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**ANSWER ALL THE QUESTIONS**

**QUESTION 1**

Questions 1.1 – 1.20 are multiple-choice questions designed to assess your ability to think critically about the subject. Please read each question carefully before reading the answer options. Be aware that some questions may seem to have more than one right answer, but you are to look for the one that makes the most sense and is the most correct. When you have a clear idea of the question, find your answer and mark your selection on the answer sheet by highlighting the relevant paragraph **in yellow**. Select only **ONE** answer. Candidates who select more than one answer will receive no mark for that specific question. Each of the 20 questions count 1 mark.

**Question 1.1**

Choose the **correct** statement:

Which of the following IS NOT a relevant country for an individual to be qualified to act as official liquidator in the Cayman Islands?

1. Canada
2. Australia
3. Northern Ireland
4. South Africa

**Question 1.2**

Choose the **correct** statement:

What is the lookback period for an Insolvency Practitioner’s independence in accordance with the Insolvency Practitioner Regulations?

1. 3 years from commencement of the liquidation
2. 3 years from the date of the winding up order
3. 3 years from the date of a special resolution
4. None of the above

**Question 1.3**

Select the **correct** statement:

To whom does a privately-appointed receiver owe their primary duties?

* 1. The debtor.
	2. The appointing creditor.
	3. Other creditors.
	4. All of the above.

**Question 1.4**

Choose the **correct** statement:

Which of the following is a ground for making a statutory receivership order in respect of a segregated portfolio?

1. It is just and equitable that an order be made.
2. The assets are or are likely to be insufficient to discharge the claims of the segregated portfolio’s creditors.
3. The shareholders in respect of the segregated portfolio have passed a resolution to appoint a receiver.
4. All of the above.

**Question 1.5**

Choose the **correct** statement:

When an official liquidator is appointed over a company, what is the lookback period for challenging a secured parties security as a voidable preference?

1. Within the six (6) months immediately preceding the commencement of the winding up.
2. Within the six (6) months immediately preceding the granting of a winding up order.
3. Within the two (2) years immediately preceding the commencement of the winding up.
4. Within the two (2) years immediately preceding the granting of a winding up order.

**Question 1.6**

Choose the **correct** statement:

Which sections of the Companies Act governs the voluntary winding up of a company?

1. Sections 111-115.
2. Sections 116-130.
3. Sections 123-130.
4. Sections 123-133.

**Question 1.7**

Choose the **correct** statement:

Which of the following IS NOT considered a ground for the voluntary winding up of a company:

1. If the company resolves by special resolution that it be wound up voluntarily.
2. If the company resolves by ordinary resolution that it be wound up voluntarily because it is unable to pay its debts as they fall due.
3. If the company resolves by ordinary resolution that it is just and equitable that the company should be wound up.
4. When any duration or period of the company fixed by its memorandum or articles of association expires.
5. If any event of winding up, as set by the memorandum or articles of association, occurs.

**Question 1.8**

Choose the **correct** statement:

In accordance with her orders of appointment, an official liquidator engages a Cayman attorney to provide legal advice concerning a potential claim against the company’s former auditor. The legal advice is received, along with the attorney’s invoice for their fees incurred. Upon the liquidators’ review of the attorney’s invoice, she considers that the fees charged are excessive. Whilst there is an engagement letter in place, there was no budget set or amounts otherwise agreed in respect of the liquidator’s fee expectations. What option is available to the liquidator to contest the fees charged?

1. There is no recourse. The official liquidator should have agreed the fees when instructing the attorneys.
2. The terms relating to legal fees are a matter for the liquidation committee to set (if one is constituted) and for the ultimate approval of the court.
3. The official liquidator can apply to have the fees taxed.

**Question 1.9**

Choose the **correct** statement:

Which of the below statements is true in relation to an insolvent liquidation estate?

1. Ordinary creditor claims are always paid *pari passu*, regardless of any contractual terms validly entered into by the creditor and company regarding the priority of payment, prior to the company’s liquidation.
2. The official liquidator must convene meetings of both creditors and contributories during the liquidation.
3. Official liquidators are not required to provide their reports to the contributories, even when a contributory request a copy of the official liquidators’ reports.
4. The official liquidators do not need to settle the list of contributories.

**Question 1.10**

Choose the **correct** statement:

Which of the following statements most accurately describes the circumstances in which Cayman Islands Monetary Authority (CIMA) may appoint a controller of a licensed entity?

1. Where CIMA identifies evidence indicating that the entity's management have been engaged in negligent activities.
2. Where CIMA identifies serious concerns regarding the solvency or lawfulness of a licensee or registrant's business.
3. Where CIMA considers that the entity is insolvent.
4. Where CIMA concludes that the entity has failed to pay requisite fees to the relevant regulatory authorities.

**Question 1.11**

Choose the **correct** statement:

If a creditor seeks to appeal a decision of the official liquidator in relation to its proof of debt, when must any application to the court appealing that decision be made?

1. Within 30 days of becoming aware of the official liquidator's decision.
2. Within three (3) months of becoming aware of the official liquidator's decision.
3. Within 14 days of the date on which the creditor received the official liquidator's notification under O.16, r.6 of the Companies Winding Up Rules.
4. Within 21 days of the date on which the creditor received the official liquidator's notification under O.16, r.6 of the Companies Winding Up Rules.

**Question 1.12**

Choose the **correct** statement:

Which of the following WILL NOTconstitute the commencement of the winding up of an exempted limited partnership (ELP)?

1. Order of the Court upon presentation of a winding up petition.
2. The proposal of a resolution for the winding up of the ELP.
3. Expiry of the period fixed for the duration of the partnership.
4. The automatic wind up date.

**Question 1.13**

Choose the **correct** statement:

Which of the following activities, if undertaken by a limited partner, may constitute participation in the conduct of the business of the exempted limited partnership (ELP), jeopardising its limited liability?

1. Calling a meeting of the partners.
2. Presenting a winding up petition.
3. Acting as guarantor for the ELP.
4. None of the above.

**Question 1.14**

Choose the **correct** statement:

The Court may make an order for the appointment of provisional liquidators at any time:

* 1. Before a winding up order is made.
	2. Before a winding up petition is filed.
	3. After a winding up petition has been filed but before a winding up order is made.
	4. After a company has been struck off.

**Question 1.15**

Choose the **correct** statement:

Who may apply for the appointment of provisional liquidators to a corporate debtor?:

* 1. The company and its creditors.
	2. The Cayman Islands Monetary Authority (CIMA) and the company.
	3. The company and its contributories.
	4. All of the above.

**Question 1.16**

Choose the **correct** statement:

To be sanctioned, a creditor’s scheme:

1. Must apply to all of the company’s creditors.
2. Must also take account of shareholder interests.
3. Must have extraterritorial affect.
4. Must provide a better outcome than liquidation.

**Question 1.17**

Choose the **correct** statement:

From which country can judgments of certain courts be registered and enforced within the Cayman Islands under the Foreign Judgments Reciprocal Enforcement Act (1996 Revision)?

1. Canada
2. Australia
3. England
4. All countries within the Commonwealth

**Question 1.18**

Choose the **correct** statement:

In general, a foreign money judgment will not be recognised and enforced in the Cayman Islands as a debt against the judgment debtor if:

1. The judgment is subject to an appeal.
2. The judgment was obtained in a court of law which had jurisdiction over the judgment debtor, but the judgment debtor elected not to participate.
3. The judgment was in respect of taxes, fines or penalties.
4. All of the above.

**Question 1.19**

Choose the **correct** statement:

In a personal bankruptcy, which of the following actions does not amount to an “act of bankruptcy”?

(a)  That the debtor has, in the Islands or elsewhere, made any conveyance or transfer of his property or any part thereof, or created any charge thereon, which would under any law relating to bankruptcy, be void as a fraudulent preference if he were adjudged bankrupt.

(b)  That the debtor has presented a bankruptcy petition against himself.

(c)  That the debtor has, in the Islands or elsewhere, made a fraudulent conveyance, gift, delivery or transfer of his property or any part thereof.

(d) That execution issued outside the Islands against the debtor on any legal process for the obtaining payment of any sum of money has been levied by seizure and sale of his goods or enforced by delivery of his goods.

**Question 1.20**

Choose the **correct** statement:

In a personal bankruptcy, which of the following debts is not a preferential debt, payable in priority to other debts, and ranking equally between themselves?

(a)  Wages of any workman in respect of services rendered to the debtor during four months next preceding the date of the provisional order.

(b) Rental payments due to the debtor’s landlord at the date of the provisional order.

(c)  Salary of any servant in respect of services rendered to the debtor during four months next preceding the date of the provisional order, not exceeding one hundred dollars.

(d)  Public taxes imposed by law due from the debtor at the date of the provisional order not exceeding in the whole one year’s taxes.

**\*\* END OF QUESTION 1 \*\***

**QUESTION 2 FOLLOWS ON THE NEXT PAGE / . . .**

**QUESTION 2 – LIQUIDATION**

**Where appropriate, refer to the fact pattern below when answering the questions that follow. Please note that not all questions relate to the fact pattern.**

Seven Mile Master Fund (the Master Fund) is a Cayman Islands incorporated hedge fund. Its capital was raised through investments by two feeder funds, Seven Mile Feeder Fund (also incorporated in the Cayman Islands) (the Cayman Feeder) and Seven Mile (US) Feeder Fund (incorporated in Delaware, USA) (the US Feeder).

On 1 October 2023, the US Feeder received several redemption requests from its investors. As 100% of the US Feeder’s assets were invested into the Master Fund, a corresponding redemption request was made by the US Feeder to the Master Fund to allow the US Feeder to pay its own investor redemptions. It can be assumed that all redemption requests were properly made and effected in accordance with the companies’ governing documents.

Contrary to the Master Fund’s investment objectives, it had invested most of its capital into a real estate project in Panama, which is not expected to generate any returns until at least 1 January 2025. Unable to satisfy the redemption claim in full as it fell due, the Master Fund’s directors (two based in Panama and a non-executive Cayman Islands resident director) recommended that the Master Fund be placed into voluntary liquidation. A resolution to this effect was passed by the Master Fund’s shareholder on 22 October 2023 and a voluntary liquidator was appointed on the same day. Despite requests from the voluntary liquidator, none of the Master Fund’s directors are willing to provide a Declaration of Solvency.

During the liquidator’s enquiries, it has been established that the Master Fund transferred US$ 900,000 into a bank account held in the name of one of the Panama based directors on 5 October 2023. This account is held with Trusted Bank Corp in the USA. The Master Fund’s directors based in Panama are no longer responding to the voluntary liquidator’s requests for information and the Cayman Islands resident director claims he has access to very few of the Master Fund’s records.

The liquidator has been in office for 18 days and is considering next steps as regards the liquidation strategy.

**Question 2.1**

The investors in the US Feeder wish to consider appointing a US-based practitioner as either a Joint Voluntary Liquidator or Joint Official Liquidator of the Master Fund and the Cayman Feeder.

Draft a memo to the investors of the US Feeder, outlining:

* who can act as a Voluntary Liquidator of the Master Fund / the Cayman Feeder; and
* who can act as a Joint Official Liquidator of the Master Fund / the Cayman Feeder. **(4)**

[Memo:

Dear Investor,

As it pertains to the Master Fund/Cayman Feeder, the appointment of a foreign practitioner being appointed according to Section 108 of the Companies Act requires that they must be appointed to act Jointly with a qualified insolvency practitioner. This means that they cannot be appointed on their own and must be appointed with someone who holds the necessary qualifications set out in the regulations. Thus, for each type of liquidation kindly note the following:

Voluntary Liquidation – Despite the fact that anyone can be appointed as a Voluntary Liquidator, in order for a foreign practitioner to be appointed the other party must be a qualified insolvency practitioner, if they are not then the foreign practitioner cannot be appointed jointly.

Official Liquidation – A pre-requisite to be appointed as an official liquidator is the qualification requirement, thus the foreign practitioner can always be appointed to act jointly with someone who is appointed as an Official liquidation.]

 **Question 2.2**

The Master Fund proceeds into official liquidation, following a successful Court Supervision application. Given the Panamanian real estate project isn’t expected to generate any liquidity for at least 12 months, the respective estates of the Master Fund, Cayman Feeder and US Feeder are currently impecunious and devoid of liquid assets. At the first meeting of stakeholders of the Master Fund convened pursuant to Order 8 of the Company Winding Up Rules, a liquidation committee (LC) was formed comprising of five (5) members. The LC have heard that litigation funding, conditional fee arrangements and contingency fee agreements are all now permissible in the Cayman Islands. The LC are keen for the Liquidators to consider claims against, *inter alia*,the investment manager, Panamanian directors and Trusted Bank Corp, but are aware external funding will likely be required in order to instigate any investigations / claims.

Draft a memo to the liquidation committee outlining the following:

* The types of litigation funding arrangements permitted in Cayman;
* What a conditional fee arrangement is as opposed to a contingency fee agreement;
* What the maximum success fees are permitted under a conditional fee arrangement;
* What the maximum percentage of recoveries are permitted under a contingency fee agreement; and
* What practical information you think a potential litigation funder will need in order to consider whether or not they will provide funding to the estate. **(5)**

[Memo:

Dear Investor,

As per section 16 of the Private Funding of Legal Services Act 2020 (“PFLSA), litigation funding is now permissible in the Cayman Islands. The funding options available include:

Third-party funding: In this option, a third-party agrees to fund either all or some of the legal costs associated with the liquidation. This type of agreement must be in writing.

Conditional fee agreements: In this option, legal costs or paid on an hourly rate plus success fee basis, should the claim/legal action be successful. In the event that the claim/legal action is unsuccessful then the legal fees are not paid. This option is often known as the no win, no fee option. The PFLSA also limits the success fee to a maximum that cannot exceed 100% of the normal hourly rates.

Contingency fee agreements: In this option, lawyers are paid a percentage of recoveries in the event the claim succeeds. Should it fail, they are paid nothing. The maximum percentage as per the PFLSA is 33.3% of the amount recovered, which can be increased to 40% only by Cayman Islands Court approval.

Considering this, the potential litigation funder would need at a minimum the following:

* Background regarding the circumstances of the liquidation,
* Legal action required, practitioners to be appointed, and their rates,
* The recoverable amount that can be expected from legal action if successful and the likelihood that the legal action would be successful]

**Question 2.3**

The voluntary liquidator of the Master Fund is considering whether to take legal action against the Panama-based director who received US$900,000 into their bank account, including to obtain a freezing injunction. Assuming that the action is brought in the Cayman Islands (and that appropriate jurisdiction is established), provide advice to the voluntary liquidator on whether a receiver in aid of the freezing injunction should be sought at the same time, including reference to any additional evidence that may be necessary. **(5)**

[Type your answer here]

**Question 2.4**

The Master Fund has proceeded into Official Liquidation, following a supervision application by its joint voluntary liquidators (JVLs).

Gamboa Leverage LLC (“Gamboa”) has written to you in your capacity as one of the JOLs, providing details of its alleged fixed charge security over the Panamanian real estate project. Gamboa are claiming they are owed US$ 5m in respect of leverage provided to the Master Fund to assist with completion of the real estate project, in return the Master Fund granted a fixed charge. The monies and security were provided by Gamboa on 1 July 2023. You have recovered as part of your investigations to date a valuation report which provides the “as is” value in the region of US$ 3m and a “completed project” value of US$ 20m. The governing law of the security contract is the Cayman Islands. For the purposes of this question, assume that the fixed charge is valid.

Draft an internal file note outlining the options available to Gamboa, where it will rank in order of priorities within the liquidation in respect of their secured creditor claim, what would happen to any shortfall or surplus upon a sale of the real estate project and whether Gamboa can appoint a Receiver if they so wished. **(5)**

[Memo:

As it pertains to Gamboa, and operating under the assumption that the fixed charge is valid, they would be classed as a fixed charge creditor. Per the scenario they have already written to the Jos and provided details of the fixed charge security, however, as part of the liquidation they would be required to submit a proof of debt claim along with further supporting evidence so that their claim can be adjudicated by the JOLs before they accept or reject the claim.

As a fixed charge creditor, they will rank first as it relates to the priority of payment as it relates to the asset which the fixed charge is over. This means that the security in the real estate project do not form an official part of the assets of the liquidation estate and must be used for the benefit of Gamboa. In the event there is a surplus, Gamboa can provide consent for it to form part of the liquidation estate to benefit other creditors or request it in full. In the event of a shortfall, Gamboa can then submit a claim for the shortfall to be covered as part of the liquidation as an unsecured claim that would be settled pari passu.

Gamboa would be able to appoint a Receiver if the fixed charge agreement included a clause that permits them to do so.]

**Question 2.5**

Gamboa has elected to enforce its security rights by way of the appointment of a fixed charge receiver over the real estate project. However, before Gamboa completes the process, a number of the investors of the Cayman Feeder provide you with credible evidence that the ultimate beneficial owners of Gamboa are the same two Panamanian directors of the Master Fund. The investors believe the purported security was a front and a mechanism to transfer ownership of the potentially valuable real estate project to the Panamanian directors for no consideration and away from the legitimate interests of investors. Upon a detailed review of the Master Funds bank statements obtained from Trusted Bank Corp you cannot locate the receipt of the purported US$5m supposedly loaned to the Master Fund by Gamboa.

What remedies / actions / investigations would you propose to take given this new evidence? **(max 6)**

[The first course of action that I would opt to take is to continue to review and obtain the records and information available. Since the bank statement does not show receipt of the US$5 being received I would request that Gamboa provide proof of payment through their own bank statements that should reflect who the funds would have been paid to and when to form part of the evidence.

At the same time since Gamboa is willing to communicate for their benefit and the UBOs are the same as the two directors that have been unresponsive I would seek to set up some form of direct communication with them and indicate to Gamboa that we are aware of the UBOs, assuming that the credible evidence is publicly available information. If not then I would request confirmation of UBO’s from Gamboa since as part of the regulations in Cayman we are required to have sufficient KYC and UBO information prior to making any disbursements to a creditor.

Since the transaction seems suspicious in nature I would speak with the MLRO, DMLRO and the AMLCO regarding the situation and seek to have SAR submitted as soon as reasonably possible.

Finally, I would discuss this with our legal team, as we may need to consider potential legal action or any other actions that can be taken to void the fixed charge if it can be proven that foul play was indeed at play.]

**Question 2.6**

As soon as the company’s affairs are fully wound up, the liquidator is required to make a report and an account of the winding up. Summarise the form and content of the report. **(5)**

[The form and content of this report must include are stipulated as per Section 127 of the Companies Act:

* The manner in which the winding up was conducted,
* How the liquidators disposed of the company’s property,
* Must call a final general meeting to present the book, records, and account of the liquidation and the winding up.

**Question 2.7**

Set out the form and content of an application for a supervision order. **(8)**

[Applications for a supervision order as per Section 124 of the Companies Act states that the application must be made as a petition that must include:

* Details about the company’s incorporation,
* Circumstances that lead to the company being placed in voluntary liquidation,
* Information regarding the current and/or previous directors of the company and the commencement of the liquidation,
* Either a statement of the Voluntary liquidator to be appointed as the Official liquidator if he is qualified to do so, if not then a recommendation of someone that is willing to do so and qualified,
* In the event that a declaration of solvency was not received in 28 days following appointment then a statement that it was not received from the directors must be included.

Applications are also accompanied by affidavits as well.]

**Question 2.8**

Following the commencement of the voluntary liquidation, the Cayman Feeder submits a redemption request to the Master Fund for the entirety of its investment in the Cayman Feeder. Assuming that the redemption was made in accordance with the Master Fund’s governing documents, how will the Cayman Feeder’s redemption request be treated in terms of the priority? It should be assumed that the voluntary liquidators applied to the court for the liquidation to continue under the supervision of the court pursuant to section 124(1) of the Companies Act. **(5)**

[As per the scenario, the redemption request was submitted following the commencement of the liquidation and as a result the redemption would not be able to be performed prior to other creditors that rank higher in terms of priority.

The reason for this is the fact that the claim for the unpaid redemption would not be considered a provable debt since the redemption request was not made prior to the commencement of the winding up. Furthermore, since the redemption request is as a result of shareholding in the company it would rank behind the ordinary unsecured creditors.]

**Question 2.9**

Following the introduction of the restructuring regime by the Companies (Amendment) Act 2022, on what basis (if any) can a company still seek the appointment of provisional liquidators? **(2)**

[The basis include:

* There is a dispute between management and/or the shareholders,
* A winding up petition is presented on equitable grounds and pursuant to section 92(e).]

**\*\* END OF QUESTION 2 \*\***

**QUESTION 3 FOLLOWS ON THE NEXT PAGE / . . .**

**QUESTION 3 – CORPORATE RESCUE**

**Where appropriate, refer to the fact pattern below when answering the questions that follow.**

Maritime Sea Ventures Ltd (the Company), incorporated in the Cayman Islands, is the parent entity of a group which is engaged in international maritime transportation. Through its subsidiaries, it owns and operates a fleet of cargo ships in Singapore. With government support during the COVID-19 pandemic, it was able to remain financially stable, however a general decline in global shipping demand, soaring inflation and higher operating costs has caused the Company to default on several of its secured and unsecured loans.

The Company is on the verge of insolvency and its directors are considering the corporate rescue options available to it. One of the Company’s creditors, BlueWave Financial, has threatened to issue a statutory demand, adding to the mounting pressure the directors are experiencing, including the potential liability they may face if they continue to conduct business whilst the Company is unable to meet its liabilities.

The Company’s management has initiated discussions with potential investors interested in injecting new capital into the Company, however the negotiations are ongoing and have not yet reached any conclusion. BlueWave Financial, a steadfast unsecured creditor, has indicated it will not be satisfied unless it receives full repayment of its debt, together with contractual interest.

**Question 3.1**

Considering the fact that Maritime Sea Ventures (MSV) is on the verge on insolvency, broadly evaluate the restructuring options that are presently available to the company. **(3)**

[The options available to MSV are either to seek Restructuring or Official Liquidation.

Restructuring – MSV can seek the appointment of a restructuring officer who would be seek to restructure the debt, or propose a Scheme of arrangement.]

**Question 3.2**

When does the statutory moratorium accompanying a restructuring petition come into effect and what is its effect? **(3)**

[Statutory moratoriums come into effect at the time of the filing of the petition as per the Companies Act Section 91 as at 31 August 2022. The purpose of the moratorium is to assist in preserving the value of the company for the overall benefit of the collective body of the stakeholders,

This places a stay on existing legal actions and proceedings and that commenced while in effect.]

**Question 3.3**

Once appointed, when must the restructuring officers (ROs) file their first report to the Court, and what should this report contain? **(4)**

[The restructuring officer must report to the Court within 28 days of their appointment. The report must include the following:

* The financial position of the company,
* Books and records of the restructuring officer indicating the work done and fees incurred in relation to the work done,
* Further tasks required for the restructuring.]

**Question 3.4**

What is the “appropriate comparator” for creditor schemes (the fundamental test for the scheme being viable), and how is it applied? **(4)**

[The appropriate comparator for creditor schemes is a liquidation. In order to determine the validity of the scheme it must be determine that the benefits from the scheme outweigh the benefits that the creditors/shareholders would have received under liquidation proceedings.]

**Question 3.5**

What information must be provided to shareholders / creditors in advance of the vote on a scheme? What is the minimum period between the dispatch of scheme documents and the extraordinary general meeting (EGM) and why? **(max 6)**

[Type your answer here]

**\*\* END OF QUESTION 3 \*\***

**QUESTION 4 FOLLOWS ON THE NEXT PAGE / . . .**

**QUESTION 4 – GENERAL QUESTIONS**

**The questions below deal with secured parties and receivership, exempted limited partnerships (ELP’s) and consumer insolvency**

**Question 4.1**

The receivership regime for segregated portfolios lacks key protections for stakeholders that would otherwise exist in a company liquidation. Indicate whether you agree or disagree with this statement, and explain why. **(5)**

[Type your answer here]

**Question 4.2**

What laws govern the liquidation and dissolution of exempted limited partnerships (ELPs) and what prevails in the event of a conflict? **(3)**

[Type your answer here]

**Question 4.3**

In the event that an automatic wind up date is triggered by the death or removal of the general partner (GP), the exempted limited partnership (ELP) will be wound up 90 days after notice is given to limited partners. What, if anything, can limited partners do to prevent the winding up? **(3)**

[Type your answer here]

**Question 4.4**

When may a consumer debtor be discharged, and what is the effect of a discharge? **(4)**

[Type your answer here]

 **TOTAL MARKS: [100]**

**\*\*\* END OF ASSESSMENT \*\*\***