



**SUMMATIVE (FORMAL) ASSESSMENT: MODULE 1
(INTRODUCTION TO INTERNATIONAL INSOLVENCY LAW)**

This is the **summative (or formal) assessment** for **Module 1** of this course and is compulsory for all registered candidates on the Foundation Certificate. The mark awarded for this assessment will determine your final mark for Module 1. In order to pass this module you need to obtain a mark of 50% or more for this assessment.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETION AND SUBMISSION OF ASSESSMENT

Please read the following instructions very carefully before submitting / uploading your assessment on the Foundation Certificate web pages.

1. You must use this document for the answering of the assessment for this module. The answers to each question must be completed using this document with the answers populated under each question.
2. All assessments must be submitted electronically in MS Word format, using a standard A4 size page and a 11-point Arial font. This document has been set up with these parameters – **please do not change the document settings in any way. DO NOT** submit your assessment in PDF format as it will be returned to you unmarked.
3. No limit has been set for the length of your answers to the questions. However, please be guided by the mark allocation for each question. More often than not, one fact / statement will earn one mark (unless it is obvious from the question that this is not the case).
4. You must save this document using the following format: **[studentID.assessment1summative]**. An example would be something along the following lines: 202122-545.assessment1summative. **Please also include the filename as a footer to each page of the assessment** (this has been pre-populated for you, merely replace the words “studentID” with the student ID allocated to you). Do not include your name or any other identifying words in your file name. **Assessments that do not comply with this instruction will be returned to candidates unmarked.**
5. Before you will be allowed to upload / submit your assessment via the portal on the Foundation Certificate web pages, you will be required to confirm / certify that you are the person who completed the assessment and that the work submitted is your own, original work. Please see the part of the Course Handbook that deals with plagiarism and dishonesty in the submission of assessments. **Please note that copying and pasting from the Guidance Text into your answer is prohibited and constitutes plagiarism. You must write the answers to the questions in your own words.**
6. The final submission date for this assessment is **15 November 2021**. The assessment submission portal will close at **23:00 (11 pm) GMT on 15 November 2021**. No submissions can be made after the portal has closed and no further uploading of documents will be allowed, no matter the circumstances.
7. Prior to being populated with your answers, this assessment consists of **9 pages**.

ANSWER ALL THE QUESTIONS

QUESTION 1 (multiple-choice questions) [10 marks in total]

Questions 1.1. – 1.10. are multiple-choice questions designed to assess your ability to think critically about the subject. Please read each question carefully before reading the answer options. Be aware that some questions may seem to have more than one right answer, but you are to look for the one **that makes the most sense and is the most correct**. When you have a clear idea of the question, find your answer and **mark your selection on the answer sheet by highlighting the relevant paragraph in yellow**. Select only **ONE** answer. Candidates who select more than one answer will receive no mark for that specific question.

Question 1.1

Unlike (former) continental insolvency rules, the English insolvency laws provided for a rather liberal discharge of debt provision since 1570. Select the **most accurate response** to this statement from (a) – (d) below.

- (a) This statement is correct since the English insolvency system was viewed as a pro-creditor system since its early development.
- (b) This statement is correct since the English insolvency system, unlike continental systems, never provided for imprisonment for debt of insolvents and preferred to treat debtors in a humane way.
- (c) This statement is incorrect since a statutory discharge of debt was only introduced in 1705 in England.**
- (d) This statement is incorrect since most of the continental insolvency rules provided for a liberal discharge of debt even before English law considered the introduction of such a dispensation.

Question 1.2

English insolvency law was not affected by the Covid-19 pandemic to date. Select the **most accurate response** to this statement from (a) – (d) below.

- (a) This statement is correct since the UK decided to merely provide financial aid to financially troubled entities and individuals.
- (b) This statement is correct since the legislative reform process in the UK is too slow to effect amendments to an elaborate piece of legislation such as its Insolvency Act of 1986.
- (c) This statement is correct since the English insolvency law already provided special rules to deal with extreme socio-economic situations like those brought about by global disasters such as the Covid-19 pandemic.
- (d) The statement is incorrect since the UK did review parts of its insolvency rules and amended some, amongst other things, to deal with the negative economic fall out of the pandemic.**

Question 1.3

Since the Dutch insolvency system is rather outdated when compared with English or American insolvency / bankruptcy laws, it does not provide for a modern scheme of arrangement that could be used to reorganise or rescue a company in distress. Select the **most accurate response** to this statement from (a) – (d) below.

- (a) This statement is correct since the Dutch insolvency system does not provide for a discharge of debt and without such a dispensation in place, a scheme of arrangement will not be functional.
- (b) This statement is correct since the Dutch government has not approved such legislation yet.
- (c) This statement is incorrect since the Dutch in fact introduced new legislation in this regard in 2000 already.
- (d) This statement is incorrect since the Dutch quite recently adopted legislation in this regard and it became operational on 1 January 2021.

Question 1.4

There is no real need for the reform and establishment of a more uniform set of cross-border insolvency rules since the courts of the various States around the globe are well-equipped to deal with such issues by way of judicial discretion and since the broad rules of local insolvency legal systems are largely the same. Select the **most accurate response** to this statement from (a) – (d) below.

- (a) This statement is correct since courts cooperating across jurisdictional borders are familiar with global insolvency principles.
- (b) This statement is correct since courts across the globe are inclined to apply comity as a principle to assist foreign estate representatives to deal with cross-border insolvency matters in a coherent way.
- (c) The statement is not correct since both local insolvency systems as well as cross-border insolvency rules differ quite significantly in many respects.
- (d) This statement is correct since apart from the wide discretion that judges in general have, the UNCITRAL Model Law on Cross-Border Insolvency has been adopted by the majority of UN Member States, hence these rules are well-known to judges across the globe.

Question 1.5

Universalism has become the main approach regarding the application of cross-border insolvency rules around the globe since the majority of States follow a strict adherence to comity. Select the **most accurate response** to this statement from (a) – (d) below.

- (a) The statement is not correct because very few States allow insolvent estate representatives to deal with assets of a foreign debtor situated in their own jurisdiction without some form of a (prior) local procedure to recognise the foreign insolvency proceeding.

- (b) The statement is correct because universality has become the norm in the majority of States in cross-border insolvency matters since the introduction of the UNCITRAL Model Law on Cross-Border Insolvency in 1997.
- (c) The statement is correct because the prevalent approach of modified territoriality amounts to a universal embracement of universalism amongst the majority of States around the globe.
- (d) The statement is not correct because important international policy-making bodies such as the International Monetary Fund (IMF), the World Bank Group and the United Nations still support strong territoriality in cases of cross-border insolvency cases.

Question 1.6

A number of initiatives have been pursued in international insolvency in order to stimulate debate and to develop international best practice standards. Which of the following statements is **most accurate** regarding the World Bank's *Principles for Effective Insolvency and Creditor / Debtor Regimes*?

- (a) They were developed in 2000 and are the international best practice standards for insolvency regimes.
- (b) They were recently revised in 2021 and, together with the UNCITRAL Legislative Guide, form the international best practice standard for insolvency regimes.
- (c) They were recently revised in 2020 and, together with the UNCITRAL Model Law on Cross- border Insolvency, form the international best practice standard for insolvency regimes.
- (d) They were initially released in 2011 and are the international best practice standards for insolvency regimes.

Question 1.7

Which of the following **does not** focus on communication among States in international insolvencies?

- (a) ALI - III Global Guidelines for Court-to-Court Communications in International Insolvency Cases.
- (b) The JIN Guidelines.
- (c) The JIN Modalities.
- (d) The Nordic Convention 1933.

Question 1.8

Which of the following **best describes** the fundamental legal issues that arise in an international legal problem?

- (a) Choice of forum, choice of law, and choice of jurisdiction.

- (b) Choice of forum, recognition and effect accorded foreign proceedings in the same matter, and choice of law.
- (c) Choice of effect, choice of recognition, and choice of law.
- (d) Choice of forum, recognition and effect accorded foreign proceedings in the same matter, and choice of parties.

Question 1.9

Which of the following statements **best describes** the *UNCITRAL Practice Guide on Cross-Border Insolvency Cooperation*?

- (a) It is not intended to be prescriptive and is intended to provide information for insolvency practitioners and judges on practical aspects of co-operation and communication in cross-border insolvency cases to illustrate how the resolution of issues and conflicts that might arise in cross-border insolvency cases could be facilitated by cross-border co-operation.
- (b) It is prescriptive and provides information for insolvency practitioners and judges on practical aspects of co-operation and communication in cross-border insolvency cases to illustrate how the resolution of issues and conflicts that might arise in cross-border insolvency cases must be facilitated by cross-border co-operation.
- (c) It is prescriptive and provides information for judges on practical aspects of recognition and enforcement in cross-border insolvency cases.
- (d) It is not prescriptive and provides information for judges on practical aspects of recognition and enforcement in cross-border insolvency cases.

Question 1.10

What **best describes** the overriding objective of the ALI - III Global Guidelines for Court-to-Court Communications in International Insolvency Cases?

- (a) To interfere with the independent exercise of jurisdiction by the relevant States' courts and ensure an effective outcome.
- (b) In urgent situations only, to interfere with the independent exercise of jurisdiction by the relevant States' courts in order to ensure an effective outcome.
- (c) To enhance co-ordination and harmonisation of insolvency proceedings that involve more than one State through communications among the States involved.
- (d) To enhance co-ordination and harmonisation of insolvency proceedings that involve more than three States through communications among the States involved.

Marks awarded 10 out of 10

QUESTION 2 (direct questions) [10 marks]

Question 2.1 [maximum 3 marks]

Briefly indicate three significant (historical) developments regarding debt collection procedures in English law that shaped the way of thinking concerning modern insolvency law.

1. The first debt collection provisions appeared in the Statute of Marlbridge of 1267.
2. The Act of Elizabeth (1570), was the first English act of bankruptcy, which provided for a developed mechanism of debt collection.
3. The Bankruptcy Act of 1883 – the base of the present English bankruptcy law. The system created by this Act is still in place in England.

The question asked you to indicate significant developments, rather than legislations per se. You needed to identify developments that shaped the way of thinking with respect to modern insolvency law. Elaboration is warranted to explain how the above shaped modern insolvency thinking.

1.5

Question 2.2 [maximum 3 marks]

Following the Covid-19 pandemic, States across the globe had to introduce measures to deal with the negative economic fall out of this pandemic. Briefly indicate three insolvency and insolvency-related measures so introduced in the UK.

1. The UK Government proposed the suspension of the law on wrongful trading, which resulted in a temporary presumption that the directors have not worsened the company's financial position (to mitigate the potential court-imposed penalties on directors).
2. Introduction of the Corporate Insolvency and Governance Act 2020, which introduced, *inter alia*:
 - a. A new restructuring plan
 - b. New moratorium rules
 - c. The relaxation of wrongful trading liability
 - d. Suspension of winding-up petitions and statutory demands
3. Coronavirus Business Interruption Loan Scheme (CBILS). The scheme facilitated businesses to access term loans, overdrafts, invoice finance and asset finance.

3

Question 2.3 [maximum 4 marks]

Briefly explain the concept of treaties and “soft law” and indicate how these may be used to establish cross-border insolvency rules in States.

1. A treaty is a formally concluded and ratified agreement between countries (or country groups/unions, such as the European Union). Various regional groups and states have adopted treaties and conventions to establish the insolvency framework in their regions. Treaties are binding on the states/bodies that adopted them. Thus, the rules provided for in treaties are binding and have to be used when dealing with cross-border insolvency cases.
2. Soft law is a quasi-legal instrument that does not have any legally binding force. Inter-governmental bodies and international organizations, such as UNCITRAL and the Hague Conference, produce various pieces of soft law in the area of insolvency. Those mostly (unless provided for as a contractual provision) serve as guidelines to be used when dealing with cross-border insolvency cases.

More detail would have improved the mark awarded for this sub-question. It would be beneficial for example to make reference to the UNCITRAL Model Law on Cross-Border Insolvency which is arguably the most successful example of 'soft law' in the field of cross-border insolvency to date.

3

Marks awarded 7.5 out of 10

QUESTION 3 (essay-type questions) [15 marks in total]

Question 3.1 [maximum 5 marks]

Briefly discuss the various possible different sources of insolvency laws in any State and how they may interact with each other.

In general, the following sources of law can be applied to an insolvency proceeding:

1. National laws of the country, where the proceedings were started (including precedents and choice-of-law provisions). **Elaboration is required here**
2. Multilateral instruments
 - a. Treaties
 - b. Conventions
 - c. Regulations and Directives (for example, The European Insolvency Regulation)
3. Cross-boarder insolvency agreements
4. Soft Law
5. Common principles of law (mostly applicable in the common law systems) **Elaboration is required here**

It would be beneficial to discuss insolvency legislation as either a code or multiplicity of legislation depending upon the State, and to discuss common law in common law countries as filling any gaps in law, and to discuss general law and its relevance and impact upon insolvency law.

2

Question 3.2 [maximum 5 marks]

A number of difficulties arise in cross-border insolvencies, including as a result of differences in laws between States. Harmonisation of insolvency laws is pursued. In an attempt to bring the "cross-border" aspects and the "insolvency" aspects together, Fletcher asks three very pertinent questions. Discuss these pertinent questions / issues raised by Fletcher.

1. In which jurisdictions may insolvency proceedings be opened?
 - a. This question goes ultimately to the connection of the case to the particular jurisdiction. It is possible to open proceedings in more than one state (concurrently). However, each of those states will apply its own legal provisions and there would be only a very rare and limited extraterritorial effect of foreign proceedings. This is a difficulty, which normally arises in a cross-boarder insolvency proceeding.
2. What country's law should be applied in respect of different aspects of the case?
 - a. The law of the country where the insolvency proceedings are opened should be applied. Normally, the courts/official bodies will use the laws of the countries where they are seated and only on a very rare occasion, they will take into consideration foreign legal provisions. Different legal systems apply different approach to this question, though. In England, for example, choice-of-law questions arise only if the parties raise them and the proof of foreign law is a factual question.

3. What international effects will be accorded to proceedings conducted at a particular forum (including issues of enforcement)?
 - a. This question goes to the execution of the final judgment and the defendant's compliance with its terms. There can arise many obstacles to the enforcement (including the form, the substance, the duration of enforcement and else). To make this process easier, the UNCITRAL Model Law on Recognition and Enforcement of Insolvency-Related Judgments (2018) was created.

5

Question 3.3 [maximum 5 marks]

It is said that “co-ordination agreements are sometimes known as Protocols or Cross-border Insolvency Agreements. Their growing acceptance internationally is evident in the work by the ALI-III in their *Guidelines Applicable to Court-to-Court Communication in Cross-Border Cases*; by UNICTRAL in their *Practice Guide on Cross-border Insolvency Agreements*; and by the Judicial Insolvency Network in their *Guidelines for Communication and Cooperation between Courts in Cross-Border Insolvency Matters...*”

It is also said that “While court approval of such agreements for the purposes of co-ordinating insolvency proceedings is encouraged by the MLCBI, they in fact pre-date the Model Law.”

Briefly discuss a prominent case law example for this last quotation.

Maxwell Communications Corporation PLC case of cross-border insolvency of 1991.

1. This case involved insolvency proceedings in the US and England. Maxwell comprised of an English holding company with substantial assets — 80% of its total assets were located in the United States. Although most of the company's assets were located in the United States, substantially all of the company debt had been incurred in England.
2. The UK court in this case appointed joint administrators in the English proceeding and the US court appointed a chapter 11 examiner. Among other things, the US order recognized the joint administrators as the corporate governance of Maxwell. Similarly, the order of the UK court granted standing to the examiner to be heard in the UK court.
3. As we see, those proceedings were coordinated through orders (and protocols) approved by the courts of both countries, which allowed for a successful reorganization.
4. This case is a great example of court-to-court communication and international cooperation in a cross-border insolvency case. Such an approach fostered truly integrated reorganization proceedings, which, in turn, maximized efficiency and minimized disputes among all case participants.

This answer displays a satisfactory understanding. To improve your responses, ensure they are commensurate with the mark allocation – while Q 3.3 asks for a brief note, it is for 5 marks.

3.5

Marks awarded 10.5 of 15

QUESTION 4 (fact-based application-type question) [15 marks in total]

Rydell Co Ltd (Rydell) is an incorporated company with offices in the UK and throughout Europe. Its centre of main interest (COMI) is in the UK. Rydell supplies engine parts for large vehicles, including airplanes, and has had a downturn in business due to border closures and travel restrictions throughout the Covid-19 pandemic.

Rydell's main creditor is Fernz Co Ltd (Fernz) which is incorporated in a country in Europe that is a member of the EU. Fernz is considering commencing proceedings or pursuing other options with respect to recovering unpaid debts from Rydell.

There are a number of other creditors owed money by Rydell, who are located throughout different countries in Europe which are all members of the European Union.

If you require additional information to answer the questions that follow, briefly state what information it is you require and why it is relevant.

Question 4.1 [maximum 7 marks]

An insolvency proceeding against Rydell was opened in the UK by a minor creditor on 18 June 2020. A month later, Fernz was considering also opening proceedings in another country in Europe which was a member of the European Union.

Discuss if and how the European Insolvency Regulation Recast would apply. Also note what further information, if any, you might require to fully consider this question.

The European Insolvency Regulation Recast ("**Recast**") would apply to the case in hand. **It would be beneficial to elaboration and explain why and your reasoning.** It sets out the rules on jurisdiction to commence insolvency proceedings after 26 June 2017 and the law governing such proceedings. Article 3 of the Recast allocates jurisdiction to open main insolvency proceedings to the courts of the Member State within which a debtor has its COMI and Article 19 provides for the automatic recognition of these proceedings in all Member States.

The minor creditor opened the insolvency proceedings prior to 31 December 2020, so before the UK exited the EU (and the Recast ceased to apply in the UK).

It would be beneficial to consider matters pertaining to secondary proceedings and 'establishment'

2

Question 4.2 [maximum 3 marks]

How would your answer to 4.1 differ if the proceedings were opened in the UK on 18 June 2021 instead of 18 June 2020? Also note what further information, if any, might become relevant.

The Recast would not apply to the case in hand as under the UK law Recast does not apply to post-11 pm 31 December 2020 proceedings due to UK's exit from the EU.

To improve your responses, ensure they are commensurate with the mark allocation – the question is for 3 marks.

1.5

Question 4.3 [maximum 5 marks]

Consider an alternative situation now. What if Rydell were unregistered with its COMI in a country in Europe that was a member of the European Union, instead of the UK, and formal insolvency proceedings were opened in the UK on 18 June 2021? What UK domestic laws would be relevant to consider whether the minor creditor could commence those formal insolvency proceedings in the UK?

1. Insolvency Act 1986
2. The Insolvency (England and Wales) Rules 2016
3. The Cross-Border Insolvency Regulations 2006
4. The following case law (at least):
 - a. *Re Latreefers Inc* [2001] BCC 174 (CA)
 - b. *Re Real Estate Development Co* [1991] BCLC 210 (Ch D)

To improve your responses, ensure they are commensurate with the mark allocation – the question is for 5 marks.

.5
Marks awarded 4 out of 15
TOTAL MARKS 32/50

*** End of Assessment ***