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**ANSWER ALL THE QUESTIONS**

**QUESTION 1 – MULTIPLE COICE QUESTIONS (20 MARKS)**

Questions 1.1 – 1.20 are multiple-choice questions designed to assess your ability to think critically about the subject. Please read each question carefully before reading the answer options. Be aware that some questions may seem to have more than one right answer, but you are to look for the one that makes the most sense and is the most correct. When you have a clear idea of the question, find your answer and mark your selection on the answer sheet by highlighting the relevant paragraph **in yellow**. Select only **ONE** answer. Candidates who select more than one answer will receive no mark for that specific question. Each of the 20 questions count 1 mark.

**Question 1.1**

What is the date for Financial Institutions to submit its common reporting standard (CRS) Return in respect of reportable accounts?

1. 1 January (annually)
2. 1 April (annually)
3. 1 July (annually)
4. 1 October (annually)

**Question 1.2**

What is the maximum success fee permitted pursuant to Conditional fee agreements?

1. 50%
2. 33.33%
3. 66.66%
4. 100%

**Question 1.3**

Choose the **correct** statement:

How many forms of security interests are recognised in the Cayman Islands?

1. 3
2. 5
3. 6
4. None of the above

**Question 1.4**

Who may **not**petition for the winding up of a Company?

1. The company.
2. Any creditor.
3. Any prospective creditor.
4. Any contributory.
5. Any prospective contributory.

**Question 1.5**

Choose the **correct** statement:

What is the minimum sum required to be owed, to enable a statutory demand to be used?

1. KYD 50
2. KYD 100
3. KYD 1,000
4. KYD 10,000

**Question 1.6**

Choose the **correct** statement:

A Restructuring Officer is required to report to the Court following their appointment:

1. Within 21 days of the appointment.
2. Within 28 days of the appointment.
3. At such intervals as the Restructuring Officer considers appropriate.
4. Within 7 days of the appointment.

**Question 1.7**

Which of the following is **not** required to be included in an affidavit filed in support of a restructuring petition:

1. A statement that, having made due enquiry and taken appropriate advice, the board believes that the company is or is likely to become unable to pay its debts.
2. An explanation of how the company will be funded during the restructuring period.
3. A statement as to why the directors believe that the appointment of a restructuring officer will be in the bests interest of the company.
4. A detailed outline of the proposed restructuring plan.

**Question 1.8**

Choose the **correct** statement:

A petition for the appointment of a Restructuring Officer can be brought by:

1. the company.
2. any creditor;
3. any contributory; or
4. all of the above

**Question 1.9**

Choose the **correct** statement:

Unless the Court otherwise directs, when must the petition for the appointment of a Restructuring Officer be heard?

1. within 14 days of the petition being filed.
2. within 21 days of the petition being filed.
3. within 28 days of the petition being filed.
4. within 56 days of the petition being filed.

**Question 1.10**

Choose the **correct** statement:

A scheme of arrangement:

* 1. can be sanctioned by the Court with the consent of all affected parties.
	2. requires a special resolution in accordance with the company’s Articles.
	3. can only proceed if there are shareholders / creditors who may not agree with it.
	4. Only needs to be approved by a majority in value.

**Question 1.11**

Select the **incorrect** statement:

1. The Cayman Islands adopts the principle of universalism and the principle of assistance in respect of cross-border insolvency.
2. Foreign representatives can apply for assistance under Part XVII of the Companies Act.
3. The Cayman Islands has implemented the UNCITRAL Model Law on Cross-Border Insolvency.
4. There are no automatic rights in the Cayman Islands based on the centre of main interests of the debtor.

**Question 1.12**

Choose the **correct** statement:

If winding up proceedings are filed against a Cayman Islands company in the Cayman Islands and in a foreign country, which of the following statements is true?

1. The Cayman Islands Court will wish to ensure comity between courts in other jurisdictions so it will be deferential to whatever decision is reached by the foreign court.
2. The Cayman Islands Court will wish to ensure that Cayman Islands creditors have priority over foreign creditors.
3. The Cayman Islands Court will wish to ensure that secured creditors cannot prejudice unsecured creditors.
4. The Cayman Islands Court takes into account a number of factors, but the starting point is that the main insolvency proceedings for a Cayman Islands company should take place in the Cayman Islands.

 **Question 1.13**

Select the **correct** statement:

1. A voluntary liquidator will automatically cease to hold office if a conflict of interest arises during the liquidation.
2. A voluntary liquidator will automatically cease to hold office as such upon the appointment of an official liquidator following a supervision order.
3. A sole voluntary liquidator can resign at any time without reference to the shareholders or the court.
4. A voluntary liquidator can be removed by the company’s creditors.

**Question 1.14**

Select the **correct** statement relating to the adjudication, quantification and distribution of claims during an official liquidation:

1. An official liquidator acts in *quasi*-judicial capacity in respect of the adjudication of claims, meaning that the liquidator’s determination will be final and is not capable of dispute.
2. A proof of debt is always required in order for an official liquidator to adjudicate on a creditor’s claim.
3. Only creditors with a contractual right to interest have an entitlement to interest.
4. A valid contract (agreed between the company and the creditor) can have the effect of changing the otherwise statutory ranking of that creditors’ claim, such that the claim is subordinated.

**Question 1.15**

Select the **correct** statement relating to the appointment of inspectors:

1. The report of an inspector can be used in any legal proceeding as evidence of the opinion of the inspectors.
2. Upon the appointment of an inspector the directors’ powers will automatically cease.
3. Upon the appointment of an inspector there is a stay of proceedings such that a winding up application cannot be brought.
4. Only CIMA has the power to appoint an inspector.

**Question 1.16**

Select the **correct** statement relating to exempted limited partnerships (ELPs):

1. Limited Partners have an unfettered statutory right to petition the court to wind up the relevant ELP / General Partner.
2. Where there are inconsistencies in relation to the dissolution of ELPs, the ELP Act will take priority over the Companies Act.
3. An ELP is required to have more than one limited partner.
4. An ELP formed under the Exempted Limited Partnership has a separate legal personality.

**Question 1.17**

Select the **correct** statement:

Which of the following statements in relation to informal workouts pursuant to the Cayman Islands law is correct:

1. The restructuring officer regime is an example of an Informal workout process under Cayman Islands law.
2. A stay of proceedings is not available in the Cayman Islands for informal creditor workouts.
3. A qualified insolvency practitioner is required to oversee an informal workout under the Insolvency Practitioners Regulations.
4. Under Cayman Islands law, any new financing advanced during an informal creditor workout will be provided with priority status in the event the company is later liquidated.

**Question 1.18**

Choose the **correct** statement:

Which of the following statements is true regarding a provisional liquidation application?

1. The company has the statutory power to commence the proceedings.
2. There is a worldwide moratorium (stay) upon the presentation of the provisional liquidation application.
3. A winding up petition must be presented as a precursor to the application for the provisional liquidation.
4. Following the implementation of restructuring officer regime, a company can no longer seek the appointment of a provisional liquidator if it intends on presenting a restructuring proposal.

**Question 1.19**

Select the **correct** statement:

An official liquidator can set aside dispositions that seek to prefer one creditor over other creditors within how many months / years before the deemed commencement of the company’s liquidation.

1. Three months
2. Six months
3. Six years
4. There is no time limit

**Question 1.20**

Select the **correct** statement:

Which of the following is **not a** fundamental principle of ethics for Insolvency Practitioners per the Cayman Islands Institute of Professional Accountants:

1. Conflicts of interest
2. Integrity
3. Confidentiality
4. Professional behaviour

**\*\* END OF QUESTION 1 \*\***

**QUESTION 2 FOLLOWS ON NEXT PAGE / . . .**

**QUESTION 2 – LIQUIDATION (45 MARKS)**

**Where appropriate, refer to the fact pattern below when answering the questions that follow. Please note that not all questions relate to the fact pattern.**

**FACT PATTERN**

**BLUESEA DIGITAL CAPITAL LIMITED**

Bluesea Digital Capital Limited (Bluesea) was established in 2018 in the Cayman Islands as a digital asset management platform. Bluesea operated multiple online cryptocurrency trading platforms across the Caribbean and Latin America, known as OTPs. Investments made into these OTPs were held in secure brokerage accounts under Bluesea's own name. Bluesea's clientele comprised a diverse mix of institutional investors, high-net-worth individuals, and consumers.

On 24 June 2022, one of Bluesea's prominent OTPs, eTrade Wave (eTrade), abruptly disabled its buy / sell functionality without prior notice. At the time of suspension, eTrade had amassed over 2,500 users who had collectively invested approximately $125 million. This sudden suspension of the trading platform created widespread apprehension among investors, resulting in an overwhelming surge of withdrawal requests. Notably, Whitesand Capital (Whitesand) sought to withdraw its entire deposit of $32 million but faced insurmountable challenges in recovering the funds.

Unable to retrieve its deposit, Whitesand initiated winding up proceedings against Bluesea in May 2023. The petition faced vehement opposition from Bluesea, which asserted that deposits had been transferred to its joint venture partner. Bluesea claimed it needed additional time to resolve a “cordial disagreement” to facilitate the return of deposits. Amid allegations that investor deposits had not been segregated as promised and due to Bluewave’s inability to meet its financial obligations, the Grand Court of the Cayman Islands saw fit to make a winding up order on 22 August 2023.

Following the appointment of the official liquidator, Bluesea’s joint venture partner, based in Singapore, became the subject of several press reports. These reports alleged that its director had previously been involved in a fraudulent investment scheme in the early 2000s. Furthermore, the official liquidator had discovered that only one audit had ever been conducted in respect of Bluesea’s financial statements, with Bluesea’s auditors resigning shortly thereafter.

The official liquidators have called for creditor claims, and among the submissions received, a claim amounting to $0.5 million has surfaced, relating to leasing obligations tied to office space that was utilised by the joint venture partner. Bluesea’s documented records fail to substantiate any historical evidence of rental payments being disbursed by the company, nor do they reveal any corresponding liabilities recorded within its financial statements.

**Question 2.1**

As part of Whitesand’s petition to wind up Bluesea, Whitesand obtained sworn consent(s) to act from the proposed official liquidators. Set out the required content of the consent to act signed by the proposed official liquidator(s). **(5)**

The winding up petition by Whitesand (commenced in May 2023) would have required an affidavit sworn by the person or persons nominated for appointment as official liquidator(s). It is likely that provisional liquidators may have been appointed by the company in the interim until the winding-up Order of 22nd August 2023.

Under s.105(1) of the Companies Act there may be one or more official liquidators appointed.

In most instances any provisional liquidator(s) in place will be appointed subsequently as the official liquidator(s).

The provisional liquidator / proposed official liquidator will have provided a Consent to Act in the form of an affidavit and the Consent to Act would need to conform to the requirements of Companies Winding Up Rules Order 3 rule 4.

The content differs between an application by a local insolvency practitioner (Ord. 3 r.4(1)) and an application by a qualified insolvency practitioner jointly with a foreign practitioner (Ord. 3 r.4(2)).

The local insolvency practitioner needs to state,

1. That he/she is a qualified Insolvency Practitioner within the meaning of the Insolvency Practitioner’s Regulations
2. That he/she complies with the residency requirements under Reg. 5
3. That he/she confirms after due enquiry they meet the independence requirements under Reg. 6
4. That he/she confirms they are in compliance with the insurance requirement under Reg. 7
5. That he/she confirms they are willing to act as official liquidator, if so appointed.

The foreign insolvency practitioner needs to,

1. State his/her professional qualifications (Ord. 3 r.4(2)(a)) and experience (Ord. 3 r.4(2)c))
2. Give details of the country in which he/she is qualified to perform functions equivalent to those of an official liquidator under the Companies Act
3. Confirm that having made due enquiry he/she or his/her firm meet the independence requirements under Reg. 6
4. Confirm that he/she or will have the benefit of professional indemnity insurance in compliance with the Insolvency Practitioner’s Regulations, Reg. 7

**Question 2.2**

The proposed liquidators are employees of the accountancy practice Bodden & Ebanks Limited. Shortly prior to the hearing of the winding up petition of Bluesea, it transpires that Bodden & Ebanks Limited previously acted as auditors of Bluesea in 2021. Are the proposed liquidators still able to act in relation to Bluesea? Please provide an explanation for your answer. This information came to light after the proposed liquidators had already provided their consents to act; what should the proposed liquidators do in respect of the same? **(5)**

This is governed by the code of ethics / professional conduct. Assuming that the accountancy practice of Bodden & Ebanks Ltd. is a Cayman Islands entity, the likelihood is that the proposed liquidators are local insolvency practitioners who are regulated by the Cayman Islands Institute of Professional Accountants. The CIIPA requests that all of its members comply with the IESBA Code of Ethics (‘CoE’).

Under the CoE the ‘objectivity’ fundamental principle is called into question on the present facts.

Bodden & Ebanks acted as auditors in 2021, within three years of the date of the winding-up proceedings in 2023 (this is not a voluntary liquidation). That is likely to amount to a significant professional relationship and had that been known beforehand the proposed liquidators ought not to have taken up the appointment.

These liquidators have already been appointed – that would have happened at the making of the winding up order on 22nd August 2023 in respect of Bluesea.

They are under a duty to evaluate the threat to compliance with the fundamental principles - on a qualitative and quantitative basis. The test is whether a reasonable and informed third party would likely conclude that that the liquidator as an insolvency practitioner complies with the fundamental principles. Any threats identified may be addressed by eliminating them or reducing them to an acceptable level – in this instance probably with consideration of safeguards. If that is not possible, they must decline or end the appointment.

If the threat can be reduced to an acceptable level, the liquidators may seek to continue in their roles subject to the supervision of the Grand Court if there are no circumstances that give rise to an unacceptable threat to compliance with the fundamental principles. All threats, actual or perceived, should be brought to the attention of the Grand Court and to all creditors as part of an application to the Court. They should not promote their own appointment.

**Question 2.3**

Tom and Jerry have been appointed as joint voluntary liquidators of Cheese Limited, a Cayman Islands exempted company, upon the passing of a special resolution of the shareholders of Cheese Limited, dated 1 March 2023.

On 1 April, Tom decides to retire from his career as voluntary liquidator and leave his firm, leaving Jerry to act as sole voluntary liquidator.

On 1 June, one of the shareholders reads that Jerry has been named in an Offshore Alert article suggesting that he has been defrauding companies in liquidation. They wish to remove him as liquidator immediately, but do not have the support of the other shareholders to take that action.

Using the facts above, answer the questions that follow:

**Question 2.3.1**

List the qualifications Tom and Jerry need to act as voluntary liquidators. **(1)**

s.120 Companies Act (2023 Revision) provides that any person (including a director or officer of the company) may be appointed as a voluntary liquidator. No specific qualifications are necessary.

**Question 2.3.2**

List the statutory steps Tom and Jerry must take within 28 days of their appointment, as set out in the Companies Act. **(2)**

s.123 Companies Act (2023 Revision) provides that Tom & Jerry shall within 28 days of the commencement of a winding-up,

a. File notice of the winding-up with the Registrar of Companies

b. File notice of the liquidator’s consent to act with the Registrar

c. File the Director’s declaration of solvency with the Registrar

d. Serve notice of the winding-up on CIMA (if Cheese Limited carries on any regulated business), and

e. Publish notice of the winding-up in the Gazette.

**Question 2.3.3**

Describe the basis upon which the company may resolve to remunerate Tom and Jerry in their capacity as the voluntary liquidators. **(2)**

This is governed by the Companies Winding Up Rules (2023 Consolidation) Order 13 rule 9.

Rule 9(2) stipulates that Cheese Limited may resolve to remunerate the voluntary liquidators on,

1. An hourly rate (or scale of rates) for time reasonably and properly devoted to the liquidation;
2. A fixed sum;
3. A commission or percentage of the assets distributed or realized; or
4. A combination of these methods.

**Question 2.4**

Assuming that the contributories petition the Grand Court of the Cayman Islands for an appointment of a provisional liquidator, what are the Court’s powers upon the hearing of a winding-up petition? **(2)**

s.95 Companies Act (2023 Revision) provides that the Court may:

a. Dismiss the petition;

b. Adjourn the hearing conditionally or unconditionally;

c. Make a provisional order; or

d. Make any other order that it thinks fit.

The provisional liquidator’s functions are limited to what the Court may confer (s.104(4) Companies Act).

**Question 2.5**

**Question 2.5.1**

In a brief essay, explain who can apply to the Court to remove official liquidators, and in what circumstances. Who must such an application be served on? **(4)**

Removal of an official liquidator is governed by s.107 Companies Act (2023 Revision) and CWU Rules Order 5 rule 6.

Only a creditor or a contributory of the company may apply. Essentially the applicant must have legitimate interest in making the application – for an insolvent liquidation that means the creditors and for solvent liquidation that means a contributory as ‘proper persons’ to make the application because they had the ultimate interest in the distribution of the company’s assets – see *In the matter of BTU Power Company* (in official liquidation) [2019 (1) CILR Note 7].

The same case authority indicates that ‘good reason’ needs to be demonstrated to remove a liquidator (who is an officer of the Court for these purposes – it is sufficient to satisfy the Court that the removal of the liquidator would be for the general advantage of the majority of persons interested in the liquidation, except where impropriety is shown in which case the Court may override their interests.

The application is made by a Removal Summons (CWU Rules Order 5 rule 6) with supporting affidavit containing all the facts and matters relied upon, and must be served (as per Ord. 5 r. 6(2)) on:

1. The Official Liquidator; and
2. Each member if the liquidation committee; or
3. Counsel for the liquidation committee (if any attorney is appointed with authority to act generally); and
4. Such other creditor or contributory as the Court may direct.

**Question 2.5.2**

Briefly explain why it makes sense that the class of potential applicant varies in accordance with the solvency of the company. **(5)**

The rationale for this is set out in *Deloitte & Touche A.G. v Johnson and Dinan* 1999 CILR 297 – a decision of the Privy Council.

The Companies Act itself provides no guidance on who may be a ‘proper person’ to make an application to remove an official liquidator. The Court has jurisdiction not by statute, but under its inherent power and the above referenced case authority determined that to have legal standing to make an application the person applying has to have “sufficient interest” (see judgment of Lord Millett at page 304 lines 30-40).

In an insolvent company the only persons with a such ‘legitimate interest’ are the creditors – as the persons entitled to participate in the ultimate distribution of the company’s assets and who may be affected by the actions of the official liquidator.

With a solvent company there are plainly sufficient assets to meet the company’s debts, so the preference shareholders have the corresponding ‘legitimate interest’ as the contributories.

**Question 2.6**

During a liquidation there is an expected recovery into the liquidation estate. The amount is such that the liquidation estate is no longer deemed to be insolvent and the official liquidator can settle all of the outstanding creditor claims (including interest) in full. The official liquidator has subsequently filed a revised certificate of solvency (CWR Form No 14) with the court. What impact will the change in solvency have on the liquidation committee, assuming one has been constituted? **(4)**

A liquidation committee must be appointed unless the Court orders otherwise. In this instance the Court may retrospectively waive the need for the liquidation committee if one has been appointed.

If there is no application to waive the appointment, the committee is expected to consult with the liquidator; presenting the wishes of the creditors and contributories. That role may be partially redundant if the creditors’ claims are satisfied in full including interest.

The members of the liquidation committee have an interest in the estate and must discharge their function in the best interests of the stakeholders.

The committee may still have a role in considering and approving the liquidators’ remuneration.

**Question 2.7**

Discuss the steps that a liquidator will need to take following the making of an order for dissolution. **(5)**

After an order for dissolution (i.e. after the liquidator has prepared a final report and given notice of the date when the application will be heard by the Court under s.152 of the Companies Act and CWU Rules Order 10 r.3 (the report) and Order 22), the liquidator must,

1. Retain liquidation files in safe custody for at least three years (Order 26 r. 2(3))
2. Comply with the order of the Court in relation to the post-dissolution storage and destruction of the company’s books and records in accordance with Ord. 26 r.3 - that will typically a dissolution expense (see Ord 26 r.3(6)) that should have been catered for in the final report.
3. Cease to act, save in relation to dealing with any unclaimed dividends or undistributed assets in accordance with s153 of the Companies Act and CWR Ord. 23 by setting up a trust account as a trustee for the benefit of the contributories or creditors to whom the funds are owed. Under s.153(2) after one year of the dissolution of the company the former liquidator must transfer any funds held or other assets held on trust to the Miniter of Finance who will manage them in accordance with Part VIII of the Public Management and Finance Act (2020 Revision).

It is possible to recover those funds belatedly on behalf of the trustees (I know because I have made a successful application in respect of a company that had been wound up four years prior).

**Question 2.8**

Describe the general investigative powers and duties of a liquidator. **(5)**

[Type your answer here]

**Question 2.9**

Explain what is meant by the “relevant date” for the purposes of a section 101 of the Companies Act (2023 Revision) notice served by a liquidator in order to procure a statement of affairs from persons listed in section 101(3). **(5)**

[Type your answer here]

**\*\* END OF QUESTION 2 \*\***

**QUESTION 3 FOLLOWS ON NEXT PAGE / . . .**

**QUESTION 3 - CORPORATE RESCUE (20 MARKS)**

**Where appropriate, refer to the fact pattern below when answering the questions that follow.**

**FACT PATTERN**

**SMB TECH CORPORATION**

SMB Tech Corporation (SMB Tech), a Cayman Islands-based company operating in the technology sector, boasts a global presence with subsidiaries spanning various jurisdictions, including the United States, the United Kingdom, and Hong Kong. However, SMB has recently encountered significant financial challenges stemming from an economic downturn and heightened competition within its industry. Considering these difficulties, SMB sought the advice of a reputable advisory firm, which cautioned that SMB Tech teetered on the brink of insolvency and urgently required a financial restructuring.

While exploring its strategic alternatives, SMB Tech found itself confronting mounting pressure from its creditors. One particularly assertive creditor, Tech Credit Systems (TCS), threatened to initiate winding-up proceedings against SMB Tech. In a bid to secure some respite, SMB Tech entered into a three-month standstill agreement with TCS. However, as the three-month period lapsed without concrete restructuring proposals in place, TCS exhibited signs of growing impatience.

The delay and indecision on the part of SMB Tech's management have further exacerbated tensions among certain contributories of the company. These contributories, expressing their dissatisfaction, have indicated an intent to petition the Grand Court of the Cayman Islands for the appointment of a provisional liquidator. Their chosen provisional liquidator is based in Hong Kong, and their motivation is grounded in a perceived loss of trust and confidence in SMB's directors.

SMB Tech’s financial obligations include unsecured debt governed by English law, amounting to GBP 6 million, owed to three creditors situated in the United Kingdom. The company hopes to negotiate a compromise on these liabilities as part of its restructuring efforts. Additionally, SMB Tech has undertaken guarantees for certain financial obligations of several subsidiaries. Notably, the creditors holding these guarantee liabilities have indicated a reluctance to endorse any proposed restructuring scheme.

**Question 3.1**

The chosen provisional liquidator by the contributories of SMB has professional indemnity insurance up to a limit of US$5 million in respect of each and every claim. The chosen provisional liquidator is unwilling to increase his professional indemnity liability insurance limit due to the increasing cost of insurance products in the market. Along with your reasons, provide an explanation as to whether the chosen provisional liquidator could be appointed by the Grand Court of the Cayman Islands. **(5)**

[Type your answer here]

**Question 3.2**

What must the company demonstrate to the Court before the Court will appoint a restructuring officer? **(2)**

[Type your answer here]

**Question 3.3**

What are the advertising requirements for a restructuring petition? **(2)**

[Type your answer here]

**Question 3.4**

Describe at least six (6) elements of the new restructuring officer regime that assist in safeguarding the interests of creditors. **(6)**

[Type your answer here]

**Question 3.5**

Outline the relief **that is and is not** available to the Court upon a restructuring petition. **(5)**

[Type your answer here]

**\*\* END OF QUESTION 3 \*\***

**QUESTION 4 FOLLOWS ON NEXT PAGE / . . .**

**QUESTION 4 – GENERAL QUESTIONS (15 MARKS)**

**The questions below deal with exempted limited partnerships (ELP’s), cross-border insolvency, the recognition of foreign judgments and consumer insolvency.**

**Question 4.1**

In addition to the Limited Partnership Agreement, what governs the operation of ELPs? **(3)**

Aside from the terms of the Limited Partnership Agreement, there is a statutory code applicable to ELP’s with three statutes that apply in the Cayman Islands:

1. The Partnership Act (2013 Revision)
2. The Exempted Limited Partnership Act (2021 Revision) and Exempted Limited Partnership Regulations (2021 Revision), and
3. The Companies Act (2023 Revision) and the Companies Winding Up Rules (2023 Consolidation).

The Exempted Limited Partnership Act is not derived from any equivalent UK legislation and instead is modelled on legislation from Delaware, USA. In case of inconsistency with the Companies Act (as to liquidation and dissolution or voluntary winding up under Part V), the Exempted Limited Partnership Act takes precedence.

The rules of common law and equity in relation to partnerships (excluding some specific statutory provisions under the Partnership Act) are also expressly preserved and applied by s.3 of the Exempted Limited Partnership Act.

**Question 4.2**

When does the Cayman Islands court have jurisdiction to wind up a foreign company? **(5)**

The Grand Court has jurisdiction under s.91 of the Companies Act (2023 Revision) to wind up a foreign company where:

1. The foreign company was incorporated elsewhere but has subsequently registered in the Cayman Islands
2. The foreign company - (defined under s.183 of the Act) as at 1st December 1961 has property located in the Cayman Islands, or
3. Is carrying on business in the Islands, or
4. Is the general partner of a limited partnership, or
5. Is registered under Part IX of the Companies Act (2023 Revision) as an overseas company (ss.183 to 195 of the Act).

**Question 4.3**

Does a judgment of a foreign court need to be registered and / or enforced within the Cayman Islands before it is relied upon as the basis for seeking a winding up order? Provide reasons. **(3)**

The basic premise is that a judgment or order of a foreign court has no direct legal effect in the Cayman Islands. Such a foreign judgment or order has to be legally enforced in Cayman.

The Cayman Islands have not entered into any international treaties for the reciprocal recognition or enforcement of foreign judgments (and the UK has not extended its ratification of any such treaties by Order in Council in Cayman Islands save for some limited exceptions) nor are the islands a signatory to the Hague Convention of July 2019.

There is one statutory code that applies – the Foreign Judgments Reciprocal Enforcement Act (1996 Revision) that in theory allows specific foreign judgments to be recognised in Cayman in the same way as domestic judgments and orders but that has so far only been applied to Australia and its territories. The rules appear under GCR Order 71.

At common law in Cayman, to enforce a foreign judgment a new action must be commenced in Cayman using the foreign judgment as evidence of a debt. By this method, money judgments and non-money judgments (including declaratory judgments) can be recognized locally provided that the foreign judgment or debt is ‘final and conclusive’, was obtained in a Court of law which had jurisdiction over the judgment debtor, was not obtained by fraud, was not in respect of taxes, fines or penalties, was obtained in accordance with the rules of natural justice, and the enforcement of the foreign judgment would not contravene public policy in the Cayman Islands.

Justice Kawaley in 2021 determined in the case of *In the matter of Guoan International Limited* [unreported, 29th October 2021] that a judgment is ‘final and conclusive’ even where an appeal is pending, and that a statutory demand properly sought in the Cayman Islands under s.93(a) of the Companies Act could validly result in a successful winding up petition.

**Question 4.4**

State the main statutory powers and duties of the trustee in bankruptcy, and provide at least one example with reference to a section of the Bankruptcy Act. **(4)**

Broadly, the duties of the Trustee in Bankruptcy are:

1. Under s.74 of the Bankruptcy Act (1997 Revision) to administer the debtor’s estate for the benefit of the creditors (and under the control of the Court).
2. Under s.75 of the same Act to take possession of the debtors’ property (real and personal) and all books, papers, and documents of the debtor as soon as possible after the making of a provisional bankruptcy order.
3. Under s.38 of the same Act, pending a provisional bankruptcy order being made absolute (or final) the Trustee is under a duty to preserve property so that if the provisional order is revoked the property can be returned to the debtor.
4. To examine and verify the books, papers, and vouchers relating to the debtors’ affairs (s.77).

The powers of the Trustee include,

1. The power to ‘discover’ and to recover all debts due to the debtors’ estate under s.76.
2. To sell property (s.78)
3. To carry on the debtors’ trade so far as necessary or expedient to wind up or sell the same, and for general management and realization of property, and to employ the debtor or other persons. (s.79)
4. To bring or to defend legal proceedings (s.80) and to arbitrate or compromise the claims of the debtor or against the debtor including executing deeds (ss.82-85).

The rules governing bankruptcy in the Courts are the Grand Court (Bankruptcy) Rules (2021 Revision). One example of an application seemingly under ss.38 and 80 of the Act was In the Matter of a Debtor [2020(2) CILR 305] where the trustee in bankruptcy obtained injunctive relief (a freezing injunction) of the debtors’ assets in the Cayman Islands including CAN$20 million. The wife of the debtor made an application for ‘reasonable’ living expenses and was successful against the Trustee in Bankruptcy to the tune of US$5,000 per week requiring the freezing injunction to be amended.
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