally whereas, the concept of territoriality is premised on multiple insolvency proceedings in every jurisdiction where the Debtor's assets and liabilities are or maybe.]

There is scope to elaborate. These theories involve two key aspects of private international law - recognition and effect as well as jurisdiction:

For example, with universalism, (1) the jurisdictional aspect requires all States to agree on the place for the one set of insolvency proceedings in respect of the debtor and, to be successful, (2) recognition and effect requires that other States recognise that one set insolvency proceedings and recognise it as having extraterritorial effect in their States.

3.5

Question 2.3 [maximum 3 marks]

Describe **three** recent examples of developments in the Middle East region to reform domestic insolvency laws or to address international insolvency Issues.

[The Middle East Region has not lagged behind in the area of insolvency laws or the need to address international insolvency issues for example the United Arab Emirates in 2016 and 2018 respectively enacted its Federal Law by Decree No (9) of 2016 on Bankruptcy and Federal Decree Law (19) of 2019 on insolvency. Additionally, the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia in 2018 approved a landmark law on Bankruptcy whereas Dubai in 2019 enacted its new insolvency legislation]

There is scope for more detail

2.5

Marks awarded: 7.5 out of 10

QUESTION 3 (essay-type questions) [15 marks in total]

Question 3.1 [maximum 5 marks]

Write a brief note on the differences regarding the objectives of insolvency for individuals and corporations.

[Individuals at law are regarded as natural persons whereas corporations are regarded as juristic persons as such the objectives of the insolvency for natural persons is different from those of a juristic person. The learned Authors, Sealy and Hooley in the Book entitled "In M A Clarke et al, Commercial Law (Oxford university Press, 2017), Chapter 28 have aptly stated that the objectives of the insolvency for individuals is to protect the debtor from harassment by his creditors, by enabling the Debtor to start afresh especially in less blameworthy cases and also to reduce indebtedness by making contributions from present and future income to the estate while at the same time taking the individual's personal circumstances into consideration. Whereas the objectives of insolvency in corporations are to preserve where necessary the business or viable parts of the business not necessarily the company and where personal liability has been abused to impose personal liability on responsible persons.]

This answer displays a satisfactory understanding of the issues. To improve your responses, ensure they are commensurate with the mark allocation – while Q 3.1 asks for a brief note, it is for 5 marks.

4

Question 3.2 [maximum 5 marks]

Write a brief note on the difficulties that may be encountered when dealing with insolvency law in a cross-border context relating to pertinent differences in the relevant systems.

[It is trite that though the World is a global village, each country is considered to be sovereign and the concept of sovereignty of a nation entails that National Laws will vary from country to country and the insolvency law is not an exception. In view of the preceding, the difficulties that maybe encountered when dealing with insolvency law in cross border context is understanding whether the legal system is pro creditor or pre debtor. Difficulties may also arise in terms of applicable legislations in view of non-existence of a global insolvency legal systems. The differences in domestic norms form the situation in conflict of laws.]

Further detail would be beneficial. For example, consideration of Westbrook's 9 key issues.

3

Question 3.3 [maximum 5 marks]

What multilateral steps have been taken in the 21st century to promote harmonisation of domestic insolvency laws? In your opinion, how much impact are these likely to have in addressing international insolvency issues? Include reasons for your opinion.

[The 21st century has seen steps aimed at harmonisation of domestic insolvency laws being taken. For example, in 2004 UNCITRAL promulgated a Legislative Guide on insolvency law which is intended to act as reference by national authorities and legislative bodies when enacting laws and regulations or reviewing the adequacy of existing laws and regulations. In the early 2000, the World Bank equally produced guidelines on the regulation of insolvency entitled "Principles for Effective insolvency and Creditor/Debtor Regimes. The aforesaid principles underwent revisions in 2005, 2011 and 2015. In April 2021 there was a further revision of the principles. It is my considered opinion that the steps taken are likely to have a huge impact in addressing international insolvency issues on the ground that national or domestic legislation will be influenced by the international insolvency best practices thereby addressing most of the international insolvency issues at national level and gradually conforming to the global insolvency standards.]

There is scope to consider political pressure, foreign investor pressure and/or loan conditions.

4

Marks awarded 11 out of 15

QUESTION 4 (fact-based application-type question) [15 marks in total]

Nadir Pty Ltd (Nadir) is a company registered in Utopia. Originally it was incorporated in the neighbouring country of Erewhon before moving its registration and head office to Utopia one month ago. Apex Pty Ltd (Apex) is incorporated and has its head office in Erewhon. Apex and Nadir enter into a contract by exchange of emails between their head offices for Apex to supply goods to Nadir in Utopia. Nadir has failed to pay for the goods which have been delivered in accordance with the contract. Apex issues court proceedings against Nadir in Utopia for monies owing for the goods sold and delivered.

Meanwhile, Nadir also owes monies to creditors in Erewhon. One Erewhon creditor obtains a court winding-up order against Nadir in Erewhon and a liquidator is also appointed by that court.

If you require additional information to answer the questions that follow, briefly state what information it is you require and why it is relevant.

Question 4.1 [maximum 5 marks]

Assume the UNCITRAL Model Law on Cross-border Insolvency has been adopted by Utopia without modification, except as required to domesticate it. For example, the Cross-border Insolvency Act of Utopia names its local laws relating to insolvency and its competent court under the Act. The Erewhon liquidator's investigations detect that Apex

is suing Nadir in Utopia. The liquidator would like to stop Apex court action against Nadir in Utopia. Advise the Erewhon liquidator on the potential relevance of the Cross-border Insolvency Act of Utopia.

[The Cross Boarder Insolvency Act of Utopia would be very relevant as the primary piece of legislation on Insolvency in Utopia since the UNCITRAL Model Law on Cross Boarder Insolvency is only meant to fit and operate as an integral part of the existing insolvency law in a particular state]

The MLCBI is significant for its provisions on recognition and relief in 4.1. Detailed application of the articles relevant for the recognition and relief, including on COMI/establishment and on foreign main / non-main proceedings) was required for this fact-based application-type question.

1

Question 4.2 [maximum 2 marks]

Would it make any difference to your answer in question 4.1 in the following two alternative scenarios to Apex suing for its debt?

- (a) Apex had filed proceedings to wind-up Nadir, but the matter had not yet been heard.
- (b) Apex had obtained a court order to wind-up Nadir in Utopia prior to the Erewhon winding-up order.

[It would not make any difference on the ground that the company is deemed to be under liquidation immediately the petition for winding up is presented therefore, the fact that the matter has not yet been heard does not change the fact that the company is under liquidation.]

Apply the MLCBI provisions on concurrent insolvency proceedings (see Article 29)

.5

Question 4.3 [maximum 8 marks]

NB: This question is not related to Questions 4.1 and 4.2

A court has ordered the commencement of an insolvency proceeding against a corporate debtor in the State of its incorporation and head office. The company has operated business in a number of States and has assets (real property or interest in land, other tangible assets and intangible assets); creditors (including taxation / revenue authorities) and directors in several States.

Select a country for the company's incorporation and, based on the insolvency laws of the country you select and the brief facts provided, describe four key international insolvency issues facing the insolvency representative in this scenario. For each issue, what domestic

laws or international instruments apply to assist the insolvency representative address these four issues?

[Having looked at the facts in the question I have opted to select England as a country for the company's incorporation. The four key international insolvency issues facing the Insolvency Representative are Forum, Recognition, Enforcement and the choice of insolvency law that will be invoked to resolve the matter. The domestic law that apply to assist the insolvency representative to address the four issues is the Companies Act 2006 United Kingdom which under the provisions of section 1044 vests the jurisdiction in the English Court to wind up a foreign Company that is incorporated under the law of the country other than the United Kingdom. The other domestic law is the Insolvency Act 1986 United Kingdom which under section 220 gives jurisdiction to the English Court to wind up unregistered companies incorporated under foreign. The UNCITRAL Legislative Guide on Insolvency Law (2004) is an international instrument that apply to assist the Insolvency Representative especially in the area of coordination and corporation with the Courts in the other States.]

More detail would have improved the mark awarded for this sub-question.

5

Marks awarded 6.5 out of 15

*** End of Assessment ***

A good paper that correctly identifies many of the issues raised and satisfactorily substantiates several answers.

Some aspects of the paper have been answered well – in that they have correctly identified information from the materials – however the amount of such material sometimes makes it a little difficult to assess your own understanding.

For other questions, the paper identifies some of the issues however does not necessarily correctly apply all the relevant principles.

TOTAL MARKS 31/50