

GLOBAL INSOLVENCY PRACTICE COURSE

European Union Regulation on Insolvency Proceedings

Professor Michael Veder, Radboud University and RESOR NV, The Netherlands

Road map

- Introduction
- Scope
- Modified universalism
- COMI
- COMIgration
- The reach of the courts of the Member States
- Secondary proceedings
- Groups of companies
- BREXIT



European Union



Introduction (1)

- Art. 288 TFEU refers to various instruments to exercise the EU's competence, such as:
 - Regulations: general application, binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States without the need (or possibility) for ratification or implementation by domestic legislation
 - Directives: binding upon each Member State as to the result to be achieved, but left to national authorities to choose form and method (i.e. subject to implementation in domestic legislation)
- Interpretation of EU instruments: Court of Justice of the European Union (preliminary rulings art. 267 TFEU)
- For EU legislation and case law, see <u>www.eur-lex.europa.eu</u>



Introduction (2)

- European insolvency framework (work in progress)
 - EU Insolvency Regulation (EIR)
 - EU Directive on restructuring and insolvency (2019)
 - Proposal for a Directive harmonising certain aspects of insolvency law (2022)
- The Insolvency Regulation is binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States (except Denmark).
- The EIR does not harmonise substantive or procedural insolvency law in Europe. It is a private international law instrument that contains uniform rules on (i) jurisdiction, (ii) applicable law, and (iii) recognition.
- Mutual trust (Recitals, par. 65)
- Regulation 1346/2000 (from 31 May 2002)

Regulation 2015/848 (from 26 June 2017)

Scope - territorial

- The EIR applies only to insolvency proceedings where the centre of the debtor's main interests (COMI) is located in the EU (with the exception of Denmark) (Recitals, par. 25).
- If a debtor's COMI is located outside the EU (or in Denmark), the EIR does not apply and courts are free to apply their own domestic private international law rules.
- If a non-EU corporate debtor's COMI is located in the EU, the EIR applies!
 - E.g. BRAC Rent-A-Car International Inc

Scope - substantive

- The EIR applies to public collective proceedings based on laws relating to insolvency: art 1(1).
 - former EIR was restricted to proceedings in which a debtor is totally or partially divested of its assets and an insolvency practitioner is appointed, i.e. proceedings within Art 1(1)(a).
 - the scope of the EIR has been extended to include hybrid, debtor-in-posseesion and preventive restructuring proceedings
- Annex A
- Certain entities are excluded: art. 1(2)

Modified universalism

- <u>Main proceedings</u> with universal effect
 - Centre of main interests (COMI) (art. 3)
 - Automatic recognition in other Member States (art. 19 and 20)
 - Insolvency proceedings and their effects are governed by the law of the Member State where the proceedings have been opened (art. 7, with exceptions in art. 8-18)
 - Insolvency practitioner can exercise his powers in other Member States (art. 21)
 - Publicity (art. 24-30): interconnected insolvency registers
- <u>Secondary proceedings</u> with territorially limited effect in Member States where the debtor has an establishment
 - Establishment (art. 3 (2), 2 (10))
 - Effects restricted to assets situated in that Member State (art. 3(2), 34)
 - Limits the 'universal' effect of main proceedings
- Rules to localise assets: art. 2(9)
- Cooperation and Communication (art. 41 et seq.)

COMI

- Uniform driver to establish jurisdiction to open insolvency proceedings
- Jurisdiction to be determined by the court *ex officio* (art. 4 (1))
- Art. 3 (1)
 - For incorporated debtors: presumption that COMI is at registered office
 - How to rebut the presumption?
 - CJEU re Eurofood (case C-341/04)
 - CJEU re Interedil (case C-396/09)
 - Recitals, par. 28 and 30
- COMI to be determined on the basis of the facts at the time of filing of the request to open proceedings
 - CJEU re Staubitz-Schreiber (case C-1/04)
 - CJEU re Galapagos (case C-723/20)
- COMI determination binding on courts of other Member States
 - CJEU re Eurofood (case C-341/04), see also Recitals, par. 65

Niki's COMI up in the air?



COMIgration

- Forum shopping not looked at favourably
 - Recitals, par. 5
- Practice: COMI-shifts
 - Change of registered office
 - Change of "centre of management and supervision"
- Regulation seeks to provide safeguards against fraudulent or abusive forum shopping
 - Recitals, par. 29, 31, 32
 - Art. 3(1), 5



The reach of the courts of the Member States

- The courts of the Member State where proceedings have been opened have jurisdiction for any action which derives directly from the insolvency proceedings and is closely linked with them
 - Art. 6(1)
 - See e.g. CJEU re NK/BNP Paribas Fortis (case C-535/17)
- Judgments are automatically recognised and can be enforced in other Member States (art. 32)
- Jurisdiction extends to defendants outside of the EU
 - E.g. CJEU re Schmid/Hertel (case C-328/12)
- If an action is determined to be within the scope of the EIR, the conflict of laws rules of the EIR apply
 - CJEU re Kornhaas/Dithmar (case C-594/14): German directors' liability rules apply to a UK company

Secondary proceedings

- Objectives (Recitals, par. 40)
 - Protection of local interests (e.g. priority rights)
 - Efficient administration of the estate
- Risks
 - E.g. CJEU re Bank Handlowy (case C-116/11)
- Cooperation and Communication (art. 41 et seq.)
- "Synthetic" secondary proceedings (art. 36 and 38(2))
- Stay of the opening of secondary proceedings in view of negotiations on a rescue plan in the main proceedings (art. 38)



Groups of companies

- Each entity within a group is a separate debtor
- Group COMI?
 - Recitals, par. 53
- Chapter V (Insolvency Proceedings of Members of a group of Companies)
 - Obligations of cooperation and communication (art. 56-60)
 - Group coordination proceedings (art. 61-77)



BREXIT



